Below we outline the changes to the manuscript. First the changes in response to comments by reviewer 1 and 2 and then additional changes conducted based on our authors note. Changes are outlined below blue. References to pages and lines refer to the revised manuscript with tracked changes.

Anonymous Referee #1

Overall: This paper provides an analysis of a new dataset stemming from the Roosevelt Island Climate Evolution ice core. The authors identify how well this ice core compares with ERA-Int data, approximate the regional temperature and precipitation variability, and how well it compares with other proxy data in West Antarctica and portions of the western Ross Ice Shelf. The authors note an finding of a ‘Ross Sea dipole’ where there are periods of opposite relationships between the eastern and the western Ross Ice Shelf.

I think the paper holds promise, and certainly this new dataset needs to be presented and discussed widely. However, I find concern in interpreting the Ross Sea dipole to the SAM.

The authors base the SAM connection with the Ross Sea dipole from one paper, Marshall and Thompson (2016), presumably Fig. 2b in this paper. This figure from Marshall and Thompson (2016) indeed shows opposite patterns of heat flux across the eastern and western Ross Ice shelf associated with the SAM. However, I’m not sure that this can easily be implied as consistent with the results from the anti-phase relationships observed in the proxy data presented in the paper, for a few reasons:

a) The relationships in the Marshall and Thompson (2016) paper were based on daily data, and the authors note that the heat flux relationships with the SAM are much weaker when integrated over time periods more than a week. It is therefore really hard to know if they still exist on annual mean data (let alone data that are smoothed with 200-year moving averages!). This dipole pattern with the SAM and the heat flux is also found in reanalysis data since 1979, which certainly can’t tell us much about its persistence on timescales back more than 100 years.

b) Even if there were a dipole pattern associated with the SAM that persisted, there clearly isn’t a dipole pattern with temperature and the SAM (Fig. 3b of Marshall and Thompson (2016) and many other works, including Marshall (2007), Thompson and Solomon (2002) etc.). In terms of temperature, the SAM exerts the same-sign relationship across the entire Ross Ice shelf, and West Antarctica and East Antarctica. For precipitation / accumulation, there may be more of a dipole like structure (this is nearly impossible to verify with observations or reanalyses), but so many local factors influence precipitation / accumulation that it is hard to say how robust any Ross Sea dipole pattern is.

c) Climate connections with the ASL, whether from ENSO or the SAM, show dipole patterns on much larger scales, with differences (in temperature, precipitation, winds, etc) occurring between the Antarctic Peninsula / eastern West Antarctica and the Ross Sea (Ice Shelf) / western West Antarctica. I don’t know fully how a dipole pattern across just the Ross Ice Shelf, from annual mean data or longer, could be related to these much larger-scale climate patterns, at least based on observations and contemporary reanalyses.

I therefore found the climate connections and their interpretation with the RICE data to be far too simplistic and an incorrect interpretation of one figure from Marshall and Thompson (2016). The authors need to revise this portion of the paper and better justify / support the pattern in relationship to the SAM, or simply not make claims that it is consistent with the SAM.

We have revised the manuscript to remove statements suggesting causality and instead only note the co-variance between the SAM and record and the reconstructed spatial temperature pattern. This includes:

Removal of the following lines:

Page 2, In 36-40
Page 10, In 34-37
Page 13, In 31-32
Page 4, ln 2-3: To clarify that the SAM / PSA induced dipole pattern is only seen in surface winds and heat flux but not in SAT, we added the following sentence “No such pattern is observed for reginal SAT (Marshall and Thompson, 2016), which might be masked by the influence of reginal sea ice variability on local temperatures.” That sentence is further explained by existing text that follows.

In addition, we added the SAM_A and El Niño 3.4 records (smoothed with a 200 year moving average) to Figure 8 to show the co-variance of the records but we refrain from suggesting causality.

Minor comments:

Abstract, line 31: change ‘Annual’ to ‘Annular’

Page 2, ln 39: We have removed that sentence in response to the points raised by the reviewer above.

Line pg 3: 26: gradient of what, exactly? Just pressure / height, or other fields?

Page 3, ln 37: We have corrected the expression to “… reduced poleward pressure gradient …”

Lines pg 3. 30-33: you should specify this is increase in total Antarctic SIE, as there are regional differences.

Pg 4, line 4: We have changed the sentence to “… at least partially to an increase in total Antarctic sea ice, while …”

Figure 2: the color scale for the correlations is odd. It makes it challenging to see what the magnitude of the correlations are in the top panel. Even if they are significantly different from zero, a small correlation explains very little of the interannual variability and therefore may not be an ideal representation of temperature variability at other regions in West Antarctica or off the Ross Ice Shelf.

The spatial correlation pattern in panel (a), (b), and (c) are shown to highlight and compare the spatial representativeness of records derived from the RICE site (extracted ERAi data) and actual RICE data (δD, snow accumulation). Only correlations significant at >95% are included. While weak correlations can be useful, correlations at r> +0.4 and r< -0.4 are especially distinct with the chosen colour scale changing from red to yellow and from turquoise to blue, respectively. We feel that this colour scheme therefore provides an accessible and clear representation of both the pattern and the strength of the correlation. No changes were made.

Fig 2e, discussion of temp. trends on pg. 6 lines 37-40 and pg. 7 lines 1-7: It is fair to say ERA-Int may not capture the correct trend at the RICE site, but why not compare with observations directly at McMurdo / Scott Base or any of the longer Wisconsin AWS records (Gill, Ferrell, etc.) on the Ross Ice Shelf? These are strongly correlated with the RICE site based on Fig. 2a. I think comparing with NB2014 is helpful, but I think it is a huge oversight to not do any comparisons with direct observations (you could even use the Byrd temperature record here).
We have included Figure S2 and Table S1 in the supplementary information of the manuscript. For clarity, the comparison in Figure S2 is shown for the raw data covering 1957-2012 and for standardised data for 1957-2012 and 1979-2012. The comparison highlights that while all data sets agree on the occurrence of particularly cold (i.e. 2004, 2010) or warm (i.e. 1980) years, there is large spatial, inter-annual variability with respect to magnitude of change and trends across the data sets. Table S1 shows that for the satellite period (1979-2012), only the correlation between RICE δD and ERAi is statistically significant. If the 1957-2012 time period is considered for the NB2014 reanalysis data, then the correlation also becomes significant, but only at p<0.1, which is a level not considered in our original manuscript.

Page 7, 38-42: We have added a paragraph summarising the available AWS and station data and respective correlations in the manuscript: “We also compare the δDo with records from AWS (Ferrell, Gill, and Margaret AWS) and stations (Byrd, McMurdo, Scott Base, Siple Stations) in the region (Figure S2 and Table S1, supplementary information). The comparison is hampered by the shortness of the records and gaps in the observations. Only years were used for which monthly values were reported for each month of the year. No statistically significant correlation was identified between δDo and available data.”

Page 8, ln 5-7: Furthermore, we have added the sentences: “If the full time series available for the NB2014 data is considered (1957-2012), the NB2014-RICE δDo correlation becomes weakly statistically significant with r=0.23 (p=0.09, Table S1).”

Fig 3, discussion page 7 lines 30-31: ERA-Int could also be different in that it uses a different snow density and/or conversion from precipitation to water equivalent. (something with the microphysics in ERA-Int model).

Page 8, ln 32–35: We have added the sentence: “...and the actual drill site location, as well as differences in assumed snow densities, or different methodologies in the conversion from precipitation to water equivalent units.”

Figure 4: Also not particularly happy about the color scale here for the correlations.

We make the same argument as for Figure 2 above. No changes have been made.

Page 7, lines 37-38: It would be more instructive to say that the negative correlation includes regions of the South Pacific, Antarctic Peninsula, and eastern West Antarctica, rather than the ‘ASL region’ since the ASL varies its location from month to month, and the correlation is not significant across the entire region that the ASL may reside.

Page 9, ln 5: We have changed the wording accordingly: “A negative correlation is found in the regions of the South Pacific, Antarctic Peninsula and eastern West Antarctica”

Page 9, lines 3-5: The Nino 3.4 and Nino 4 are close (and overlap partially), and are therefore strongly temporally correlated. However PSA1 and PSA2, by design through EOF, are uncorrelated in time and space. I don’t think using Nino 4 for PSA2 is a good idea because of this.

Page 10, 14-18: We have removed the association of PSA1 and PSA2 with Niño 3.4 and Niño 4. “In addition, the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001), Niño 3.4 Index (Rayner et al., 2003b), Niño 4 Index (Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001), and the IPO Index (Henley et al., 2015) are used to investigate the influence of SST variability in the eastern and central tropical Pacific on annual and decadal time scales (IPO).”

Page 9, Table 2 ENSO correlations lines 10-15: In addition to differences in the phasing of ENSO and SAM, using annual means for ENSO is also compromising the correlations, since ENSO events wrap
around a calendar year (peaking in December often). They are likely stronger on seasonal means; this should be mentioned.

Page 10, ln 32-34: We added the following sentence “Moreover, statistically significant correlations might also be obtained if seasonal averages could be used for the comparison as ENSO events usually peak during the austral summer, in particular December (Turner, 2004).” and have added the following reference: The El Niño–Southern Oscillation and Antarctica, International Journal of Climatology, 24, 1-31, 10.1002/joc.965, 2004.
Anonymous Referee #2

In this study, the authors are presenting high-resolution isotopic (dD) and snow accumulation rate records of a new ice core (RICE) drilled on the Roosevelt Island in the eastern Ross Sea and covering in its upper part the last 2700 years. The authors are comparing these new records to other ice core records present in the near-by areas interpreting these records in terms of the climate variability which in these areas (Eastern and Western Ross Sea) is characterized by a climate pattern referred to as the Ross Sea dipole. The paper is noteworthy and the authors are doing a good job in calibrating the new records against the Era-interim re-analysis data (temperature and precipitation) as well as to other climate indexes as SAM, SOI, Nino3.4, IPO, as well as Sea Ice extent. However, some methodological questions are arising in this part (see C1 below). The manuscript is quite well structured and the topic is appropriate for Climate of the Past. Nevertheless, the authors should consider the comments reported below before resubmitting a revised version.

One general comments refers to the fact that the manuscript (Winstrup et al., CPD) presenting the ice core dating, on which most of the interpreted data are relying on, is not published yet.

This publication is now in review and can be accessed here: https://www.clim-past-discuss.net/cp-2017-101/ including comments from two reviewers. We have updated the reference.

There are also other papers (one is Emanuelsson et al.), to which the authors are referring that are still at the submission/review stage, please check and update.

Three publications referred to in our manuscript are still in review: Emanuelsson et al. (in review), Keller et al. (in review), and Pyne et al. (in review). The references have been updated.

General as well as detailed comments are reported below.

Page 3, line 3: may you check this sentence? Over the observational period (satellite era) the sea ice should be increasing in the Ross Sea sector and decreasing in the Amundsen–Bellingshausen sector.

