Response to Referee 1

The referee made several comments that are much appreciated. The manuscript has been updated as follows to take them into account. Referee comments are in normal font and our responses are in bold.

1. “only briefly discuss any possible climatic influences (Section 3.6).”

   The following has been added to paragraph 1 in Section 3.6: “The regional climate variability and dynamics associated with these anomalies are not well understood, particularly for the earlier Roman Warm Period and Late Antique Little Ice Age. During the Roman Warm Period, climate proxies from the North Sea, the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau, southwest Greenland, Spain, Iceland, and other Northern Hemisphere locations show increased climatic variability (Wang et al., 2012; Bianchi et al., 1999). Proxy records indicate that the Mediterranean experienced a wet and humid climate episode during this period (Wang et al., 2012). The Late Antique Little Ice Age is evident in tree ring records from the Russian Altai and European Alps. This period of cooling followed large volcanic eruptions and overlaps the Dark Ages Cold period that spanned the Northern Hemisphere (Buntgen et al., 2016). The contributing factors for this period are under debate, but may involve ice-rafting events, North Atlantic Oscillation, and/or El Nino-Southern Oscillation (Helama et al., 2017).”

   The following has been added to paragraph 2 in section 3.6: “Visual inspection of hemispheric mean temperature data suggests that elevated VA levels from 1080-1240 CE followed elevated Northern Hemisphere temperatures from about 970-1090 CE. Pages 2k Arctic and North American temperature reconstructions show a similar relationship with VA levels (Fig. S11). Elevated VA levels during the Roman Warm Period overlap elevated Arctic temperatures. Elevated VA levels from 1080-1250 CE follow elevated temperatures in the Arctic from about 930-1230 CE and North America from about 750-1150 CE.”

   Figure S11, showing the Pages 2k Arctic, North American, and European temperature reconstructions, has been added to the supplement.

   Reference added:

   Pages2k: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia, Nature geoscience, 6, 339, doi:10.1038/NGEO1797, 2013.
2. “The authors do not compare the Tunu VA record to any other proxy information derived from the Tunu core, other than accumulation, which is surprising as the ice core data would help to better link the fire activity recorded at Tunu to climate variables influencing the site.”

Figure S12, showing the Tunu δ¹⁸O record, has been added to the supplementary material. An increase in the record during the MCA is referenced in section 3.6. Other Tunu ice core climate proxies are outside of the scope of this paper. In this study, the VA data are compared to proxies that are thought to be relevant for and/or representative of Arctic fires as a whole, rather than local influences.

3. “Are these blanks the 58 MilliQ water blanks that were previously mentioned? Does the interference also occur in the procedural blanks? (It is unclear if you have both lab and procedural blanks. Please specify exactly how you created your blanks). This peak also occurs in your standards, suggesting that the source of contamination is local, yet you are able to quantify detection limits for pHBA. Please explain.”

The blanks referred to are the 58 MilliQ water lab blanks previously mentioned. The source of contamination does appear to be local and likely occurred during sample handling prior to analysis. Procedural blanks were not stored with the ice core. The source of the contamination has still not been determined. The detection limit for p-HBA was defined using MilliQ water blanks that did not show the contamination.

Section 2.2 has been edited as follows: “This peak was present in most of the ice core samples, several of the 58 MilliQ water blanks, and standards. The detection limit for p-HBA was determined using blanks that did not show this contamination. The presence of this peak in blanks and standards suggests that it is a contaminant that was introduced locally during sample handling. This peak was not observed in previous analyses of p-HBA in other Arctic ice cores (Grieman et al., 2017, 2018).”

4. “Section 2.2: However, more information from the Grieman et al., 2015 method paper is necessary within Section 2.2 of this paper. (Please also change your citations from Grieman et al., 2017, 2018, in review, to Grieman et al., 2015 and 2017 to correctly cite to work to which you refer). For example, what QA/QC measures do you use? Do you apply an internal standard? Readers cannot analyze your interpretations of the data without having a clear indication of the quality of the data.”

The method is described in Grieman et al. (2017) and Grieman et al. (2018). Grieman et al. (2015) describes a different method.
The first paragraph of section 2.2 now reads as follows: “Vanillic acid (VA) and para-hydroxybenzoic acid (p-HBA) were analyzed by anion exchange chromatographic separation and tandem mass spectrometric detection with electrospray ionization in negative ion mode (IC-ESI-MS/MS) (Grieman et al., 2017, 2018). The experimental method is described in detail in Grieman et al. (2017). The experimental system is a Dionex AS-AP autosampler, ICS-2100 integrated reagent-free ion chromatograph, and ThermoFinnigan TSQ Quantum triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. VA and p-HBA were detected at mass transitions of m/z 167→108 and m/z 137→93, respectively. Synthetic external standards, ranging in concentration from 0.1-2 ppb, were prepared using reagent grade VA and p-HBA in MilliQ water. These standards were analysed in sequence with ice core samples. The retention times of VA and p-HBA were 11.1 minutes and 11.8 minutes, respectively, with peak width half heights of 0.4 minutes. Detection limits for VA and p-HBA were 0.005 ppb and 0.034 ppb, defined as 3x the standard deviation of MilliQ water blanks (n = 58). 575 ice core samples from the Tunu ice core were analyzed in this study.”

5. “Section 3.3: If 17% of the back-trajectories are from North America in the summer, and if 3% are from European ecofloristic zones, and 2% from Siberian ecofloristic zones, where is the source of the rest of the summer back-trajectories?”

The rest of the back trajectories do not transect North American, European, or Siberian ecofloristic zones. They primarily originate from the ocean.

The following was added to section 3.3: “The remainder of the trajectories originate over the oceans and did not transect North American, European, or Siberian ecofloristic zones.”

6. “Section 3.4 and Figure 8: However, while the relationships between these proxies after 1200 CE certainly are variable, and do not demonstrate any patterns either between themselves or with each other. The only relationship that does seem to exist – and this is really quite stretching the interpretation – is that the correlation coefficients for Tunu VA, NEEM NH4 versus Tunu VA, NEEM BC are out of phase with one another after 1300 CE. It is better to state that after 1300 CE no major relationships exist between these data. Panel 2 is difficult to read. Either separating each proxy or elongating the y-axis will help the reader.”

Sentence in section 3.4 changed to: “The results show a strong positive correlation for all of the records from 650-1200 CE but not subsequently.”

7. Section 3.5 and Figure S9: You mention “the qualitative similarities between the Tunu VA and western Canadian charcoal record suggest that this may be a source region of the Greenland burning signals, but the correlation is not significant at the 95% confidence interval.” However, in examining Figure S9 closely, the only
correlation occurs at 1400 CE, while all other peaks and low points are offset from one another. These records do not correlate, and ascribing western Canada as a source region based on this data is overreaching what the data actually demonstrate.

Sentence changed to: “Only the records from western Canada (40°-80°N, 110°-180°W) show any similarity to the Tunu VA record, with a slight increase around 1400.”

Removed sentence: “The qualitative similarities between the Tunu VA and western Canadian charcoal record suggest that this may be a source region of the Greenland burning signals, but the correlation is not significant at the 95% confidence interval.”