Page 3, ln 13-14: We have corrected the sentence to state “…changes in sea ice (wind driven, regional decreases and increases in the Amundsen and Ross Seas, respectively.”

Page 3, line 11: here the authors are saying that they will compare these new records to other ones existing in the region but it is not clear why they do not consider the Taylor Dome ice core record.

We have included the Taylor Dome stable isotope record in the discussion in section “5.1 – Regional Temperature Variability” and Figure 6. We did not include the Taylor Dome data in sections 6, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3 or in Figure 7, 8 and 9 because of its age scale uncertainty.

Page 11, ln 3-4: We have introduced the Taylor Dome data “…coastal East Antarctica (Talos Dome, TALDICE – purple, Taylor Dome – orange) and West Antarctica …”

Page 11, ln 8-9: “… exhibit a long-term cooling trend for West Antarctica, while TALDICE (Stenni et al. 2012) and Taylor Dome (Steig et al. 1998, Steig et al. 2000) recorded stable isotopic conditions …”

Page 12, ln 5-8: “The TALDICE and Taylor Dome water stable-isotope temperature do not exhibit a long term trend over the past 2.7ka. Yet colder water stable–isotope temperature anomalies have been associated with the LIA period at both sites (Stenni et al. 2012) …”

Page 15, ln 41: “… appeared decoupled (TALDICE/Taylor Dome and Talos Dome), no trend in isotope or precipitation, respectively.”
Page 6, lines 27-32. Here the authors are optimizing the dD/T relation to the age scale. I am wondering why the authors did not consider optimizing the snow accumulation rate to the ERA-I precipitation rather than the dD/T relation. In fact, it is known and also the authors are clearly showing this, that the isotopic composition rely not only on the temperature but also on other circulation-related factors. How, this choice is affecting the climate interpretation? The authors should answer to this comment.

Page 9, In 11-14: We have added the following statements: “This result suggests that the optimised age solution is not superior to the RICE17 age scale and we note that the sensitivity of the correlation to those minor adjustments is founded in the brevity of the common time period. However, there is no significant difference between the overall pattern and relationships of the two age scale solutions.”

Page 6, line 31: Figure 2b should be 2c.

Page 7, In 26: Done

Page 6, line 35: in the Masson-Delmotte paper only spatial d/T slope are considered.

Page 7, In 29-31: We have broadened the cited δ/T slopes to include the range reported by Schneider et al. 2005 (interannual δ/T slopes): “From the comparison between RICE δDo and ERAi SAT records, we obtain a temporal slope of 3.37 ‰ °C-1 (Figure 2f), which falls within the limit of previously reported values from Antarctica of −2.90 – 3.43 ‰ °C-1 for temporal (interannual) slopes (Schneider et al., 2005) and ± 0.51 ‰ °C-1 to ~6.80 ± 0.57 ‰ °C-1 for spatial slopes (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2008). We use this relationship to calculate temperature variations for the RICE δD record.”

Page 7, line 5: Is the lack of correlation valid also considering only the 1979-2012?

Page 7, In 38-42: We have added the following paragraph: “We also compare the δDo with records from AWS (Ferrell, Gill, and Margaret AWS) and stations (Byrd, McMurdo, Scott Base, Siple Stations) in the region (Figure S2 and Table S1, supplementary information). The comparison is hampered by the shortness of the records and gaps in the observations. Only years were used for which monthly values were reported for each month of the year. No statistically significant correlation was identified between δDo and available data.”

Page 8, In 5-8: We have added the following sentence: “If the full time period available for the NB2014 data is considered (1957-2012), the NB2014 – RICE δDo correlation becomes weakly statistically significant with r=0.23 (p=0.09, Table S1).”

Page 10, In 28-34: We have added the following sentence: “In 2010, an anomalously cold year is observed. If only the time series from 1979-2009 is considered, the correlations between RICE δD and these considered climate drivers becomes statistically significant: SOI (r=-0.48, p=0.006), Niño 3.4 (r=0.48, p=0.007); Niño 4 (r=0.57, p=0.001), and IPO (r=0.44, p=0.014). This further highlights the vulnerability of this analysis to individual years due to the brevity of the time series further complicating a linear analysis between individual drivers and regional responses.”

Page 7, line 6: RICE dD should be RICE dDo.

Page 8, In 5: We corrected it to “δDo”
Page 7, lines 11-14: Is this strong accumulation rate gradient suggesting possible movements of the dome in the past? May you explain this?

Page 5, ln 17-22: We have added the following paragraph: “A small migration of the divide has occurred in the past few centuries with the topographic divide off-set by about 500 m to the southwest. It is possible that the divide migrated as a result of an imbalance in the ice flux on either side of the divide, by either changes in the snow accumulation gradient or changes in the efflux across the grounding-line due perhaps to changes in the buttressing by the Ross Ice Shelf. However, the negligible divide position migration magnitude suggests neither snow accumulation gradient nor grounding line efflux have changed very much; this implies that the buttressing has not changed significantly either.”

Page 7, line 37-38: the region which exhibits a negative correlation seems to be at lower latitudes than the ASL, at least looking at the figure . . ..

Page 9, ln 5: We have changed the sentence to “A negative correlation is found in the regions of the South Pacific, Antarctic Peninsula and the eastern West Antarctica”.

Page 8, lines 10-12: the strong impact of blocking events at this site would support my comment above (Page 6, lines 27-32).

As described in our response to the reviewer, ERAi precipitation and RICE snow accumulation correlate at r=0.60. Using the same optimisation methodology as tested for the RICE δD record only marginally improves the correlation (r=0.62). For this reason, no optimisation was carried out.

Page 8, line 13: the negative correlation seems to interest more the Amundsen Sea.

Page 9, ln 24: We have clarified the paragraph: “Snow accumulation at RICE is negatively correlated with sea ice concentration (SIC) in the Ross Sea region and northern Amundsen Sea region (Figure 5a), which predominately represents sea ice exported from the Ross Sea. We observe that years of increased (decreased) SIC leading to reduced (increased) accumulation at RICE, confirming the sensitivity of moisture-bearing marine air mass intrusions to local ocean moisture sources and hence regional SIC. The correlation between ERAi SIC and the optimised RICE δD record (Figure 5b) similarly shows a negative correlation of SIC in the Ross Sea (perhaps with the exception of the Ross and Terra Nova polynyas) and the northern Amundsen Sea suggesting more depleted (enriched) values during years of increased (reduced) SIC.”

Page 8, line 21: I would move this sentence: “We focus . . ..” at the beginning of the paragraph.

Page 9, ln 31-35: We have replaced the paragraph with “In Figure 5 c and d, the sea ice extent index (SIEJ) for the Ross-Amundsen Sea, developed by Jones et al. (2016), is correlated with ERAi SAT and precipitation data.”

Page 8, line 20: please add C2 a URL link to these data (SIEj).

Page 16, ln 22-43 and Page 17, ln 1-9: We have added the sources (URLs) for all RICE data, meteorological observations and climate indices used in this manuscripts.

Page 9, lines 1-7: please add data citation or URL in Data Availability section for all the climate indexes used.

Please see comment above.

Page 9, line 9: why using the SAMa index instead of SAM for this period? Are these two indexes the same over this period?
We added the following sentence: “We use the SAMA index developed by Abram et al. (2014) to test the fidelity of the SAM relationship with the climatic conditions in the Ross Sea over the past millennium (Table 2). The SAMA is highly correlated (r=0.75) with the SAM record developed by Marshall (2003) for their common time period (1957-2009).”

We have added the following statements: “This result suggests that the optimised age solution is not superior to the RICE17 age scale and we note that the sensitivity of the correlation to those minor adjustments is founded in the brevity of the common time period. However, there is no significant difference between the overall pattern and relationships of the two age scale solutions.”

We have replaced the TALDICE (a) snow accumulation and (b) δ18O records on the Buiron et al. 2011 age scale with (a) the Talos Dome (TD96) record for snow accumulation on the Severi et al. 2012 age scale and (b) TALDICE δ18O on the Severi et al. 2012 age scale for the temperature reconstruction. We have updated the relevant figures (Figure 6, 8, and 9).

We included in the table the age scale used for all ice core records used in this manuscript.

We note that within 200 years of the onset of the isotopic warming at RICE (at 580 CE ± 27 years), the WDC borehole temperature and isotope data start to record a temperature decline, in line with the observed anti-phased relationship of WDC with RICE and Siple Dome.

Overall this comparison shows that isotope temperature trends in the eastern Ross Sea (isotopic warming at RICE and Siple Dome) and West Antarctica (WDC cooling) were anti-phased for over 2 ka (660 BCE to ~1500 CE), while the western Ross Sea (TALDICE) remained stable, forming a distinct Ross Sea Dipole pattern. From the 17th Century onwards this relationship changes. While WDC water stable isotope temperatures continue to cool, from the 17th Century, the WDC borehole temperature records a warming. At the same time,
RICE and Siple Dome experience warmer isotope temperatures while TALDICE recovers from its coldest recorded isotope temperature during the study period.”
Additional Revisions to the Manuscript

As outlined in our authors note, we erroneously used in the comparison between the reanalysis and RICE data which stemmed from the annually resampled RICE δD record instead of the annually averaged RICE δD record. However, correctly using the annually averaged RICE δD record does not change our interpretation or conclusions.

We have revised all relevant section in the manuscript to now include the correct annually averaged RICE δD data and revised all associated correlation values. The comparison with the correct data shows that in most cases the correlations either did not change or improved, with exception of the correlation between RICE δD/δDo and SAT/Precipitation where correlations weakened. Original values that required re-calculation are shown in ‘red’ while the new, revised values are shown in ‘blue’.

**Table 1:** Overview of correlation coefficients for annual means of the common time period 1979-2012 between climate parameters, proxies and indices: the original RICE (δD) and optimised (δDo) data (this paper), the original RICE snow accumulation data (RICE Acc, Winstup et al., in review) and data adjusted to the revised age scale of δDo – Acc, ERAi Surface Temperature (ERAI SAT) and Precipitation (ERAI Precip), Dee et al. (2011), Ross/Amundsen Sea Ice Extent (SIEs, Jones et al., 2016), Southern Annular Mode Index (SAMs, Abram et al., 2014), Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001), Niño 4 Index (Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001) and Niño 3.4 (Emile-Geay et al., 2013), Inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation Index (IPO, Henley et al., 2015), and the near-surface Antarctic temperature reconstruction (NB2014, Nicolas and Bromwich, 2014). Significance values are adjusted for degree of freedom depending on the length of the time series. Only correlation coefficients exceeding 95% (r≥0.34, n=34) are shown; bold-italic values exceed 99% (r≥0.42, n=34); bold values exceed 99.9% (r≥0.54, n=34). SAMs and IPO have been adjusted for a lower degree of freedom (df=28) as the reconstructions end in 2007. Nss denotes ‘not statistically significant’. Correlation between RICE δD and RICE Acc is r=(0.40) 0.49, p<(0.05) <0.01; RICE δD and RICE Acc is r=(0.45) 0.62, p<(0.01) 0.01.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R</th>
<th>ERAI SAT</th>
<th>ERAI Precip</th>
<th>SIEs</th>
<th>SAMs</th>
<th>SOI</th>
<th>Niño 4</th>
<th>Niño 3.4</th>
<th>IPO</th>
<th>NB2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RICE δD/δDo</td>
<td>0.45/0.75</td>
<td>0.13/0.49</td>
<td>-0.37/-0.53</td>
<td>nss/-0.40</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE δD/δDo</td>
<td>0.42/0.66</td>
<td>0.36/0.43</td>
<td>-0.49/0.58</td>
<td>nss/-0.40</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE Acc/Acc</td>
<td>0.60/0.34</td>
<td>0.67/0.34</td>
<td>-0.56/-0.42</td>
<td>-0.46/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE Acc/Acc</td>
<td>0.60/0.39</td>
<td>0.67/0.42</td>
<td>-0.56/-0.44</td>
<td>-0.46/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td>nss/nss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAI SAT</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>nss</td>
<td>nss</td>
<td>nss</td>
<td>nss</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAI Precip</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>nss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIEs</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>nss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Page 6, In 36-40 to page 7, In 1-12: We have added a brief discussion on the average annual temperature derived from ERAi, AWS and borehole temperature measurements: “The borehole temperature measured in 2012 in two 11 m and 12 m deep drill holes suggest an average annual temperature of ~23.5 °C. This stands in stark contrast to the average annual temperature derived from ERAi data of ~27.4 °C at the RICE site. Furthermore, the RICE AWS recorded also an average annual temperature of ~23.5°C. In contrast, the nearby Margaret AWS (located at 67m above sea level, just 96 km southwest of the RICE AWS, data obtained from Antarctic Meteorological Research Center and Automatic Weather Station Project; [https://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu](https://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu)) records an average annual temperature of ~26.6 °C. While recorded summer temperatures at RICE and Margaret AWS agree well, during winter the Margaret AWS records up to 10-15 °C colder 3-hourly temperatures than the RICE AWS. It is possible that rime build-up at the RICE AWS during winter (Supplementary Information, Figure S1) might have provided insulation that allowed for residual heat from the sensors to warm the temperature cavity leading to erroneously warm readings. Alternatively, it is possible that the Margaret AWS site is...
influenced by stronger temperature inversions leading to exceptionally cold temperatures of -60 °C, while the topography of Roosevelt Island might be less conducive to such conditions. A comparison between high resolution borehole temperature measurements conducted at RICE from November 2013 to November 2014 and AWS data, including snow temperature measurements, will provide important insights into this temperature offset. Until this analysis is concluded, we argue that ERAi data, which agree well with the Margaret AWS observations, provide the most reliable temperature time series to calibrate the stable isotope – temperature relationship."

Furthermore we have revised:

**Figure 1**: We have added the location of Taylor Dome

**Figure 2**: We have revised the figures to now show the annually averaged δD and δDo data and show the location of Taylor Dome

**Figure 3**: No change

**Figure 4d**: We have revised this panel to show the time series using the age scale derived from the revised δDo age scale and show the location of Taylor Dome

**Figure 5**: We have added the location of Taylor Dome

**Figure 6**: We have added the Taylor Dome stable isotope record and added the Taylor Dome location. We have revised the TALDICE data to show the TALDICE stable isotope data for the temperature reconstruction and the Talos Dome snow accumulation data. Both are plotted on the Severi et al.2012 age scale. We have revised the change points for the RICE δD data which shifted from 578 CE to 580 CE and from 1491 CE to 1477 CE with the use of the correct average annual averaged RICE δD record.

**Figure 7**: We have revised the temperature calculation and revised the change points.

**Figure 8**: We have revised the RICE δD record to the annually averaged RICE δD, used the TALDICE stable isotope data on the Severi et al. 2012 age scale and added the normalised, smoothed SAM_A and Niño 3.4 reconstructions.

**Figure 9**: We have revised the RICE δD record to the annually averaged RICE δD and used the TALDICE stable isotope data on the Severi et al.2012 age scale.

In addition we added the following Figures and Tables in the Supplementary Information:

**Table S1**: Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and significance values (p) for correlations between RICE δD record and relevant observational records from automatic weather stations and reanalysis data. Data for the reconstructed Byrd Station meteorological data (Bromwich et al., 2013) are accessed via the Byrd Polar Research Centre, Polar Meteorological Group, Ohio State University (http://www.polarmet.osu.edu/datasets/Byrd_recon/). Weather station data for Ferrell, Gill, and Margaret AWS are accessed via Antarctic Meteorological Research Center and Automatic Weather Station Project (https://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu). Data for McMurdo Station and Scott Base are accessed via the MET-READER (https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/READER/data.html). The number of years of
observations represents the total number of years which contain monthly averages for each month of a calendar year. Only years with 12 monthly values are included in the correlation.

**Figure S1:** RICE AWS covered with rime after the winter season. The photo was taken in November 2012.

**Figure S2:** Comparison of temperature data from Antarctic Stations, remote Antarctic Weather Stations (AWS), and reanalysis products with δD RICE data. Origin of the data is referenced in Table R1-1. Panel (a) shows the actual data, panels (b) and (c) show the comparison of the standardised records for the time periods 1957-2012 and 1975-2012, respectively.

Finally, some very minor revisions to the text were made (tracked changes) for consistency and to streamline the manuscript.
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Abstract. High-resolution, well-dated climate archives provide an opportunity to investigate the dynamic interactions of climate patterns relevant for future projections. Here, we present data from a new, annually-dated ice core record from the eastern Ross Sea, named the Roosevelt Island Climate Evolution (RICE) ice core. Comparison of the Roosevelt Island Climate Evolution (RICE) this ice core records with climate reanalysis data for the 1979-2012 calibration period shows that RICE records reliably capture temperature and snow precipitation variability of the region. Trends over the past 2,700 years in RICE are shown to be distinct from those in West Antarctica and the western Ross Sea captured by other ice cores. RICE is compared with data from West Antarctica (West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide Ice Core) and the western (Talos Dome) and eastern (Siple Dome) Ross Sea. For most of the past 2,700 years, this interval, the eastern Ross Sea was warming (or showing isotopic enrichment for other reasons), with increased snow accumulation and perhaps decreased sea ice extent/concentration. However, West Antarctica cooled whereas-and the western Ross Sea showed no significant isotope temperature trend. This pattern here is referred to as the Ross Sea Dipole. Notably, during the Little Ice Age, West Antarctica and the western Ross Sea experienced colder than average temperatures, while the eastern Ross Sea underwent a period of warming or increased isotopic enrichment. From the 17th Century onwards, this dipole relationship changed. All three regions now show current signs of warming, with snow accumulation declining in West Antarctica and the eastern Ross Sea, but increasing in the western Ross Sea. We interpret this pattern to reflect an increase in sea ice in the eastern Ross Sea with perhaps the establishment of a modern Roosevelt Island polynya as a local moisture source for RICE. Analysis of decadal to centennial-scale climate variability superimposed on the longer-term trend reveals periods characterised by opposing temperature trends between the Eastern and Western Ross Sea have occurred since the 3rd Century but are masked by longer-term trends. This pattern here is referred to as the Ross Sea Dipole, caused by a sensitive response of the region to dynamic interactions of the Southern Annual Mode and tropical forcings.
1 Introduction

With carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) and global temperatures predicted to continue to rise, model simulations of the Antarctic / Southern Ocean region show for the coming decades an increase in surface warming resulting in reduced sea ice extent, weakened Antarctic Bottom Water formation, intensified zonal winds that reduce CO$_2$ uptake by the Southern Ocean, a slowing of the southern limb of the meridional overturning circulation (MOC) and associated changes in global heat transport, and rapid ice sheet grounding line retreat that contributes to global sea level rise (Russell et al., 2006; Toggweiler and Russell, 2008; Anderson et al., 2009; Sen Gupta et al., 2009; Downes et al., 2010; Joughin and Alley, 2011; Marshall and Speer, 2012; Spence et al., 2012; Kusahara and Hasumi, 2013; Golledge et al., 2015; DeConto and Pollard, 2016; DeVries et al., 2017). Observations confirm an ozone-depletion-induced strengthening and poleward contraction of zonal winds (Thompson and Solomon, 2002b; Arblaster et al., 2011), increased upwelling of warm, modified Circumpolar Deep Water (Jacobs et al., 2011), a warmer Southern Ocean (Gille, 2002; Böning et al., 2008; Abraham et al., 2013), meltwater-driven freshening of the Ross Sea (Jacobs et al., 2002), ice shelf and mass balance loss, grounding line retreat (Joughin et al., 2014; Rignot et al., 2014; Paolo et al., 2015; Pollard et al., 2015), reduced formation of Antarctic Bottom Water (Rintoul, 2007) and Antarctic Intermediate Water (Wong et al., 1999), changes in sea ice (wind driven, regional increase-decreases and decrease-increases in the Amundsen and Ross Seas, respectively) (Holland and Kwok, 2012; Stammerjohn et al., 2012; Sinclair et al., 2014), and dynamic changes of Southern Ocean CO$_2$ uptake driven by atmospheric circulation patterns (Landschützer et al., 2015). Yet, these observational time series are short (Gille, 2002; Böning et al., 2008; Toggweiler and Russell, 2008) and inter-model variability indicates that physical processes and their consequences are not well captured or understood (Sen Gupta et al., 2009; Braconnot et al., 2012). While the skill of equilibrium simulations steadily improves, the accuracy of transient model projections for the coming decades critically depends on an improved knowledge of climate variability, forcings, and dynamic feedbacks (Bakker et al., 2017; Stouffer et al., 2017).

Here we present data from a new, highly-resolved and accurately-dated ice-core record, spanning the past 2.7 ka, from the eastern Ross Sea region. The Roosevelt Island Climate Evolution (RICE) ice core is compared with existing records in the region to investigate the characteristics and drivers of spatial and temporal climate variability in the Ross Sea region.

2 Site characteristics and relevant climate drivers

In this section, a brief overview is provided of the climatological and glaciological characteristics of the study site.