The second paragraph of section 3.5 has been updated as follows: “The Canada charcoal record exhibits neither a linear trend nor century-scale variability that is significant at the 95% confidence interval (Fig. S9). Canadian charcoal records show a low near 1600-1700 CE in the LIA and a high centered around 1000 CE early in the MCA. In the VA record, the high is around 1100-1200 CE and there is a gradual decline that reaches a minimum n from 1800-1900 CE. While both records show a decline from the MCA to LIA, the timing does not match and there seems to be offset of 100-200 years between the maxima and minima in the two records. We also examined composite records for a number of smaller regions within Canada in an effort to identify variability similar to that in Tunu VA (Fig. S10). These regions are also not significant at the 95% confidence interval. Only the records from western Canada (40°-80°N, 110°-180°W) show any similarity to the Tunu VA record, with a slight increase around 1400. The lack of significant correlation between charcoal records and Tunu VA can in part be due to uncertainties in chronologies as charcoal record chronologies generally have much larger uncertainties than ice cores.”

8. Section 3.6 and Figure 9: “The data suggest a positive correlation between North American fire and hemispheric mean temperature.” This statement assumes that Tunu VA represents North American fire rather than boreal fire and/or regional fire. The comparison between Tunu VA and temperature for the entire northern hemisphere differs from your plots showing that the back-trajectories are primarily for high latitude regions. Using a temperature record that reflects your source region is a better comparison. (Using a representative temperature record is especially important as the MCA and LIA vary regionally, and therefore influence your time periods of interest). Did you calculate this correlation? Or do the data only “suggest” this correlation? The peak in Tunu VA and the peak in the NHT anomaly are offset by at least a century. The decreased temperature and lower concentrations of Tunu VA do occur during similar time periods.
The following has been added to paragraph 2 in section 3.6: “Visual inspection of hemispheric mean temperature data suggests that elevated VA levels from 1080-1240 CE followed elevated Northern Hemisphere temperatures from about 970-1090 CE. Pages 2k Arctic and North American temperature reconstructions show a similar relationship with VA levels (Fig. S11). Elevated VA levels during the Roman Warm Period overlap elevated Arctic temperatures. Elevated VA levels from 1080-1250 CE follow elevated temperatures in the Arctic from about 930-1230 CE and North America from about 750-1150 CE.”

Figure S11, showing the Pages 2k Arctic, North American, and European temperature reconstructions, has been added to the supplement.

Added Reference:
*Pages2k: Continental-scale temperature variability during the past two millennia, Nature geoscience, 6, 339, doi:10.1038/NGEO1797, 2013.*

9. Conclusions and abstract: The correlation between Tunu VA, and Neem ammonium and black carbon in the NEEM ice core only exists between 600 to 1200 CE, and so following statement is misleading: “The correlation between Tunu VA, and NEEM ammonium and black carbon in the NEEM ice core is encouraging evidence that a consistent pattern of centennial-scale variability in North American high latitude fire is recorded in Greenland ice, but further measurements on multiple ice cores will be needed to validate this conclusion”. A similar sentence is also present in the abstract. This correlation is only true for one part of the record, but absolutely does not apply to the rest of the record.

Sentences in abstract changed as follows: “Analysis using a peak detection method revealed a positive correlation between vanillic acid in the Tunu ice core and both ammonium and black carbon in the north Greenland NEEM ice core from 600 to 1200 CE. The data provide multiproxy evidence of centennial-scale variability in North American high latitude fire during this time period.”

Sentence in conclusion changed as follows: “The correlation between Tunu VA and ammonium and black carbon in the NEEM ice core from 600 to 1200 CE is evidence of centennial-scale variability in North American high latitude fire during this time period. Further measurements on multiple ice cores will be needed to validate this conclusion.”

10. Conclusions: The statement “A clear link between the VA variability in Greenland ice and North American sedimentary charcoal is not evident, although a tentative connection to the Quebec region was noted”. This statement contradicts your text in Section 3.5 in which you highlight possible similarities (with which the data do not actually demonstrate, as mentioned in the above
comment addressing Section 3.5) between the Tunu NA and western Canadian charcoal syntheses. It is essential to omit the conclusion regarding Quebec.

**Removed from conclusion:** “…although a tentative connection to the Quebec region was noted.”

11. Figure 5: The information given by the fraction of ice core samples exceeding the 70th percentile actually detracts from highlighting the difference between the fraction of ice core samples exceeding the set peak threshold in the 65th and 75th percentiles. However, as you use the 70th percentile in section 3.4 and as the basis for the second and third panels of Figure 8, it may be better to only show the 70th percentile. These colors (as in Figure 8) are unfortunately the most difficult to differentiate for people who are color blind, resulting in a figure that is unreadable for many people. Please choose other colors.

**Now only use 75th percentile is shown in figures 5 and 8. Text reflects this choice. Color used for ammonium in panel 2 of figure 8 changed. Black line used in panel 3 of figure 5.**

12. Page 3 Lines 32 and 33 (and continuing throughout the paper): PC’s and RC’s should be changed to PCs and RCs.

**Edit complete**

13. Page 4, Line 11: Place “of” between “frequency large”.

**Edit complete**


**Edit Complete**

15. Page 4, Line 15: Omit the comma after “fluxes”.

**Edit Complete**

16. Page 6, Line 25: “The Canada charcoal record exhibits no linear trend and century scale variability that is not significant at the 95% confidence interval”. This is double negative. Do you mean “The Canada charcoal record exhibits neither a linear trend nor century-scale variability that is significant at the 95% confidence interval”?

**Sentence changed as suggested**
Response to Referee 2

We greatly appreciate the referee’s comments. The manuscript has been edited as described below to take them into account. Referee comments are in normal font and our responses are in bold.

1. “There should be some discussion of what in particular might be most informative/useful going forward in terms of new proxy comparisons, or modeling, etc.”

Moreover, the influence of different modes of climate variability on the VA record are nearly impossible to disentangle given that the decadal-scale variability in the VA record raises more questions than it addresses — it seems unclear still what exactly is producing the short-term variability in VA (in terms of fire), let alone what would cause the variations in fire themselves IF that is what the VA variations are reflecting.”

The following has been added to the conclusion: “At this stage, ice core VA should be regarded as a qualitative tracer because it is not known to what extent the signals reflect paleofire emissions, paleofire frequency, or changes in air mass transport and deposition. Further work comparing VA in shallow ice cores to satellite measurements and modeling of fires during recent decades would improve our understanding of the origins of the VA signals in Greenland ice.”

2. “Furthermore, how might we better understand the source areas or relationships between VA and other fire proxies from ice (e.g. what benefits does VA provide in comparison with BC, ammonium, levoglucosan, etc.)? Where are the greatest sources of uncertainty? Clarifying the limitations of the existing study and adding some thoughts about ‘next steps’ would be helpful for those outside the ice core community in particular.”

The following description of other ice core biomass burning proxies has been added to the introduction: “A range of ice core proxies have been used to reconstruct biomass burning (Legrand et al., 2016; Rubino et al., 2015). Stable isotope ratios of ice core methane (δ13CH4) have been used to infer global biomass burning, using end member isotopic compositions of methane sources (Ferretti et al., 2005; Mischler et al., 2009). Elevated ammonium concurrent with elevated levels of other chemicals has been used to reconstruct regional biomass burning. The difficulty of using ice core ammonium is that it is derived from several sources (Rubino et al., 2015). Ice core black carbon has been used as a tracer for preindustrial burning. Differences between these records could be due to variability in combustion conditions and transport (Rubino et al., 2015). The incomplete combustion of biomass produces organic aerosols. A large percentage of the biomass burning-derived organic aerosol is composed of levoglucosan, which is
emitted by all plant matter containing cellulose (Simoneit et al., 1999). However, levoglucosan has the potential for rapid degradation during atmospheric transport (Hennigan et al., 2010; Hoffmann et al., 2010; Slade et al., 2013).”