2.1 Dynamic interaction between tropical and mid-latitude climate drivers and South Pacific climate variability

Environmental conditions in the Pacific Sector of the Southern Ocean and Antarctica are dominated by four-three major atmospheric circulation patterns: the Southern Annular Mode (SAM), the Pacific-South American pattern (PSA1 and PSA2) that are related to El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO)-variability, and the Inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO). The SAM is the leading empirical orthogonal function (EOF) of the Southern Hemisphere extratropical geopotential height fields on monthly and longer time scales, and describes the strength and position of the Southern Hemisphere westerly winds via the relative pressure anomalies over Antarctica (~65°S) and the mid-latitudes (~45°S) (Thompson and Wallace, 2000; Thompson and Solomon, 2002a). The persistent positive, summer trend of the SAM (decreasing pressure over Antarctica) has been linked to stratospheric ozone depletion and increase in atmospheric greenhouse gas concentration (Arblaster et al., 2011; Thompson et al., 2011). The positive SAM is associated with above average warming of the Antarctic Peninsula, and-colder conditions over East Antarctica due to a reduced poleward pressure gradient and thus diminished transport of heat and moisture along with a reduction in katabatic flow (Thompson and Solomon, 2002a; Marshall et al., 2013; Marshall and Thompson, 2016). While the positive summer SAM trend (also weakly expressed during autumn) along the Antarctic margin is generally associated with an equatorward heat flux, the western Ross Sea is one of two regions (the Weddell Sea being the other) to
experience an anomalous poleward heat flux (Marshall and Thompson, 2016), that transports heat and moisture across the Ross Ice Shelf. No such pattern is observed for regional SAT (Marshall and Thompson, 2016), which might be masked by the influence of regional sea ice variability on local temperatures. The positive SAM has been shown to contribute at least partially to an increase in total Antarctic SIE-sea ice increase, while a negative SAM has been associated with a reduced sea ice SIE (Bintanja et al., 2013; Ferreira et al., 2015; Kohyama and Hartmann, 2015; Holland et al., 2016; Turner et al., 2017). The future behaviour of the SAM over the next decades is a topic of active research due to the competing, and seasonally biased influences of projected stratospheric ozone recovery and greenhouse gas emissions (Thompson et al., 2011; Gillett and Fyfe, 2013; Bracegirdle et al., 2014).

The Pacific South American (PSA) patterns represent atmospheric Rossby wave trains initiated by anomalously deep tropical convection during ENSO events, in particular during austral spring, which originate in the western (PSA1) and the central (PSA2) tropical Pacific (Mo and Higgins, 1998). PSA1 and PSA2 are defined as the 2nd and 3rd EOF respectively of monthly-mean extratropical geopotential height fields, with the negative (positive) phase resembling El Niño (La Niña)-like conditions (Mo, 2000). Changes in SAT over West Antarctica have been linked to PSA1 variability (Schneider and Steig, 2008; Schneider et al., 2012), while the warming of West Antarctica’s winter temperatures has been linked to PSA2 (Ding et al., 2011). The positive polarity of PSA1 is associated with anticyclonic wind anomalies in the South Pacific centered at ~120°W, which have been linked to increased onshore flow and increased eddy activity (Marshall and Thompson, 2016). In contrast, during the positive phase of the PSA2, the anticyclonic centre shifts to ~150°W in the Ross Sea, creating a dipole across the Ross Ice Shelf, with increased transport of marine air masses along the western Ross Ice Shelf and enhanced katabatic flow along the eastern Ross Ice Shelf (Marshall and Thompson, 2016). Sea ice feedbacks to the SAM and ENSO forcing in the western Ross Sea (as well as the Bellingshausen Sea) were found to be particularly strong when a negative SAM coincided with El Niño events (increased poleward heat flux, less sea ice) or a positive SAM concurred with La Niña events (decreased poleward heat flux, more sea ice) (Stammerjohn et al., 2008). The authors found that this teleconnection is less pronounced in the eastern Ross Sea.

The Inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation (IPO), an ENSO-like climate variation on decadal time scales (Power et al., 1999), is closely related to the Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO) (Mantua and Hare, 2002). While the PDO is defined as the first EOF of sea surface temperature (SST) variability in the Northern Pacific, the IPO is defined by a tripole index of decadal scale SST anomalies across the Pacific (Henley et al., 2015). A warm tropical Pacific and weakened trade winds are associated with a positive IPO, while a cooler tropical Pacific and strengthened trade winds are characteristic for a negative IPO. The phasing of the IPO and PDO have been shown to influence the strength of regional and global teleconnections with ENSO (Henley et al., 2015). An in-phase IPO amplification of ENSO events has been linked to a strengthening of global dry / wet anomalies, in contrast to periods when the IPO and ENSO are out of phase, causing these anomalies to weaken or disappear entirely (Wang et al., 2014). In addition, a negative IPO leads to cooler SSTs in the Ross, Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas, while a positive IPO is associated with warmer SSTs (Henley et al., 2015). The centre of anticyclonic circulation linked to precipitation at Roosevelt Island (Emanuelsson et al., in review) moves eastward during the negative IPO from ~120°W during the positive IPO to ~100°W (Henley et al., 2015). It has been suggested that the negative IPO, at least in part, is responsible for the hiatus of global surface warming during 1940-1975 and 2001-2009 (Meehl et al., 2011; Kosaka and Xie, 2013; England et al., 2014). The Amundsen Sea Low (ASL), a semi-permanent low pressure centre in the Ross / Amundsen Sea, is the most prominent and persistent of three low pressure centres around Antarctica, associated with the wave number 3 circulation (Raphael, 2004; Turner et al., 2013; Raphael et al., 2016). The ASL is sensitive to ENSO (especially during winter and spring) and SAM (in particular during autumn), and to influences through meridional wind anomalies environmental conditions in the Ross, Amundsen and Bellingshausen Seas and across West Antarctica and the Antarctic Peninsula (Bertler et al., 2004; Thomas et al., 2009; Steig et al., 2012; Ding and Steig, 2013; Turner et al., 2013; Marshall and Thompson, 2016; Raphael et al., 2016). Seasonally, the ASL centre moves from ~110°W in during austral summer to ~150°W austral winter (Turner et al., 2013). A
positive SAM and / or La Niña event leads to a deepening of the ASL, while a negative SAM and/or El Niño event causes a weakening (Turner et al., 2013). The IPO, through its effect upon ENSO and SAM variability, also influences the ASL and sea ice extent in the Ross and Amundsen Seas (Meehl et al., 2016). Blocking events in the Amundsen Sea (Renwick, 2005), are sensitive to the position of the ASL and are dominant drivers of marine air mass intrusions and associated precipitation and temperature anomalies at Roosevelt Island (Emanuelsson et al., in review).

2.2 RICE site characteristics

Roosevelt Island is an approximately 120 km-long by 60 km-wide grounded ice rise located near the north-eastern edge of the Ross Ice Shelf (Figure 1). Ice accumulates locally on the ice rise, while the floating Ross Ice Shelf flows around Roosevelt Island. The ice surrounding Roosevelt Island originates from the West Antarctic Ice Sheet (WAIS), via the Bindschadler MacAyeal and Echelmeyer ice streams. Bedmap2 data (Fretwell et al., 2013) suggest that the marine basins on either side of Roosevelt Island are roughly 600 m (western basin) and 750 m (eastern basin) deep and that the thickness of the Ross Ice Shelf at this location is about 500 m. At the RICE drill site (79.398364 S, 161.46W 706 W, 550 m above sea level) near the summit of Roosevelt Island, the ice is 764 m thick and grounded 214 m below sea level. Radar surveys across the Roosevelt Island ice divide show a well-developed “Raymond Bump Arches” (Raymond, 1984) arching of isochrones suggesting a stable ice divide (Conway et al., 1999). The vertical velocity, constrained by phase-sensitive radio echo sounder (pRES) measurements, is approximately 20 cm a⁻¹ at the surface relative to the velocity of 0 cm a⁻¹ at the bed (Kingslake et al., 2014). A small migration of the divide has occurred in the past few centuries with the topographic divide offset by about 500 m to the southwest. It is possible that the divide migrated as a result of an imbalance in the ice flux on either side of the divide, by either changes in the snow accumulation gradient or changes in the efflux across the grounding-line due perhaps to changes in the buttressing by the Ross Ice Shelf. However, the negligible divide position migration magnitude suggests neither snow accumulation gradient nor grounding line efflux have changed very much; this implies that the buttressing has not changed significantly either.

3 Ice core data

During two field seasons, 2011-2012 and 2012-2013, a 764 m-long ice core to bedrock was extracted from the summit of Roosevelt Island. The drilling was conducted using the New Zealand intermediate depth ice core drill Te Wāmua Hukapapa (‘Ice Cores That Discover the Past’ in NZ Te Reo native language). The drill system is based on the Danish Hans Tausen Drill with some design modification (Mandeno et al., 2013). The upper 60 m of the borehole was cased with plastic pipe and the remainder of the drill hole filled with a mixture of Estisol-240 and Coasol to prevent closure. The part of the RICE ice core record used in this study covers the past 2.7 ka and consists of data combined from the RICE-2012/13-B firn core (0.560.19-12.30 m depth) and the RICE Deep ice core (12.30 m – 344 m depth). In addition, the uppermost samples from a 1.5m deep snow pit were used to extend the firn and ice core record for the month of December 2012. An overview of the core quality and processing procedures are summarised by Pyne et al. (in review). In this manuscript here, we present new water stable-isotope (deuterium, δD) and snow accumulation records and compare them with existing records from West and East Antarctica (Table 1).

3.1 RICE age model: RICE17

The RICE17 age model for the past 2.7 ka is based on an annually-dated ice core chronology from 0-344 m which is described in detail by Winstup et al. (2017). The cumulated age uncertainty for the past 100 years is ≤ ± 2 years, for the past
1,000 years \(\pm\) 19 years and for the past 2,000 years \(\pm\) 38 years, reaching a maximum uncertainty of \(\pm\) 45 years at 344 m depth (2.7 ka). The RICE17 timescale is in good agreement with the WD2014 annual-layer counted timescale from the WAIS Divide ice core dating to 200 Common Era (CE, 280 m depth). For the deeper parts of the core, there is likely a small bias (2-3\%) towards undercounting the annual layers, resulting in a small age offset compared to the WD2014 timescale (Winstrup et al., 2017).

3.2 Snow accumulation reconstruction

Ice core annual layer thicknesses provide a record of past snow accumulation once the amount of vertical strain has been accounted for. At Roosevelt Island, repeat pRES measurements were performed across the divide, providing a direct measurement of the vertical velocity profile (Kingslake et al., 2014). This has a key advantage over most previous ice-core inferences of accumulation rate because vertical strain thinning through the ice sheet is measured directly, rather than needing to use an approximation for ice-flow near ice divides (e.g. Dansgaard and Johnsen, 1969; Lliboutry, 1979). Uncertainty in the accumulation-rate reconstruction increases from zero at the surface (no strain thinning) to a maximum of \(\pm\) 8-10\% at 170 m true depth (1712 CE). Below 470-78 m, the uncertainty remains constant at \(\pm\) 8-10\%. A detailed description of the accumulation-rate reconstruction is provided by Winstrup et al. (2017).

3.3 Water stable-isotope data

The water stable-isotope record was measured using a continuous-flow laser spectroscopy system with an off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy (OA-ICOS) analyser, manufactured by Los Gatos Research (LGR). The water for these measurements was derived from the inner section of the continuous flow analysis (CFA) melt head, while water from the outside section was collected for discrete samples. A detailed description and quality assessment of this system is provided by Emanuelsson et al. (2015). The combined uncertainty for deuterium (\(\delta^D\)) at 2 cm resolution is \(\pm\) 0.85‰. A detailed description of the isotope calibration, the calculation of cumulative uncertainties, and the assignment of depth is provided by Keller et al. (in review).