Added References:


3. “Section 3.3 – This section is describing methods but is located in the Results section – it seems more appropriate to methods. In addition, the 17% of the summer back trajectories reaching North America is quite low – where do all the trajectories that are not accounted for come from?”

The first paragraph of section 3.3 has been moved to the methods section (Section 2.3). They primarily originate from the ocean. A low percentage of the trajectories also transect Europe and Siberia.

The following was added to section 3.3: “The remainder of the trajectories originate over the oceans and did not transect North American, European, or Siberian ecofloristic zones.”
4. “Section 3.6 also needs work. The methods referenced are entirely absent from the “Methods” section again and need to be moved and expanded there to understand what exactly was done (Pg. 7 line 19 is insufficient).”

This section describes a comparison to several climate proxy records presented in the publications referenced. The methods used to produce these records are described in the referenced publications and we think it is beyond the scope of this paper to describe them here.

5. “Furthermore, the features that are most robust in the VA record – high values during the RWP and MCA, and low values during the LALIA and LIA – are still not well understood. The RWP itself is not a well-known or widespread climate feature, so more information and background about that could be provided instead of discussion of possible climate modes that might control fire.”

The following has been added to the first paragraph of section 3.6 to define the lesser-known climate periods: “The regional climate variability and dynamics associated with these anomalies are not well understood, particularly for the earlier Roman Warm Period and Late Antique Little Ice Age. During the Roman Warm Period, climate proxies from the North Sea, the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau, southwest Greenland, Spain, Iceland, and other Northern Hemisphere locations show increased climatic variability (Wang et al., 2012; Bianchi et al., 1999). Proxy records indicate that the Mediterranean experienced a wet and humid climate episode during this period (Wang et al., 2012). The Late Antique Little Ice Age temperature records is evident in tree ring records from the Russian Altai and European Alps. This period of cooling followed large volcanic eruptions and overlaps the Dark Ages Cold period that spanned the Northern Hemisphere (Buntgen et al., 2016).”

Reference added:

6. “I would rather see more investigation into understanding the potential relationships between the VA record and other fire proxies than a detailed investigation into the interannual climate controls on a very uncertain biomass burning reconstruction.”

The following has been added to section 3.4: “Levoglucosan and black carbon in the NEEM ice core from Northern Greenland are elevated from 200-600 CE and 100-700 CE, respectively (Fig. S8) (Zennaro et al., 2014). These periods overlap the period of elevated Tunu VA from 280-400 CE. They are still elevated 200-300 years after the Tunu VA record has declined. There is also an overlapping peak in the GISP2 ice core ammonium record from 320-330 CE (Chylek et al., 1995). NEEM levoglucosan, black carbon,
and ammonium are also elevated from 1000-1200 CE, 1000-1600 CE, and 1200-1500 CE respectively, at about the same time as the peak in the Tunu VA record from 1080-1240 CE (Legrand et al., 2016; Zennaro et al., 2014). This peak is slightly earlier than a period of elevated ammonium, oxalate, and potassium in an ice core from the Eclipse ice field in western Canada from 1240-1410 CE (Yalcin et al., 2006). Periods of elevated burning in Greenland and Canadian ice core records after 1240 CE are not pronounced in the Tunu VA record. These periods include elevated NEEM levoglucosan from 1500-1700 CE, 2D and GISP2 ammonium from 1790-1810 CE and 1830-1910 CE, and periods of elevated burning in the Mt. Logan and Eclipse ice cores from western Canada in the 18th-20th centuries (Whitlow et al., 1994; Yalcin et al., 2006; Zennaro et al. 2014).”

Figure S8 showing a timeline of elevated periods of biomass burning in ice core records was also added to the supplement.

Added References:


7. “Pg 2. VA is described as resulting from the combustion of lignin. McConnell et al. (2007) indicated that conifers in particular are expected to be the primary source of VA – it seems worth noting this again, and also providing more information that might be useful based on the extensive previous research on VA that is cited. E.g. what is the expected atmospheric residence time of VA?”

The following has been added to paragraph 4 of the introduction: “These studies have shown that North American and European conifer and deciduous tree species produce vanillic acid (VA) (Simoneit, 2002, Oros and Simoneit et al. 2001a, b, Inuma et al., 2007). VA is observed in atmospheric aerosols in the Arctic and Antarctic after long-distance transport (Zangrando et al., 2013, 2016). The lifetime of aromatic acids in the gas phase is on the order of a day due to oxidation by the hydroxyl radical. However, modeling studies suggest that in aerosols these compounds may be shielded from oxidation, resulting in atmospheric lifetimes of several days (Donahue et al., 2013). Laboratory and field studies have also shown decreased
volatility of low molecular weight organic acids in aerosol form as a result of interactions with sea salt and other cations. Such studies have not yet been carried out on aromatic acids (Häkkinen et al., 2014, Laskin et al., 2012).”

References:


8. “Pg 4 Line 6: Were higher thresholds tried? Would thresholds in the 80s or 90s produce very different results? Why did you choose this range?”

The following has been added to paragraph 3 of section 3.4: “Increasing the threshold from the 80th-95th percentiles reduces the number of peaks, but does not significantly alter their timing.”

9. “Pg 4 Line 8: remove “Clearly” and explain what ‘these’ refers to.”

Edit complete and removed sentence: “These are robust features of the Tunu VA record.”

10. “Pg 4 Line 12: should say ‘fires’”

Edit Complete
11. “Pg 4 line 20: define the terms in the equation and make your words consistent with the equation. Pg 4 Lines 26-80 – the equation and its description are not rendering properly (esp. check the capitalization and subscripting; vd, rscav).”

**Equation and equation term definitions edited as follows:**

\[ F_{\text{total}} = F_{\text{dry}} + F_{\text{wet}} \]

\[ = C_{\text{air}} V_d + C_{\text{air}} R_{\text{scav}} P_{\text{H2O}}, \]

where \( F_{\text{total}} \) is the total VA flux, \( F_{\text{dry}} \) is the VA dry deposition flux, \( F_{\text{wet}} \) is the VA wet deposition flux, where \( C_{\text{air}} \) is the concentration of VA in the atmosphere, \( V_d \) is a dry deposition velocity for the VA-containing aerosols, \( R_{\text{scav}} \) is the wet deposition scavenging ratio, and \( P_{\text{H2O}} \) is the snow precipitation rate (Saltzman et al., 1997).

12. “Nor is there discussion of the more recent variations and how they compare with historical data, which is a large omission that needs to be addressed, especially given the 2.5-year temporal resolution of the ice core record noted in Section 2.1.”