4 RICE data correlation with reanalysis data - modern temperature, snow accumulation, and sea ice extent trends

The ERA-Interim (ERAi) reanalysis data set of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF, Dee et al., 2011) –, along with firn and ice cores, snow stakes and an automatic weather station (AWS) are used to characterise the meteorological characteristics of Roosevelt Island. ERAi data have been extracted for the RICE drill site from the nearest grid point (S 79.50°, W 162.00°, Figure 1b) for the common time period between 1979 and 2012. The year 1979, the onset of the ERAi reanalysis, occurs at 13.42 m depth in the firn. For this reason, the period 1979-2012 is predominantly captured in the RICE 12/13 B firn core. Data from the RICE AWS suggest that precipitation at RICE can be irregular, with large snow precipitation events dominating the accumulation pattern (Emanuelsson, 2016). Therefore, we limit the analysis in this study to annual averages and longer-term trends.

4.1 Isotope-temperature correlation

The borehole temperature measured in 2012 in two 11 m and 12 m deep drill holes suggest an average annual temperature of \(-23.5\) °C. This stands in stark contrast to the average annual temperature derived from ERAi data of \(-27.4\) °C at the RICE site. Furthermore, the RICE AWS recorded also an average annual temperature of also \(-23.5\) °C. In contrast, the nearby Margaret
AWS (located at 67m above sea level, just 96 km south-west of the RICE AWS, data obtained from Antarctic Meteorological Research Center and Automatic Weather Station Project; https://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu) records an average annual temperature of -26.6 °C. While recorded summer temperatures at RICE and Margaret AWS agree well, during winter the Margaret AWS records up to 10-15 °C colder 3-hourly temperatures than the RICE AWS. It is possible that rime build-up at the RICE AWS during winter (Supplementary Information, Figure S1) might have provided insulation that allowed for residual heat from the sensors to warm the temperature cavity leading to erroneously warm readings. Alternatively, it is possible that the Margaret AWS site is influenced by stronger temperature inversions leading to exceptionally cold temperatures of -60 °C, while the topography of Roosevelt Island might be less conducive to such conditions. A comparison between high resolution borehole temperature measurements conducted at RICE from November 2013 to November 2014 and AWS data, including snow temperature measurements, will provide important insights into this temperature offset. Until this analysis is concluded, we argue that ERAi data, which agree well with the Margaret AWS observations, provide the most reliable temperature time series to calibrate the stable isotope – temperature relationship.

In Figure 2a, the ERAi surface air temperature (SAT) time series extracted for the RICE drill site is compared with the ERAi SAT spatial grid. The analysis suggests that temperature variability at RICE is representative of variability across the Ross Ice Shelf (with the exception of the western-most margin along the Transantarctic Mountains), Northern Victoria Land, western Marie Byrd Land, and the Ross and Amundsen Seas. Furthermore, the Antarctic Dipole pattern (Yuan and Martinson, 2001), a negative correlation between the SAT in the Ross / Amundsen Sea region and that of the Weddell Sea, is also captured in the data. The locations of the Siple Dome, WDC, Talos Dome, and TALDICE, and Taylor Dome ice cores fall within the region of positive RICE SAT correlation.

However, the correlation between RICE δD data and ERAi SAT is limited—(Figure 2b), retaining a positive correlation across the Ross Ice Shelf and northern Ross Sea, but the WDC site now falls outside the field of the statistically significant correlation. The time series correlation between the ERAi SAT record and the RICE δD data (Figure 2d) is r=0.452 (p<0.01). We test the robustness of this relationship by applying the minimum and maximum age solutions within the age uncertainty (≤2 year during this time period, Winturup et al. 2017) to identify the age model solution within the age uncertainty that renders the highest correlation. This optimised solution RICE δD is shown in Figure 2e with a correlation coefficient of r=0.7566 (p<0.001). The correlation of the RICE δD record with the ERAi SAT data (Figure 2b) produces a pattern that more closely resembles the correlation pattern using the ERAi data itself (Figure 2a), suggesting that the δD record provides useful information about the regional temperature history.

From the comparison between RICE δD and ERAi SAT records, we obtain a temporal slope of 5.50±3.37‰ °C⁻¹ (Figure 2f), which falls within the lowest limit of previously reported values from Antarctica of ~5.56±2.90−3.43‰ °C⁻¹ for temporal (interannual) slopes (Schneider et al., 2005) and ±0.51‰ °C⁻¹ to ~6.80±0.57‰ °C⁻¹ for spatial slopes (Masson-Delmotte et al., 2008). We use this relationship to calculate temperature variations for the RICE δD record. The average annual temperature calculated for 1979-2012 from ERAi for the RICE site is -27.4 ± 2.4 °C and for the δD record: -27.5 ± 3.6 °C. Although the year to year SAT variability appears to be well captured in the RICE δD record, there are discrepancies in observed trends.

While RICE δD data suggest an increase in SAT from 1996 onwards, ERAi SAT data do not show a trend (Figure 2e). It remains a challenge to determine how well reanalysis products, including ERAi data, and other observations, including isotope data, capture temperature trends in Antarctica (Pages 2k Consortium, 2013; Stenni et al., 2017) and thus whether the observed difference in the trend between ice core δD and ERAi SAT is significant or meaningful. We also compare the δD record with records from AWS (Ferrell, Gill, and Margaret AWS) and stations (Byrd, McMurdo, Scott Base, Siple Stations) in the region (Figure S2 and Table S1, supplementary information). The comparison is hampered by the shortness of the records and gaps in the observations. Only years were used for which monthly values were reported for each month of the year. No statistically significant correlation was identified between δD and available data.
Furthermore, we test the correlation with the near surface Antarctic temperature reconstruction by Nicolas and Bromwich (2014; referred to as NB2014), Nicolas and Bromwich, (2014)- , which uses three reanalysis products and takes advantage of the revised Byrd Station temperature record (Bromwich et al., 2013) to provide an improved reanalysis product for Antarctica for the time period 1958-2012 CE. We find no correlation between the NB2014 records and the ERAi data at the RICE site, nor the RICE δD data for the 1979-2012 time period, perhaps suggesting some regional challenges. If the full time period available for the NB2014 data is considered (1957-2012), the NB2014 – RICE δD correlation becomes weakly statistically significant with r=0.23 (p=0.09, Table S1).

### 4.2 Regional snow accumulation variability

Temporal and spatial variability of snow accumulation are assessed using 144 snow stakes covering a 200 km² array. The 3 m long, stainless steel poles were set and surveyed in November 2010, re-measured in January 2011, and revisited and extended in January 2012 and November 2013. The measurements indicate a strong accumulation gradient with up to 32 cm water equivalent per year (weq a⁻¹) on the north-eastern flank decreasing to 9 cm weq a⁻¹ on the south-western flank. Near the drill site, annual average snow accumulation rates range from 20.3±2.2 cm weq a⁻¹ from 2010 to 2013. Investigation of snow precipitation events as captured by ERAi data shows that large snow precipitation events are associated with north-easterly flow (Emanuelsson et al., in review). Additionally, the RICE AWS included a Judd Ultrasonic Depth Sensor for In addition, snow accumulation measurements were measured using an ultrasonic sensor pouted on the RICE AWS. The sensor was positioned 140-160 cm above the ground and reset during each season. The 3-year report shows gaps (Figure 3) which represent times when rime precipitation on the sensor and/or blowing snow caused snow height to be erroneously recorded at the same height as the sensor measurements. This process condition was particularly prevalent during winter. Over the three years, the site received an average annual snowfall of ~75 cm a⁻¹. Assuming an average density of 0.37 g cm⁻³ (average density from two snow pits, 0-75 cm), the AWS data suggest ~20 cm weq a⁻¹ accumulation, which is comparable with the accumulation rate derived from the ice core (21 ± 6 cm weq a⁻¹ for the period 1979-2012, Winstrup et al., 2017). In contrast, ERAi data suggest an average annual snow accumulation of only 11 cm weq a⁻¹. These data represent height measurements and are not corrected for snow density. It has been shown that The Antarctic ERAi data have been shown to best capture snow precipitation variability in Antarctica, but generally, to perhaps underestimate the precipitation total amount (Sinclair et al., 2010; Bromwich et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2016). Further, the ERAi data are not directly comparable to the AWS data presented here local measurements because they, as the ERAi data are reported in cm weq and do not capture periods of snow removal scouring through by wind scouring. Yet, there is a good agreement between the two data sets with respect to the timing and relative rate of precipitation, which suggests neither winters nor summers have been times of significant snow removal scouring. We attribute the difference between the ERAi data and our measurements to the spatial differences between measurements of the snow stake array, the interpolation field of the nearest ERAi data point, and the actual drill site location, as well as differences in assumed snow densities, or different methodologies in the conversion from precipitation to water equivalent units. However, the ERAi data suggest an average annual snow accumulation of only 11 cm weq a⁻¹. The average annual snow accumulation rate derived from the RICE ice core (Winstrup et al., 2017) is 21 ± 0.06 cm weq a⁻¹ for the same time period (1979-2012). Assuming an average density of 0.37 g/cm³ (average density in two snow pits, 0-75 cm), the AWS data suggest 20 cm weq a⁻¹ snow accumulation, which is comparable to our ice core snow accumulation rate. We attribute this difference to the spatial differences between measurements of the snow stake array, the interpolation field of the nearest ERAi data point, and the actual drill site location. The regional representativeness of RICE snow accumulation data is assessed by correlating the ERAi precipitation time series, extracted for the RICE location, with the ERAi precipitation grid data (Figure 4a). The correlation suggests that precipitation variability at Roosevelt Island is
representative of the observed variability across the Ross Ice Shelf, the southern Ross Sea, and western West Antarctica. We note from Figure 4a that the sites of the Siple Dome ice core (green circle) and the West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide ice core (WDC, red circle) are situated within the positive correlation field, while Talos Dome (TALDICE, purple circle) and Taylor Dome (orange circle) show no correlation to ERAi precipitation at RICE. A negative correlation is found with the region of the South Pacific, Antarctic Peninsula and eastern West Antarctica Amundsen Sea Low (ASL). In Figure 4b, the RICE snow accumulation record is correlated with ERAi precipitation data and shows similar pattern. The resemblance of the correlation patterns suggests that the variability of the RICE snow accumulation data (Figure 4b) reflects regional precipitation variability (Figure 4a) and thus likely can elucidate regional snow accumulation variability in the past, in particular for array reconstructions such as i.e. Thomas et al. (2017). We also test the correlation with the optimised age scale derived for the δD record and find that for snow accumulation data this adjusted age scale (Acco) reduces the correlation but remains statistically significant (Table 2). This result suggests that the optimised age solution is not superior to the RICE17 age scale and we note that the sensitivity of the correlation to those minor adjustments is founded in the brevity of the common time period. However, none of these differences are significant between the two age scale solutions.