“Fig. 4 – Please discuss this figure and how it relates to the known fire history of NA. Fire history data are available from tree-ring (e.g. Giardin’s papers, the Canadian Large Fire Database) and historical studies and the data raise important concerns that warrant more discussion. Specifically, the high VA values in the 1960’s and 70’s are puzzling given that this pattern is directly opposite what historical records show (e.g. Mouillot and Field, 2005; Mouillot et al. 2006), where burning was very low in the middle part of the century. Many more recent NA fire records generally show that burning has increased most rapidly from low levels since the 1980’s (NIFC, Littell et al. 2009). Is it possible that VA could at times have been influenced by some intensive industrial or lumber/logging-related processes that might have been occurring during this time in NA? In any case, in light of these data it is good that the authors state that VA is best considered a qualitative proxy at this stage and requires further exploration – this acknowledgement is appreciated.”

The following has been added to section 3.1: “Burned area in the Boreal forest of North America was high in the early 1900s, declined into the middle of the twentieth century, and began to increase again beginning in the 1960s (Mouillot and Field, 2005). The short-term period of elevated Tunu VA from 1955-1985 does not mirror the trend in this burned area record. A record of large boreal wildfires in Canada, with burned areas exceeding 200 ha, shows periods of large wildfires around 1960, the late 1970s to the early 1980s, around 1990, and the mid-1990s (Stocks et al., 2003). The similarity between the Tunu VA record and large boreal fire record from Canada suggests that that twentieth century VA record may be showing large fires. These large fires represent 3.1% of the number of Canadian fires from 1959-1997, but 97% of the area burned (Stocks et al., 2003).”
Added References:

Changes made to the manuscript:

1. Introduction: added information about potential types of tree species burned and atmospheric reactivity of aromatic acids
2. Section 2.2: Added more information about the experimental method
3. Section 3.1: added discussion about 20th century variability
4. Figure 5: Black line used in panel 3
5. Figures 5 and 8: Removed multiple percentiles
6. Section 3.2: fixed equation description
7. Section 3.3: Added information about the back trajectories that did not reach North American, European, or Siberian sites
8. Section 3.4, abstract, and conclusion: Clarified lack of relationship between VA, NH4, and BC after 1300 CE
9. Section 3.4: added sentence about result when using higher percentile thresholds
10. Figure 8: changed color used for ammonium in panel 2
11. Section 3.5: emphasized lack of relationship to charcoal records within 95% confidence interval
12. Section 3.6: Descriptions of evidence for lesser known climate events added to first paragraph
13. Section 3.6: Comparison to regional temperature records added to second paragraph
14. Added fig. S11: Regional Temperature records
15. Added: Fig. S12: Tunu δ18O record
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Abstract. In this study, vanillic acid was measured in the Tunu ice core from northeastern Greenland in samples covering the past 1700 years. Vanillic acid is an aerosol-borne aromatic methoxy acid, produced by the combustion of lignin during biomass burning. Air mass trajectory analysis indicates that North American boreal forests are likely the major source region for biomass burning aerosols deposited to the ice core site. Vanillic acid levels in the Tunu ice core range from <0.005-0.08 ppb. Tunu vanillic acid exhibits centennial-scale variability in preindustrial ice, with elevated levels during the warm climates of the Roman Warm Period and Medieval Climate Anomaly, and lower levels during the cooler climates of the Late Antiquity Little Ice Age and the Little Ice Age. Analysis using a peak detection method revealed a positive correlation between vanillic acid in the Tunu ice core and both ammonium and black carbon in the north Greenland NEEM ice core. This is encouraging evidence that a consistent pattern from 600 to 1200 CE. The data provide multiproxy evidence of centennial-scale variability in North American high latitude fire may be recorded by various fire proxies in Greenland ice during this time period.

1 Introduction

Proxy records of biomass burning are important for understanding the relationship between climate variability and fire on long time scales. A diverse range of paleoproxies have been used to reconstruct biomass burning, including fire scars on tree rings, sedimentary charcoal records, ice core records of gases and aerosol-borne chemicals. These records reflect a wide range of different aspects of fire location, frequency, distribution, and intensity. The proxies also integrate over a wide span of spatial and temporal scales. Despite these efforts, there is not yet a coherent global picture of historical variations in biomass burning and their relationship to climate over the past few millennia.

Northern Hemisphere burning trends on millennial time scales have been developed using composites of terrestrial sedimentary charcoal records (Marlon et al., 2008). These suggest that Northern Hemisphere burning declined during the Common Era, from about 1-1750 CE, then increased until 1870 CE. During the industrial period, burning rose from 1900-1950, declined from 1950-2000, and rose again after 2000 CE (Marlon et al., 2016). This composite record reflects conditions in Europe and North America, with few records from northern Asia. Greenland ice core ammonium, black carbon, and levoglucosan provide evidence for elevated North American burning prior to 500 CE and from 1000-1200 CE, although these records are not entirely consistent with each other (Chylek et al., 1995; Legrand et al., 1992, 2016; McConnell et al., 2007; Zennaro et al., 2014).

1
A range of ice core proxies have been used to reconstruct biomass burning (Legrand et al., 2016; Rubino et al., 2015). Stable isotope ratios of ice core methane (δ^{13}CH_{4}) have been used to infer global biomass burning, using end member isotopic compositions of methane sources (Ferretti et al., 2005; Mischler et al., 2009; Sapart et al., 2012). Elevated ammonium concurrent with elevated levels of other chemicals has been used to reconstruct regional biomass burning. The difficulty of using ice core ammonium is that it is derived from several sources (Rubino et al., 2015). Ice core black carbon has been used as a tracer for preindustrial burning. Differences between these records could be due to variability in combustion conditions and transport (Rubino et al., 2015). The incomplete combustion of biomass produces organic aerosols. A large percentage of the biomass burning-derived organic aerosol is composed of levoglucosan, which is emitted by all plant matter containing cellulose (Simoneit et al., 1999). However, levoglucosan has the potential for rapid degradation during atmospheric transport (Hennigan et al., 2010; Hoffmann et al., 2010; Slade and Knopf, 2013).

Aromatic acids in ice cores have also been used as ice core proxies for regional variability in biomass burning (Grieman et al., 2017, 2018 in review). These compounds are produced from the pyrolysis of lignin and they retain the basic structure of the lignin backbone (Hedges and Mann, 1979; Hedges and Parker, 1976; Opsahl and Benner, 1995; Oros and Simoneit, 2001a, b; Simoneit, 2002; Vanholme et al., 2010; Akagi et al., 2011; Legrand et al., 2016). These compounds have been extensively studied in laboratory burning studies and atmospheric aerosols (Inuma et al., 2007; Oros and Simoneit, 2001a; Oros et al., 2006; Otto et al., 2006; Rogge et al., 1998; Simoneit et al., 1999). These studies have shown that North American and European conifer and deciduous tree species produce vanillic acid (VA) (Simoneit, 2002; Oros and Simoneit, 2001a, b; Inuma et al., 2007). VA is observed in atmospheric aerosols in the Arctic and Antarctic after long-distance transport (Zangrando et al., 2013, 2016). The lifetime of aromatic acids in the gas phase is on the order of a day due to oxidation by the hydroxyl radical. However, modeling studies suggest that in aerosols these compounds may be shielded from oxidation, resulting in atmospheric lifetimes of several days (Donahue et al., 2013). Laboratory and field studies have also shown decreased volatility of low molecular weight organic acids in aerosol form as a result of interactions with sea salt and other cations. Such studies have not yet been carried out on aromatic acids (Häkkinen et al., 2014; Laskin et al., 2012).

Until recently, relatively few measurements of these types of compounds have been made on ice cores. Recent studies include measurements of vanillic and p-hydroxybenzoic acid on cores from Greenland, Switzerland, the Kamchatka Peninsula, and Svalbard (Kawamura et al., 2012; McConnell et al., 2007; Müller-Tautges et al., 2016). The first continuous, millennial time scale ice core records of VA and p-HBA from Siberia and Svalbard have recently been published (Grieman et al., 2017, 2018, in review). Grieman et al., 2017, 2018.

In this study, VA was analyzed in an ice core from the Tunu region in Northeastern Greenland (Fig. 1). The ice core samples range in age over the past 1700 years (268-2013 CE) and this study presents the first continuous millennial time scale ice core record of VA from Greenland. The Tunu record is compared to previous records of VA from Siberian and Svalbard ice cores, ammonium and black carbon from Greenland, to sedimentary charcoal records from likely source regions, and to patterns of historical climate change.
2 Methods

2.1 Ice core dating and sample collection

The Tunu ice core was drilled in 2013 to a depth of 212 m (Fig. 1; 78.04°N, 33.88°W, 2,000 m above sea level). The mean annual temperature at the Tunu-N weather station is -27.5°C (78.02°N, 33.99°W, 2,113 m above sea level; Steffen and Box, 2001). The ice core site has an average accumulation rate of 0.100 m water equivalent year⁻¹ (Sigl et al., 2015). The Tunu ice core age scale is based on the annual layer counting of non-sea salt sulfur and sodium signals (Fig. S1; Mernild et al., 2015). The Tunu time scale agrees to within ±2 years with the Northwest Greenland NEEM (NEEM-2011-S1) ice core based on comparison of the ages of major volcanic events (Mernild et al., 2015; Sigl et al., 2013, 2015).

Samples for this study were obtained from melting of a 33 x 33 mm cross section of the core (McConnell et al., 2001; Sigl et al., 2015; Mernild et al., 2015). Samples of the melt stream were collected continuously via fraction collector. Each sample has a time resolution of roughly 2.5 years.

2.2 Ice core sample analysis and data processing

Vanillic acid (VA) and para-hydroxybenzoic acid (p-HBA) were analyzed by anion exchange chromatographic separation and tandem mass spectrometric detection with electrospray ionization in negative ion mode (Grieman et al., 2017, 2018, in review). The experimental method is described in detail in Grieman et al. (2017). The experimental system is a Dionex AS-AP autosampler, ICS-2100 integrated reagent-free ion chromatograph, and ThermoFinnigan TSQ Quantum triple quadrupole mass spectrometer. VA and p-HBA were detected at mass transitions of m/z 167→108 and m/z 137→93, respectively. Synthetic standards—external standards, ranging in concentration from 0.1-2 ppb, were prepared using reagent grade VA and p-HBA in milli-Q water. These standards were analysed in sequence with ice core samples. The retention times of VA and p-HBA were 11.1 minutes and 11.8 minutes, respectively, with peak width half heights of 0.4 minutes. Detection limits for VA and p-HBA were 0.005 ppb and 0.034 ppb, defined as 3x the standard deviation of MilliQ water blanks (n = 58). 525-546 ice core samples from the Tunu ice core were analyzed in this study.

Tunu ice core VA ranges from below detection to 0.080 ppb, which is about 15x the detection limit (Fig. 2). The distribution of the measurements was skewed strongly towards lower concentrations, with VA levels below detection in 40% of the samples. The VA measurements below detection were replaced in the data set with a value of one half the detection limit, or 0.0025 ppb. The geometric mean VA level for the whole data set was 0.005±0.007 +0.006 -0.003 ppb.

In analyzing the Tunu vanillic acid data set, a number of different methods were examined, including: 1) bin averaging of the log-transformed data (Grieman et al., 2015), (Grieman et al., 2017), 2) LOESS smoothing (Cleveland and Devlin, 1988), and 3) peak detection using singular spectrum analysis (Higuera et al., 2010; Fischer et al., 2015). The trends discussed here are robust in the sense that they are evident regardless of the data analysis method used. For example, similar patterns of centennial variability emerge from all of the methods (Fig. S2).

Although p-HBA is present in many samples, the levels are not reported due to interference at the m/z 137→93 mass transition eluting at nearly the same retention time (Fig. S3). This peak was present in most of the ice core samples, several
of the 58 MilliQ water blanks, and standards. The detection limit for p-HBA was determined using blanks that did not show this contamination. The presence of this peak in blanks and standards suggests that it is a contaminant that was introduced locally during sample handling or storage. This peak was not observed in previous analyses of p-HBA in other Arctic ice cores (Grieman et al., 2017, 2018, in review).

2.3 Air mass back trajectory analysis

Air mass back trajectory studies indicate that North America is the major source region for aerosols transported to the central Greenland ice sheet (Kahl et al., 1997). Here we examine transport from potential biomass burning source regions to the Tunu ice core site (78°N, 34°W) during the boreal burning season. 10-day air mass back trajectories were run from the Tunu ice core site at 12:00 AM and 12:00 PM local time (UTC-2 hours) beginning 100 m above ground level. Back trajectories were computed each day for spring (March 1-May 31), summer (June 8-August 31), and fall (September 1-November 30). The HYSPLIT model was used to compute the trajectories using NCEP/NCAR meteorological data for the ten-year period (2006-2015) (Kalnay et al., 1996; Draxler et al., 1999; Stein et al., 2015). Possible source regions were partitioned into ecofloristic zones in North America, Europe (defined as west of 42°E), and Siberia (defined as east of 42°E). Food and Agriculture Organization classifications were used to define ecofloristic zones in each of these regions (Fig. S6; http://cdiac.ornl.gov/epubs/ndp/global_car

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Tunu vanillic acid time series

Tunu vanillic acid (VA) levels do not exhibit a significant linear trend over the past 1700 years. They do exhibit pronounced variability on both millennial and centennial time scales (Fig. 2). This variability is observable in the raw data as multi-decadal to century-long periods in which all of the measurements were above the detection limit. The variability is more clearly shown in the 40-year bin averages of the log-transformed data (Fig. 3). There is a maximum early in the record (280-400 CE) during the Roman Warm Period (RWP; 550 BCE-350 CE) followed by a period of generally lower levels from 500-1000 CE. A second maximum occurred during the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA; 1080-1240 CE) followed by generally declining levels through the Little Ice Age (LIA) and into the twentieth century. There is shorter-term (decadal or multi-decadal) variability throughout the record. A peak during the latter half of the twentieth century (1955-1985) stands out as the largest example of such variability in the record (Fig. 3, 4).

Burned area in the boreal forest of North America was high in the early 1900s, declined into the middle of the twentieth century, and began to increase again beginning in the 1960s (Mouillot and Field, 2005). The short-term period of elevated Tunu VA from 1955-1985 does not mirror the trend in this burned area record. A record of large boreal wildfires in Canada, with burned areas exceeding 200 ha, shows periods of large wildfires around 1960, the late 1970s to the early 1980s, around 1990, and the mid-1990s (Stocks et al., 2002). The similarity between the Tunu VA record and large boreal fire record from Canada
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suggests that the twentieth century VA record may be showing large fires. These large fires represent 3.1% of the number of Canadian fires from 1959-1997, but 97% of the area burned (Stocks et al., 2002).