4.3 Influence of regional sea ice variability on RICE isotope and snow accumulation

Sea ice variability has been shown to influence isotope values in precipitated snow, particularly in coastal locations (Noone and Simmonds, 2004; Thomas and Bracegirdle, 2009; Küttel et al., 2012) through the increased contribution of enriched water vapour during times of reduced sea ice and increased sensible heat flux due to a higher degree of atmospheric stratification leading to more vigorous moisture transport. Tuohy et al. (2015) demonstrated that for the period 2006-2012 ~40-60% of precipitation arriving at Roosevelt Island came from local sources in the southern Ross Sea. In addition, Emanuelsson et al. (in review) demonstrated the important role of blocking events, that are associated with over 88% of large precipitation events at RICE, on sea ice variability via meridional wind field anomalies.

Snow accumulation at RICE is negatively correlated with SIE sea ice concentration (SIC) in the Ross Sea region and northern Amundsen Sea region (Figure 5a), which predominantly represents sea ice exported from the Ross Sea. We observe that with years of increased (decreased) SIE-SIC leading to reduced (increased) accumulation at RICE, confirming the sensitivity of moisture-bearing marine air mass intrusions to local ocean moisture sources and hence regional δD. The correlation between ERAi SIE-SIC and the optimised RICE δD record (Figure 5b) similarly shows a negative correlation of SIE-SIC in the Ross Sea (perhaps with the exception of the Ross and Terra Nova polynyas) and the northern Amundsen Sea suggesting more depleted (enriched) values during years of increased (reduced) δDESIC.

In Figure 5c and d, the sea ice extent index (SIE) for the Ross-Amundsen Sea, developed by Jones et al. (2016), is correlated with ERAi SAT and precipitation data. To investigate the relationship between the Ross Sea SIE and the temperature and precipitation at Roosevelt Island, the Ross-Amundsen Sea SIE index (SIEi) developed by Jones et al. (2016) is correlated with ERAi SAT and precipitation data (Figure 5c and d). We focus on the SIE in the Ross and Amundsen Seas (as defined by Jones et al., 2016). Here, the analysis also identifies the co-variance of SIE and SAT, with increasing (decreasing) sea ice coinciding with cooler (warmer) SAT. This suggests that during years of increased SIE, the Ross Ice Shelf and western Marie Byrd Land experience lower temperatures and less snow accumulation. The correlation between SIEi and ERAi precipitation at RICE is $r = -0.67$, and for SIEi and RICE snow accumulation $r = -0.56$ (Table 2). Moreover, the correlation between SIEi and ERAi SAT and SIEi and RICE δD is also statistically significant with $r = -0.38$ and $r = -0.58$, respectively. The higher correlation with RICE δD perhaps suggests that the influence of SIE in the Ross Sea region affects the RICE δD record both through direct temperature changes in the region as well as fractionation processes that are independent of temperature, such as the lengthened distillation pathway to RICE during periods of more extensive SIE.
The ERAi SAT and ERAi precipitation data at RICE (Table 2) reveal a positive correlation over large areas of Antarctica with higher correlation coefficients over the eastern Ross Sea and eastern Weddell Sea (spatial fields not shown). At the RICE site, the correlation reaches $r=0.66$ ($p<0.001$). Moreover, the correlation between RICE $\delta$D and RICE Acc [or RICE $\delta$D and RICE Acc$_C$] data yield a statistically significant correlation of $r=0.490$ ($p<0.05$) [or $r=0.4562$, $p<0.001$], respectively. This suggests that years with positive isotope anomalies are frequently characterised by higher snow accumulation rates. In contrast, precipitation during low snow accumulation years might be dominated by precipitation from air masses that have travelled further and perhaps across West Antarctica (Emanuelsson et al., in review) leading to more depleted isotope values and lower snow accumulation rates than local air masses from the Ross Sea region.

### 4.4 Influence of climate drivers on prevailing conditions in the Ross Sea region

Seasonal biases and the enhancing or compensating effects of the relative phasing of SAM, ENSO, and IPO conditions, on seasonal, annual and decadal time scales, make linear associations of climate conditions and their relationship with climate drivers in the South Pacific challenging. We use the SAM$_A$ index developed by Abram and colleagues (2014) to test the fidelity of the SAM relationship with the climatic conditions in the Ross Sea over the past millennium (Table 2). The SAM$_A$ is highly correlated ($r=0.75$) with the SAM record developed by Marshall (2003) for their common time period (1957-2009). In addition, the Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001), and Niño 3.4 Index (Rayner et al., 2003b) for PSA1, the Niño 4 Index (Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001) for PSA2, and along with the IPO Index (Henley et al., 2015) are used to investigate the influence of SST variability in the eastern (PSA1) and central (PSA2)-tropical Pacific on annual and decadal time scales (IPO). In addition, we take advantage of a 850-year reconstruction of the Niño 3.4 index (Emile-Geay et al., 2013) to investigate any long term influence of the eastern Pacific SST on environmental conditions in the Ross Sea.

The correlation of ERAi data and modern ice core records covering the 1979-2012 CE period with indices of relevant climate drivers (Table 2) suggests that SAM$_A$ has an enduring statistically significant relationship with temperature, snow accumulation and SIE in the Ross Sea, with the positive SAM being associated with cooler temperatures, lower snow accumulation / precipitation and more extensive SIE. The correlations remain robust and at comparable levels using a detrended SAM$_A$ record. In contrast, ENSO (SOI, Niño 3.4 and 4) and ENSO-like variability (IPO) have only linear statistically significant relationships with ERAi precipitation (but not with RICE snow accumulation) and SIE$_C$. The dynamic relationship between the phasing of SAM, PSA1 and PSA2, ENSO, and IPO maybe masking aspects of the interactions (Fogt and Bromwich, 2006; Marshall and Thompson, 2016). In 2010, an anomalously cold year is observed. If only the time series from 1979-2009 is considered, the correlations between RICE $\delta$D and these considered climate drivers becomes statistically significant: SOI ($r=-0.48$, $p=0.006$), Niño 3.4 ($r=0.48$, $p=0.007$); Niño 4 ($r=0.57$, $p=0.001$), and IPO ($r=0.44$, $p=0.014$). This further highlights the vulnerability of this analysis to individual years due to the brevity of the time series further complicating a linear analysis between individual drivers and regional responses. Moreover, statistically significant correlations might also be obtained if seasonal averages could be used for the comparison as ENSO events usually peak during the austral summer, in particular during December (Turner, 2004). We note that the influence of SAM and PSA2 lead to a climate dipole within the Ross Ice Shelf / Ross Sea Region with opposing trends of meridional heat flux and near surface winds between the eastern and western Ross Ice Shelf / Ross Sea region. In contrast, the teleconnections with the PSA1 and IPO cause changes that affect uniformly the entire Ross Ice Shelf / Ross Sea Region but with opposing effects in West Antarctica (Marshall and Thompson, 2016). Nonetheless, this analysis confirms previous findings that the Ross Sea Region is sensitive to the cumulative, independent and dependent influences of SAM, ENSO and the IPO.
Decadally-smoothed RICE isotope and snow accumulation records for the past 2.7 ka (Figure 6) are compared with published data from the Ross Sea region (Siple Dome – green), coastal East Antarctica (Talos Dome / TALDICE – purple, Taylor Dome - orange) and West Antarctica (West Antarctic Ice Sheet Divide Ice Core / WDC - red).

5.1 Regional Temperature Variability

We find that the RICE and Siple Dome (Brook et al., 2005) water isotope records share a long-term isotopic warming trend in the Ross Sea Region. In contrast, WDC isotope (Steig et al., 2013) and borehole temperature data (Orsi et al., 2012) exhibit a long-term cooling trend for West Antarctica, while TALDICE (Stenni et al. 2012) and Taylor Dome (Steig et al., 1998, Steig et al. 2000) recorded stable isotopic conditions for coastal East Antarctica in the western Ross Sea.

Elevation changes influence water isotope values (Vinther et al., 2009). Thinning of Roosevelt Island, inferred from the amplitude of arched isochrones beneath the crest of the divide and the depth-age relationship from the ice core (Conway et al., 1999; H. Conway, personal communication) is less than 2 cm a\(^{-1}\) for the past 3.5 ka. That is, the surface elevation has decreased less than 50 m over the past 2.7 ka. Assuming that these elevation changes are sufficient to influence vertical movement of the precipitating air mass, such an elevation change could account for an isotopic enrichment of \(\delta^{18}O = -2 \%\) (Vinther et al., 2009), which is insufficient to explain the total observed increase of 8 %. Furthermore, the RICE \(\delta^{18}O\) trend is characterised by two step-changes at 570-580 CE \(\pm\) 27 years and 1492-1477 CE \(\pm\) 10 years (grey dotted lines in Figure 6a). These change points were identified using minimum a threshold parameterisation to achieve a minimised residual error (Lavielle, 2005; Killick et al., 2012). At the identified change points, when the decadal isotope values increase by \(-3 \%\) and \(-5 \%\), respectively, which suggests that elevation changes were not a principal driver. Using the temporal slope of 5.53 \(\pm\) 37 \(\%\) per °C, these abrupt temperature transitions could represent an increase of the average decadal temperature (Figure 7a) from \(-29.28 \pm 0.5\) °C to \(-29.027.7\) °C and from \(-29.027.7\) °C to \(-28.026.2\) °C, respectively. An underlying influence from long-term thinning, accounting for a \(\delta\) shift of 2.4\%, would exaggerate the observed warming of \(-1.52.3\) °C by 0.4-7.6 °C, thus suggesting a minimum isotopic temperature warming of at least 1.1-6 °C. The modern decadal isotope temperature average (2003-2012) of \(-26.325.1\) °C (pink bar, Figure 7a) and ERAi temperature of \(-26.5\) °C lie within the 2-1 \(\sigma\) distribution of the natural decadal temperature variability of the past 500 years. We note that changes in atmospheric circulation and sea ice extent might also have contributed significantly to the change in the observed isotopic shift and we offer some suggestions in the following sections.

The Siple Dome ice core \(\delta^{18}O\) record exhibits a similar isotope history to the RICE \(\delta^{18}O\) record. Siple Dome isotope data reveal an abrupt warming or isotopic enrichment at 605 CE, some 27-25 years later than in RICE, but within the cumulative age uncertainty of the two records. After 605 CE, Siple Dome isotope temperatures remain stable, although recording somewhat warmer isotope temperatures from about 1875 CE. Late-Holocene elevation changes (thinning) at Siple Dome have been reported to be negligible (Price et al., 2007) and are unlikely to have caused the observed abrupt warming isotopic shift at 605 CE. In contrast to records from the western Ross Sea (Stenni et al., 2002; Bertler et al., 2011; Rhodes et al., 2012) and West Antarctica (Orsi et al., 2012), RICE and Siple Dome do not show an isotopic warming or cooling associated with the Medieval Warm Period (MWP) or the Little Ice Age (LIA), respectively.