We also examined variability in the Tunu VA record by analyzing the frequency of occurrence of highly elevated VA levels. This “peak detection” approach has been used to extract fire proxy signals from sediment charcoal and ice core ammonium records (Higuera et al., 2010; Legrand et al., 2016; Fischer et al., 2015). To apply this method, we used singular spectrum analysis (SSA) to decompose the Tunu VA record into 30 principle components (PC's). The PC's were converted to reconstructed components (RC's). RC-1 contains most of the low frequency content of the Tunu VA record. This component shows similar millennial-scale variability to the 40-year bin-averaged data, with elevated VA early in the record and around the MCA. It also emphasizes the decreasing trend from 1200-1900 CE and the increase during the 20th century (Fig. 10, top panel).

The higher frequency content of the VA record was reconstituted by summing RC's 2-30 (Fig. 5, middle panel). Individual peaks in the reconstituted record were detected as samples with VA levels exceeding a specified threshold. The threshold was defined as a percentile of the reconstituted data and peak frequency (peaks year$^{-1}$) computed in a moving 40-year window. Peak thresholds of the 65th, 70th, and 75th percentiles were used. The results are insensitive to the choice of threshold in this range (Fig. 5, lower panel). The peak detection algorithm shows strong centennial scale variability, in general agreement with the 40-year bin averaging. Clearly these are robust features of the Tunu VA record. The amplitudes of the centennial scale features are relatively constant until the onset of the Little Ice Age, after which they appear to decline. At face value, the peak detection algorithm suggests that these centennial scale changes in Tunu VA involved not only variations in the abundance of VA, but also changes in the frequency of large fire events. In theory, comparing peak detection and bin-averaging signals could differentiate between biomass burning aerosols from distant fires that might be well-mixed throughout the Arctic atmosphere, as compared to large episodic inputs from major fire plumes in the immediate source regions impacting the site.

### 3.2 Relationship between Tunu vanillic acid levels and accumulation rate

Interpretation of ice core vanillic acid levels in terms of atmospheric aerosol concentrations or fluxes requires consideration of the processes by which aerosols are incorporated into the polar ice sheet. The concentrations of ions in polar ice cores likely reflect changes in the composition and abundance of the overlying aerosols, but may also be influenced by depositional and post-depositional processes that affect the air/snow transfer function (Grannas et al., 2007). We examined the relationship between VA and snow accumulation rate in order to assess the influence of variations in local conditions on Tunu ice core VA levels. VA flux was computed as the product of accumulation rate and VA concentration ($F = C_{ice} \cdot A_{H_2O} \cdot P_{Ice}$). VA flux exhibits the same major features observed in the concentration record, indicating that the variations in VA likely reflect changes in atmospheric composition and are not caused primarily by changes in snow accumulation (Fig. 6). Excluding the 20th century, there is a positive correlation between VA flux and accumulation rate ($r^2=0.27$, $p < 0.001$) with slope of $0.011 \pm 0.001 \times 10^{-9}$ kg m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ VA / kg m$^{-2}$ yr$^{-1}$ water flux (Fig. 7). This positive relationship is typical of ice core impurities,
and may be interpreted in the context of a simple model of dry/wet deposition, such as:

\[ F_{total} = F_{dry} + F_{wet} \]

\[ = C_{air} v_{dry} V_d + C_{air} R_{scav} P_{H_2O}, \]

where \( F_{total} \) is the total VA flux, \( F_{dry} \) is the VA dry deposition flux, \( F_{wet} \) is the VA wet deposition flux, \( C_{air} \) is the concentration of VA in the atmosphere, \( V_d \) is a dry deposition velocity for the VA-containing aerosols, \( R_{scav} \) is the wet deposition scavenging ratio, and \( P_{H_2O} \) is the snow precipitation rate (Saltzman et al., 1997).

For a chemically stable, non-volatile species with variations in both atmospheric concentration and snow precipitation (that are not highly correlated), one would expect to observe: 1) a positive correlation between the depositional flux and water accumulation, and 2) a positive y-intercept reflecting the dry deposition component. A negative intercept could be interpreted as evidence of re-volatilization of VA from the snowpack, but the magnitude of this effect is evidently small.

During the 20th century, there is little change in accumulation rate associated with the very large increase in VA. This confirms that the 20th century increase is likely a real atmospheric feature and not an artifact related to local depositional conditions. If re-volatilization does occur after deposition, one would expect that loss of methoxy aromatic acids may increase with increased acidity. In this record, the opposite appears to be the case. Elevated levels of VA generally coincide with elevated levels of acidity, sulfate, and, to a lesser degree, nitrate during the 20th century (Fig. S4; S5). Increased acidity therefore does not appear to have induced significant loss of vanillic acid from the Tunu ice core.

### 3.3 Potential source regions for Tunu vanillic acid

Air-mass back-trajectory studies indicate that North America is the major source region for aerosols transported to the central Greenland ice sheet (Kahl et al., 1997). Here we examine transport from potential biomass burning source regions to the Tunu ice core site (78°N, 34°W) during the boreal burning season. 10 day air mass back trajectories were run from the Tunu ice core site at 12:00 AM and 12:00 PM local time (UTC-2 hours) beginning 100 m above ground level. Back trajectories were computed each day for spring (March 1-May 31), summer (June 8-August 31), and fall (September 1-November 30). The HYSPLIT model was used to compute the trajectories using NCEP/NCAR meteorological data for the ten year period (2006-2015) (Kalnay et al., 1996; Draxler et al., 1999; Stein et al., 2015). Possible source regions were partitioned into ecofloristic zones in North America, Europe (defined as west of 42°E), and Siberia (defined as east of 42°E). Food and Agriculture Organization classifications were used to define ecofloristic zones in each of these regions (Fig. S6; http://ediac.ornl.gov/epubs/adp/global_ea).

The back-trajectories confirm that aerosols reaching the Tunu site predominantly originated in North America. North American trajectories comprised 32%, 17%, and 37% of the total for spring, summer, and fall, respectively (Table 1; Fig. S7). The most commonly transected North American ecofloristic zones were boreal tundra woodland and boreal coniferous forests. Trajectories from North American ecofloristic zones were more common in the fall and spring than in the summer. The percentages of trajectories from European and Siberian ecofloristic zones were all lower than 3% and 2%, respectively. The remainder of the trajectories originate over the oceans and did not transect North American, European, or Siberian ecofloristic zones. These
results do not preclude wildfire emissions from Europe or Siberia reaching the Tunu ice core site but suggest that the frequency of such events is much lower than that for North American events. This result is consistent with Kahl et al. (1997), an earlier study of air mass transport to Summit, Greenland over a 40-year period (1946-1989).

3.4 Comparison to the NEEM Greenland ice core record of ammonium and black carbon

In order to develop confidence that ice core proxies of biomass burning are broadly representative of large-scale regional paleofire trends, it is important to demonstrate similar historical patterns from ice cores in the same geographic region and influenced by similar air mass trajectories. Here Levoglucosan and black carbon in the NEEM ice core from Northern Greenland are elevated from 200-600 CE and 100-700 CE, respectively (Fig. S8) (Zennaro et al., 2014). These periods overlap the period of elevated Tunu VA from 280-400 CE. They are still elevated 200-300 years after the Tunu VA record has declined. There is also an overlapping peak in the GISP2 ice core ammonium record from 320-330 CE (Chýlek et al., 1995). NEEM levoglucosan, black carbon, and ammonium are also elevated from 1000-1200 CE, 1000-1600 CE, and 1200-1500 CE respectively, at about the same time as the peak in the Tunu VA record from 1080-1240 CE (Legrand et al., 2016; Zennaro et al., 2014). This peak is slightly earlier than a period of elevated ammonium, oxalate, and potassium in an ice core from the Eclipse ice field in western Canada from 1240-1410 CE (Yalcin et al., 2006). Periods of elevated burning in Greenland and Canadian ice core records after 1240 CE are not pronounced in the Tunu VA record. These periods include elevated NEEM levoglucosan from 1500-1700 CE, 2D and GISP2 ammonium from 1790-1810 CE and 1830-1910 CE, and periods of elevated burning in the Mt. Logan and Eclipse ice cores from western Canada in the 18th-20th centuries (Whitlow et al., 1994; Yalcin et al., 2006; Zennaro et al., 2014).

To further examine these relationships, we compare Tunu VA with ammonium and black carbon measurements on the NEEM ice core from North Greenland (Zennaro et al., 2014). Air mass trajectories indicate that the NEEM site should experience similar transport to Tunu, with eastern Canada as the major fire source region followed by western Canada (Legrand et al., 2016). We first compare Tunu VA and NEEM black carbon using the first principle component of a singular spectrum analysis of each records record (RC-1; Fig. 8). NEEM ammonium was not included in this analysis, as it is believed that long-term variations in ammonium levels reflect biogenic rather than pyrogenic emissions (Legrand et al., 2016; Fischer et al., 2015).

There are some similarities between Tunu VA and NEEM black carbon. Both records are low prior to the MCA and during the LIA and both records are elevated during the RWP and MCA. There are also notable differences. For example, vanillic acid declines sharply into the Late Antique Little Ice Age (LALIA) around 450 CE, while black carbon and ammonium remain relatively high until 700 CE. Another difference is that black carbon reaches its LIA minima around 1750 and begins to rise thereafter. By contrast, VA continues its decline, reaching its minimum around 1900 CE. The divergence of these proxies during the industrial period is not surprising, as black carbon is emitted from fossil fuel combustion while VA is not. The divergence between VA and black carbon during the industrial period was previously observed in a shallow central Greenland ice core (McConnell et al., 2007).

We next used the peak detection method described earlier in section 3.1 to examine the relationship of Tunu VA with NEEM ammonium and black carbon. A peak threshold of the 70th-75th percentile was used for all records. Increasing the threshold...
from the 80th-95th percentiles reduces the number of peaks, but does not significantly alter their timing. For the data set as a whole, all three of the fire proxies are positively correlated with one another (p<0.001). Visual inspection of the results shows that the relationships between Tunu VA, NEEM ammonium, and NEEM black carbon are complex, with periods of both positive and negative correlation. In order to better illustrate the relationships, we computed the correlation coefficient between the three pairs of proxies in a 200-year moving window (Fig. 8, lower panel). The results show a strong positive correlation for all of the records from 650-1200 CE and a more variable and weaker relationship thereafter but not subsequently. This abrupt change in relationship occurs around the same time as a major change in North Atlantic climate discussed below (see section 3.6).

3.5 Comparison to charcoal records

We compared the Tunu ice core VA record to sedimentary charcoal records from North America. All charcoal records from Canada available in the Global Charcoal Database (106 records, 40°-80°N, 10°-160°W) were analyzed using the paleofire R package (http://gpwg.paleofire.org; Blarquez et al., 2014; Marlon et al., 2008). Regional Canadian charcoal records were normalized using the Box-Cox, mini-max, and z-score transformations for 200-2000 CE, and composited using 40-year bin averages.

The Canada charcoal record exhibits no linear trend and century-time scale variability that is not significant at the 95% confidence interval (Fig. S8, S9). Canadian charcoal records show a low near 1600-1700 CE in the LIA and a high centered around 1000 CE early in the MCA. In the VA record, the high is around 1100-1200 CE and there is a gradual decline that reaches a minimum from 1800-1900 CE. While both records show a decline from the MCA to LIA, the timing does not match and there seems to be offset of 100-200 years between the maxima and minima in the two records. We also examined composite records for a number of smaller regions within Canada in an effort to identify variability similar to that in Tunu VA (Fig. S9S10). These regions are also not significant at the 95% confidence interval. Only the records from western Canada (40°-80°N, 110°-180°W) show some any similarity to the Tunu VA record with slight increases from 1000-1200 and 1300-1500. The qualitative similarities between the Tunu VA and western Canadian charcoal record suggest that this may be a source region of the Greenland burning signals, but the correlation is not significant at the 95% confidence interval. The lack of significant correlation between charcoal records and Tunu VA can in part be due to uncertainties in chronologies as charcoal record chronologies generally have much larger uncertainties than ice cores.

3.6 Relationship to climate

Over the past two millennia, Northern Hemispheric climate has been modulated by several centennial-scale climate anomalies: the Roman Warm Period or Roman Climate Optimum (550 BCE-350 CE; Wang et al., 2012), the Dark Ages Cold period (400-765 CE; Helama et al., 2017) or Late Antique Little Ice Age (536-660 CE; Büntgen et al., 2016), the Medieval Climate Anomaly (950-1250 CE; Mann et al., 2009), and the Little Ice Age (1400-1700 CE; Mann et al., 2009). The regional climate variability and dynamics associated with these anomalies are not well understood, particularly for the earlier Roman Warm
Period and Late Antique Little Ice Age. During the Roman Warm Period, climate proxies from the North Sea, the Qinghai–Tibet Plateau, southwest Greenland, Spain, Iceland, and other Northern Hemisphere locations show increased climatic variability (Wang et al., 2012; Bianchi and McCave, 1999). Proxy records indicate that the Mediterranean experienced a wet and humid climate episode during this period (Wang et al., 2012). The Late Antique Little Ice Age is evident in tree ring records from the Russian Altai and European Alps. This period of cooling followed large volcanic eruptions and overlaps the Dark Ages Cold period that spanned the Northern Hemisphere (Büntgen et al., 2016). The contributing factors for this period are under debate, but may involve ice-rafting events, North Atlantic Oscillation, and/or El Nino-Southern Oscillation (Helama et al., 2017).

These climate anomalies appear to be reflected in the Tunu VA record, with elevated VA during the warm periods and lower levels during the colder periods (Fig. 9). The data suggest a positive correlation between North American fire and hemispheric mean temperature. Visual inspection of hemispheric mean temperature data suggests that elevated VA levels from 1080-1240 CE followed elevated Northern Hemisphere temperatures from about 970-1090 CE. Tunu δ18O, Pages 2k Arctic and North American temperature reconstructions show a similar relationship with VA levels (Fig. S11, S12) (McConnell, 2013; Pages2k, 2013). Elevated VA levels during the Roman Warm Period overlap elevated Arctic temperatures. Elevated VA levels from 1080-1250 CE follow elevated temperatures in the Arctic from about 930-1230 CE and North America from about 750-1150 CE. This relationship could be due to climate-driven changes in temperature or precipitation on burning extent, frequency, or location, as well as to changes in atmospheric transport patterns.