The WDC \(\delta^{18}O\) record suggests a long-term isotope cooling of West Antarctica, confirmed by borehole temperature reconstructions (Orsi et al., 2012). This trend is consistent with warmer-than-average temperatures during the MWP and cooler conditions during the LIA, but may also reflect changes in elevation and decreasing insolation (Steig et al., 2013). The cooling trend is followed by an increase in temperature in recent decades (Steig et al., 2009; Orsi et al., 2012) consistent with an increase in marine air mass intrusions (Steig et al., 2013). We note that within 200 years of the onset of the isotopic warming at RICE (at 580 CE \(\pm\) 27 years), the WDC borehole temperature and isotope data start to record a temperature decline, in line
with the observed anti-phased relationship of WDC with RICE and Siple Dome. 579 CE marks the onset of a decline in WDC isotope and borehole temperatures, in line with the observed anti-phase relationship of WDC with RICE and Siple Dome. No notable change is observed in WDC water stable-isotope temperature data in the late 15th Century. In contrast, WDC borehole temperature suggests the onset of a warming trend within the last 100 years, marking the modern divergence between WDC isotope and borehole records. The TALDICE and Taylor Dome water stable-isotope temperatures do not exhibit a long term trend over the past 2.7 ka. Yet colder water stable-isotope temperature anomalies have been associated with the LIA period at both sites (Stenni et al., 2002), which coincide with cooler conditions at WDC and approximately with the intensified increase in isotope temperature warming at RICE, and cooler conditions at WDC. The similarity between the RICE and Siple Dome isotope records suggests that the eastern Ross Sea was dominated by regionally-coherent climate drivers over the past 2.7 ka, perhaps receiving precipitation via similar air-mass trajectories. Overall this comparison shows that isotope temperature trends in the eastern Ross Sea (isotopic warming at RICE and Siple Dome) and West Antarctica (WDC cooling) were anti-phased for over 2 ka (660 BCE to ~1500 CE), while the western Ross Sea (TALDICE) remained stable, forming a distinct Ross Sea Dipole pattern. From the 17th Century onwards this relationship changes, while WDC water stable isotope temperatures continue to cool, from the 17th Century, the WDC borehole temperature records a warming. At the same time, in phase with RICE and Siple Dome experience warmer isotope temperatures while TALDICE recovers from its coldest recorded isotope temperature during the study period and concomitant with warmer temperatures at TALDICE.

5.2 Snow Regional snow accumulation variability

Investigating long-term trends in snow accumulation records (Figure 6b), the decadally-smoothed RICE show a discernible positive trend from about 600 CE of about 0.2±0.1 cm weq per century (Winstrup et al. 2017) for the eastern Ross Sea. TALDICE data (Stenni et al., 2011) show a long term increase in snow accumulation rates for the eastern and western Ross Sea, respectively, while WDC (Fudge et al., 2016) displays a decreasing trend for central West Antarctica. The RICE snow accumulation data reach a maximum in the 13th Century, with a trend towards lower values from ~1686 CE (the late 17th Century onward of about -0.9±0.6 cm weq per century (Winstrup et al. 2017). Since 1950 CE, this trend accelerated with a decreasing rate of -6.6 cm weq per century (Winstrup et al. 2017). Based on the strong negative correlation between RICE snow accumulation and SHE-SIC in recent decades, we interpret the long-term increase in snow accumulation to represent a long term reduction in SHE-SIC the Ross Sea, consistent with a long term increase in RICE isotope temperature. The recent rapid decline in snow accumulation rates could be related to increases in sea ice conditions in the eastern Ross Sea, perhaps marking the modern onset of local sea ice expansion. The modern decadal average (2002-2012) of 20 cm weq a-1 lies within the 2 σ variability of decadal RICE ice core snow accumulation rates (Figure 7). Talos Dome records its maximum snow accumulation rates at the end of the 13th Century and reduced snow accumulation during the Little Ice Age period (Stenni et al., 2002), TALDICE records its maximum in the 20th Century and had a positive trend perhaps commencing in the 15th Century. Until the 15th Century, RICE and WDC snow accumulation and isotope data show an expected positive correlation for all three ice cores RICE, WDC and TALDICE between their respective isotope and snow accumulation records. Regionally, RICE and TALDICE-WDC isotope and snow accumulation trends are in phase, while being out of phase with WDC are anti-phased. From the 16th Century, this relationship reverses and RICE and WDC snow accumulation are now in phase, suggesting below average snow accumulation in the eastern Ross Sea and West Antarctica. However, TALDICE records above average snow accumulation in the western Ross Sea region. We note that from the 16th Century snow accumulation at RICE and WDC display a negative correlation with water stable isotope (RICE) and borehole temperature (WDC) reconstructions, respectively. At RICE this relationship is again positive for 1979-2012. During the 20th Century, Talos Dome records an increase in snow
accumulation of ~11% in the western Ross Sea (Stenni et al., 2002), in contrast to observed snow accumulation decrease at RICE and WDC. However, the values are not unique within the variability of the 800 years captured in the Talos Dome record.

6 Drivers and patterns of decadal to centennial climate variability

Paleo-reconstructions of the SAM$_A$ (Abram et al., 2014) and Niño 3.4 (Emile-Geay et al., 2013) indices (Figure 6) provide important opportunities to investigate the influence of dominant drivers of regional climate conditions over the past millennium. The Niño 3.4 index captures in particular the ENSO signal originating in the central-eastern tropical Pacific associated with the PSA1 pattern. Emile-Geay and colleagues (2013) note that while the reconstructions based on three model outputs agree well on decadal to multidecadal time periods, they show different sensitivities at centennial resolution (but not the sign). The SAM index (Thompson and Wallace, 2000; Thompson and Solomon, 2002a) was developed during the late 20th Century, at a time when SAM was characterised by a strong positive trend. The SAM$_A$ reconstruction showed that the modern SAM is now at its most positive state of the past millennium (Abram et al., 2014). As a consequence, the reconstructed SAM$_A$ index is mainly negative. To investigate the influence of positive and negative anomalies of the SAM$_A$ relative to its average state over the past 1 ka, we plot the SAM$_A$ reconstruction with an above (light purple) / below (purple) value of its long term average of -1.3 (instead of ‘0’). The traditional positive SAM$_A$ values (above 0, dark purple) are also shown for reference.

Assessing the relationship between RICE, Siple Dome, WDC and TALDICE, we identify three major time periods of change: 660 BCE to 1367 CE (long-term baseline), 1368 to 1683 CE (negative SAM$_A$) and 1684 to 2012 CE (onset of the positive SAM$_A$).

6.1 Long-term baseline 660 BCE to 1367 CE

We find that for over 2 ka – from 660 ± 44 years BCE to 1367 ± 12 CE years, the eastern Ross Sea (RICE and Siple Dome) shows an enduring antiphase relationship with West Antarctica (WDC), while coastal East Antarctica in the western Ross Sea (TALDICE, Taylor Dome) remains neutral (Figure 6). Moreover, with some minor exceptions, isotope and snow accumulation records at RICE, WDC, and TALDICE, respectively, are positively correlated.

6.2 Negative SAM$_A$ - 1368 CE to 1683 CE

The SAM$_A$ reconstruction suggests, that over the past millennium, the SAM was at its most negative (Abram et al., 2014) from 1368 ± 12 years CE to 1683 ± 8 CE years. As noted by Abram et al. (2014), the SAM$_A$ and Niño 3.4 reconstructions (Emile-Geay et al., 2013) are anti-phased on multi-decadal to centennial time scales with the Niño 3.4 index recording some of the warmest SSTs over the past 850 years during this period of negative SAM$_A$.

During the negative SAM$_A$, RICE shows a distinct and sudden increase in isotope temperature, while TALDICE records its coldest conditions over the past 2.7ka—consistent with a negative SAM forced dipole change in meridional heat flux and surface wind anomalies in the Ross Sea (Marshall and Thompson, 2016). We do not interpret the Taylor Dome record for this time period because its age scale uncertainties in this part of the record (0-3ka BP is based on a flow model which assumes constant snow accumulation and lacks independent age benchmarks. Steig et al. (1998), Steig et al. (2000), Steig et al. (1998), Steig et al. (2000),). Previously published shorter records from the western Ross Sea from Victoria Lower Glacier in the McMurdo Dry Valleys (Bertler et al., 2011) and Mt Erebus Saddle (Rhodes et al., 2012) also suggest colder conditions in the western Ross Sea during this period, with more extensive sea ice and stronger katabatic flow. We observe that WDC and TALDICE show below average snow accumulation values, while RICE snow accumulation changes from a long-term positive trend to a neutral-negative trend. Such trends are
consistent with the reported increased SIE in the western Ross Sea (colder SAT, lower snow accumulation, at TALDICE; and cooler conditions with more extensive sea ice and stronger katabatic winds at Victoria Lower Glacier and Mt Erebus) and Bellingshausen Sea (less snow accumulation, colder SAT at WDC). In contrast, RICE records warmer isotope temperatures along with less and more variable snow accumulation, displaying a distinct Ross Sea Dipole. We suggest that the distinct antiphase relationship is caused by the SAM forcing of equatorward (poleward) heat-flux anomalies in the western (eastern) Ross Sea. Coinciding with the sudden increase in RICE $\delta$D in 1492 CE is the decoupling of the local isotope temperature from snow accumulation, evident from the diversion of the RICE snow accumulation and $\delta$D trends. The reduction in snow accumulation might be linked to a negative SAM-induced weakening of the ASL, leading to the development of fewer blocking events. Alternatively, the abrupt change to warmer isotope temperatures at RICE might also point towards the development of the Roosevelt Island polynya. In recent decades, a Roosevelt Island polynya is observed and merges at times with the much larger Ross Sea polynya (Morales Maqueda et al., 2004). In contrast to the Ross Sea Polynya (Sinclair et al., 2010), a local polynya could provide a potent source for isotopically enriched vapour to precipitation at RICE, perhaps exaggerating the actual warming of the area as interpreted from water stable isotope data. We expect the influence of a Roosevelt Island polynya to have a reduced effect on the more distant Siple Dome, which is consistent with our observations.