Skinner et al. (2006) examined relationships between Canadian forest fire season severity and prior winter global sea surface temperature variations from 1953-1999. They found three principle modes of influence: 1) the global near-linear trend in SST (i.e. Southern ocean warming and Atlantic and North Central Pacific cooling) positively correlated with fire severity across most of Canada, 2) the modulation of Atlantic SST associated with the Atlantic Multidecadal Oscillation (AMO) or Variability (AMV), with high fire severity across most of Canada associated with Atlantic cooling, and 3) variations in Pacific SST associated with PDO and ENSO, with high fire severity in western Canada and low severity over southern and eastern Canada associated with warm Pacific SST. Based on these results, one might speculate that externally forced climate variability due to orbital variations or volcanic eruptions might modulate Canada fire emissions via the global SST mode, while internal variability in the AMV and PDO modes might dominate on multi-decadal time scales (60-80 years). We examined several proxy reconstructions for these modes in order to test these relationships.

The PAGES Oceans 2K reconstruction shows a monotonic cooling trend for the global ocean over the past two millennia, with the exception of warming during the past century (McGregor et al., 2015). A notable exception to the trend is a period of warming in the Atlantic from 1000-1300 CE during the MCA (Sicre et al., 2008, 2011, Fig. 9), while Pacific SST maintained its slow cooling trend. Proxy records indicate that both the AMV and the North Atlantic Oscillation were in the positive phase during this period, consistent with a warm Atlantic (Meeker and Mayewski, 2002; Trouet et al., 2009; Olsen et al., 2012; Wang et al., 2017). Surprisingly, the observation of elevated Tunu VA during the warm MCA suggests a relationship of between North American fire and SST that is opposite in sign to that inferred from analysis of the latter half of the 20th century by Skinner et al. (2006). That could indicate that different modes of variability influence North American fire on centennial and decadal time scales.
4 Conclusions

The Tunu ice core vanillic acid (VA) record is a new millennial time-scale record of burning emissions predominantly originating from the mid-high latitude forests of North America. At this stage, ice core VA should be regarded as a qualitative tracer because it is not known to what extent the signals reflect paleofire emissions, paleofire frequency, or changes in air mass transport and deposition. Further work comparing VA in shallow ice cores to satellite measurements and modeling of fires during recent decades would improve our understanding of the origins of the VA signals in Greenland ice.

The correlation between Tunu VA and NEEM and ammonium and black carbon in the NEEM ice core is encouraging evidence that a consistent pattern from 600 to 1200 CE is evidence of centennial-scale variability in North American high latitude fire is recorded in Greenland ice, but further during this time period. Further measurements on multiple ice cores will be needed to validate this conclusion. A clear link between the VA variability in Greenland ice and North American sedimentary charcoal is not evident, although a tentative connection to the Quebec region was noted. Further work on establishing these connections is needed. The apparent relationship between Tunu VA and multi-century climate anomalies suggests that on long time scales, North American burning is may be positively correlated with Atlantic sea surface temperatures—a relationship that is not typical of the 20th century. The forcing and internal dynamics associated with late Holocene centennial scale climate variability remain a subject of debate and active research. Understanding those dynamics will be essential in order to unravel the fire-climate relationship.
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Table 1. Percentages of air mass back trajectories crossing-over or reaching various geographic regions and ecofloristic zones beginning at the Tunu drilling site (% rounded to nearest integer). Ecofloristic zones are defined using Food and Agriculture Organization classifications (Fig. S2; http://cdiac.ornl.gov/epubs/ndp/global_carbon/carbon_documentation.html; Ruesch and Gibbs, 2008).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Geographic region</th>
<th>Ecofloristic zone</th>
<th>Spring</th>
<th>Summer</th>
<th>Fall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>boreal tundra woodland</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>boreal coniferous forests</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>other</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>total</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siberia</td>
<td>total</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Tunu ice core drilling site (78.04°N, 33.88°W).
Figure 2. Tunu ice core vanillic acid (VA) record. The horizontal lines show the Roman Warm Period (RWP), Late Antique Little Ice Age (LALIA), Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA), and the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Büntgen et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012). The arrow indicates the limit of detection. All data below the limit of detection are treated as half of the limit of detection. Six measurements above 0.03 ppb not shown in this figure are shown in Fig. 4.
Figure 3. Tunu ice core vanillic acid (VA) record. Individual measurements are the gray points. The gray filled lines are ± 1 standard errors of the 40-year bin averages of the data. The horizontal lines show the Roman Warm Period (RWP), Late Antique Little Ice Age (LALIA), Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA), and the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Büntgen et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012). Light gray shaded areas are elevated periods in the record.
Figure 4. 20th century Tunu ice core vanillic acid (VA) record.
Figure 5. Tunu ice core vanillic acid analyzed by singular spectrum analysis (SSA) and peak detection showing millennial and centennial scale variability. 1) Low frequency variability in Tunu vanillic acid reconstructed using component 1 of the SSA, 2) higher frequency variability in Tunu vanillic acid reconstructed using SSA components 2-30, excluding component 1, 3) Peaks detected in the higher frequency Tunu vanillic reconstruction (components 2-30), shown as the fraction of ice core samples exceeding a peak threshold (65th, 70th, or 75th percentile) in a 40-year running window. The horizontal lines at the top show the Roman Warm Period (RWP), Late Antique Little Ice Age (LALIA), Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA), and the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Büntgen et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012).
Figure 6. Tunu ice core vanillic acid concentration (top), water accumulation flux (middle), and vanillic acid depositional flux (bottom) computed as the product of vanillic acid concentration and water accumulation flux. The horizontal lines at the top show the Roman Warm Period (RWP), Late Antique Little Ice Age (LALIA), Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA), and the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Büntgen et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012).
Figure 7. Relationship between Tunu vanillic acid depositional flux and water accumulation flux with linear regression to samples with ages older than 1900 CE (black points and line). Data younger than 1900 are shown in orange. Gray dashed lines show the uncertainty in the slope and intercept. Data below the limit of detection are not included.
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Figure 8. Relationships between biomass burning proxies in two north Greenland ice cores for the past 1700 years: Tunu ice core vanillic acid (VA), NEEM ammonium (NH$_4$) and NEEM black carbon (BC). 1) First component from the singular spectrum analysis of the three ice core signals (PC-1), 2) Frequency of peaks in the ice core signals reconstructed using singular spectrum components 2-30 and peak threshold of 75th percentile, smoothed with a 40-year running window. 3) 95% confidence intervals of correlation coefficients for the ice core peak frequencies using a 200-year running window (p<0.001). The horizontal lines at the top show the Roman Warm Period (RWP), Late Antique Little Ice Age (LALIA), Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA), and the Little Ice Age (LIA) (Büntgen et al., 2016; Mann et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2012).
Figure 9. Comparison between Tunu vanillic acid (VA) and climate records. From top: Tunu VA, the gray filled lines are ± 1 standards errors of the 40-year bin averages of the data; Northern hemisphere land temperature anomaly composite before 1850 (EIV method; black line) and after 1850 (CRU instrumental record; www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/temperature; gray line) (Mann et al., 2008); 30-year low-pass filtered Atlantic multidecadal variability (AMV) (Wang et al., 2017); North Atlantic alkenone sea surface temperature (SST) anomaly based on MD99-2275 sediment (Sicre et al., 2011); and North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) index reconstruction (Trouet et al., 2009).