6.3 Onset of the positive SAM - 1684 CE to 2012 CE

At 1684 CE ± 7 years, the SAM$_A$ increases and remains above its long-term average until modern times while the Niño 3.4 index suggests a change to the prevalence of strong La Niña-like conditions, conditions conducive to a strengthening of the ASL. RICE $\delta$D suggest the continuation of warm, isotopically enriched conditions, while snow accumulation drops below the long-term average, with the RICE snow accumulation trend now in-phase with the negative trend at WDC (Figure 6). In contrast, TALDICE At the same time, Talos Dome records above average, highly variable snow accumulation rates with an 11% increase in snow accumulation rates from the 20$^{th}$ Century onwards, which are out of phase with RICE and WDC. Such an alignment is consistent with a positive SAM forced dipole in meridional heat flux and surface winds (Marshall and Thompson, 2016) between the western Ross Sea (TALDICE) contrasting the eastern Ross Sea (RICE, Siple Dome) and western West Antarctica (WDC). The change to above-average SAM$_A$ (or even positive SAM) values does not coincide with notable changes in any of the isotope records of RICE, Siple Dome or WDC, but concurs with a modest warming of the TALDICE isotope record. However, it marks coincides with the onset of the diversion of WDC water isotope and borehole temperature reconstructions and the decoupling of the RICE $\delta$D and snow accumulation trends. Coincident positive SAM (purple SAM$_A$ values in Figure 6c) and La Niña events have been linked in recent decades to increases in SIE in the western Ross Sea and decreases in the Bellingshausen Sea (Stammerjohn et al., 2008). This is consistent with the notable reduction in snow accumulation at RICE and the trend towards warmer conditions and increased marine air mass intrusions into West Antarctica as inferred from WDC isotope data (Steig et al., 2013) at WDC, but is inconsistent with the reduction in snow precipitation at WDC and the trend to warmer conditions isotope temperatures at RICE. We interpret the continuation of warm RICE isotope temperatures to reflect the persistence of the Roosevelt Island polynya.

6.4 Dipole pattern on decadal to centennial time scales

To investigate the drivers of decadal to centennial variability, we compare the linearly detrended time series of RICE water stable isotope records with those from (i) Siple Dome and WDC (West Antarctica, Figure 8a) and (ii) TALDICE (East Antarctica, western Ross Sea, Figure 8b). The analysis suggests that until ~200 CE, RICE and Siple Dome (eastern Ross Sea) are in phase with TALDICE variability and thus the East Antarctic climate of the western Ross Sea. From about 400-500 CE onwards, RICE and Siple Dome variability are in-phase with West Antarctic climate variability (WDC). In contrast, RICE and TALDICE isotope variability alternates between spatial pattern of in-phase (purple) and anti-phase (grey, Ross Sea
Dipole) relationships. During the negative phase of the SAM_\text{A} (Figure 8), the anti-correlation between RICE and TALDICE is particularly strong. Comparable periods of a strong anti-phase relationship occur during 800 – 1200 CE and 300 – 600 CE (grey boxes), perhaps indicative of earlier periods of a strong SAM forcing, albeit a strongly positive SAM with warmer conditions at TALDICE and cooler conditions at RICE. The most recent decades experienced a strong positive SAM influence on the region, which also shows a distinct anti-correlation between RICE and TALDICE and is consistent with this hypothesis.

To assess the correlation of cyclicities apparent in the RICE, Siple Dome, WDC and TALDICE isotope records, wavelet coherence spectrum analyses were conducted (Figure 9) on the time series shown in Figure 8. The analysis of RICE and Siple Dome (Figure 9a) suggests that the two records positively correlate at a broad spectrum of frequencies with cyclicities between 200 – 500 years. The correlation is weakest during 660-100 BCE. A strong anti-phased coherence is also observed for the 30-70 year periodicity from 100-1400-800 CE. The high coherency suggests that RICE and Siple Dome respond to similar forcings. The coherence analysis between RICE and WDC shows an enduring in-phase correlations from ~1000 CE to today for the bandwidth of 200 - 700 years. An anti-phase coherence is found from 0 - 500 CE. The coherence analysis between RICE and TALDICE identifies strong relationships predominantly for the early part of the records, from 660 BCE to ~500-800 CE and a weak coherence from about 1400-800-1700 CE, when RICE leads by ~75-100 years. The analysis suggests that for the past 2.7 ka the eastern Ross Sea (RICE, Siple Dome) and western West Antarctica (WDC) are climatologically closely linked in their response to forcings on decadal to centennial time scales and are positively correlated for the past 1.6 ka. In contrast, the relationship between the western (TALDICE) and eastern (RICE, Siple Dome) Ross Sea is more variable, experienced a marked change, with a strongly coupled relationship until ~500 CE, which also coincides with opposing climate variability that we interpret to represent a strengthened response to positive and negative SAM forcing of the region.

7 Concluding Remarks

The recent change to a strongly negative SAM (Marshall Index -3.12) in November 2016 coincided with a significant reduction of Antarctic SIE, including the Ross Sea, during the 2016/17 summer (Turner et al., 2017). Longer observations are necessary to assess whether this recent trend continues and indeed forces the reduced SIE, but it fuels questions on the potential acceleration of future environmental change in the Antarctic / Southern Ocean region. To improve projections for the coming decades, an improved understanding of the interplay of teleconnections and local feedbacks is needed.

The Ross Sea region is a climatologically sensitive region that is exposed to tropical and mid-latitude climate drivers. In recent decades, SAM and PSA2 teleconnections lead to opposing effects in the eastern and western Ross Sea region with respect to meridional heat flux, surface wind fields (Marshall and Thompson, 2016), and sea ice extent (Stammerjohn et al., 2008) exhibiting a Ross Sea Dipole. The ASL has shown to deepens during combined coinciding positive SAM and La Niña events, and to weakens during negative SAM and El Niño events. Such interactions have far reaching implications on the regional atmospheric and ocean circulations and sea ice (Turner et al., 2015, Raphael et al., 2016). Additionally, a negative (positive) IPO leads to cooler (warmer) SSTs in the Ross, Amundsen and Bellingshausen seas and has the potential to strengthen (in phase) or weaken (out of phase) the ENSO teleconnection (Henley et al., 2015). Furthermore, the phasing and strength of ENSO events and SAM have been shown to be dependent (Fogt and Bromwich, 2006).

Our data suggest that changes in these dynamically linked climate patterns led to coincide with significant and abrupt changes in the past with implications for regional interpretations of trends, including temperature, mass balance and SIE. For over 2 ka, from 660 BCE to the late 14th Century, climate trends in the eastern Ross Sea (RICE and Siple Dome, trend to warmer isotope temperatures and higher precipitation) are anti-correlated with conditions in the western West Antarctica (WDC, isotope cooling with reduced precipitation), while coastal East Antarctica in the western Ross Sea appeared decoupled (TALDICE/Taylor Dome and Talos Dome, no trend in isotope temperature or precipitation, respectively). This regional pattern
re-organised during a period with strongly negative SAM conditions (SAM$_A$) accompanied by weak La Niña-like conditions, exceptionally warm tropical SST (Niño 3.4 index). In modern times, such conditions cause a weakening of the ASL, which in turn can lead to a reduction of marine air mass intrusions into West Antarctica. Indeed, we observe that when western West Antarctica (WDC borehole and isotope temperature) and the western Ross Sea (TALDICE) show cold temperatures during this time period that coincides with the Little Ice Age, while the eastern Ross Sea (RICE, Siple Dome) shows warmer or stable isotope temperatures, respectively. In the late 17$^{th}$ Century, the SAM$_A$ changes to above average values, concurrent with a change to strong La Niña-like conditions, a framework conducive to a deepening of the ASL. Now, West Antarctica (WDC borehole temperature), the eastern Ross Sea (RICE, Siple Dome) and TALDICE experienced warmer isotope temperatures and increased precipitation, while the eastern Ross Sea (RICE, Siple Dome) exhibit reduced precipitation and warmer temperatures.

At the same time, however, we observe reduced snow accumulation at RICE and WDC, and an increase at Talos Dome. We interpret this pattern to reflect an increase in SIE in the western-eastern Ross Sea with perhaps the establishment of the modern Roosevelt Island polynya as a local moisture source for RICE. At the same time, western West Antarctica is showing a warming (WDC borehole temperature) along with an increase in marine air mass intrusions (WDC isotopes) and a reduction in snow accumulation (WDC). However, when longer-term trends are removed from the correlations, we find earlier periods of a strong Ross Sea dipole which we interpret to reflect previous time periods dominated by strongly positive SAM conditions from the 3rd–6th Century and 9-13th Century. Our observations are consistent with the reconstruction of the strongly negative SAM from the 11-18th Century and the positive SAM from the 19th Century to today. The continued improvements of array reconstructions (Stenni et al., 2017; Thomas et al., 2017) and the assessment of isotope-enabled climate models are an exciting development to further our knowledge of the drivers and effects of past change and their implications for future projections.

Data availability.
The following new RICE data are made available:
- RICE water stable isotopes ($\delta^D$) have been archived at the PANGAEA data base: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.880396
- GPS and Radar data have been archived at the U.S. Antarctic Program Data Center: https://gcmd.gsfc.nasa.gov/search/Metadata.do?entry=USAP-0944307&subset=GCMD

The following RICE Data are published elsewhere:
- RICE17 age scale has been archived at the PANGAEA data base: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.882202
- RICE Snow Accumulation data have been archived at the PANGAEA data base: https://doi.pangaea.de/10.1594/PANGAEA.882202

Meteorological data and climate indices published elsewhere:
- SAM$_A$ Index developed by Abram et al. 2014 has been accessed via ftp://ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/contributions_by_author/abram2014/abram2014sam.txt
- Niño 3.4 Index (Rayner et al., 2003a) has been accessed via http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/gcos_wgsp/Timeseries/Nino34/
- Niño 4 Index (Rayner et al., 2003a) has been accessed via https://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/gcos_wgsp/Timeseries/Nino4/
- SOI (Allan et al., 1991) has been accessed via http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/soi/
- Ross/Amundsen Sea sea ice extent data (SIEJ) developed by Jones et al. (2016) have been accessed via http://www.nature.com/articles/nclimate3103#supplementary-information
• Byrd Station meteorological data (Bromwich et al., 2013) have been accessed via the Byrd Polar Research Centre, Polar Meteorological Group, Ohio State University http://www.polarmet.osu.edu/datasets/Byrd_recon/
• Meteorological data for Ferrell, Gill, and Margaret AWS have been accessed via Antarctic Meteorological Research Center and Automatic Weather Station Project https://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu
• Data for McMurdo Station and Scott Base are accessed via the MET-READER https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/READER/data.html
• IPO Index (Henley et al., 2015) has been accessed via http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/psd/data/timeseries/IPOTPI/
• NB2014 - near-surface Antarctic temperature reconstruction data (NB2014, Nicolas and Bromwich, 2014) have been accessed via http://polarmet.osu.edu/datasets/Antarctic_recon/
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Table 1: Overview of ice core records used in this manuscript. Locations are present in Figure 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Drill Depth (m)</th>
<th>Age Scale</th>
<th>Year Recovered</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RICE Deep</td>
<td>S 79.3640</td>
<td>W 161.706</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>8.57-764.60</td>
<td>2011/12 (0-130m) and 2012/13 (130-764.60m)</td>
<td>This paper</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE 12/13 B</td>
<td>S 79.3621</td>
<td>W 161.700</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>0-19.41</td>
<td>RICE17</td>
<td>2012/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siple Dome</td>
<td>S 81.65</td>
<td>W 148.81</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>1.004</td>
<td></td>
<td>1997-99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Talos Dome   (TD96)</td>
<td>S 72.80</td>
<td>E 159.06</td>
<td>2.316</td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td>1995</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Overview of correlation coefficients for annual means of the common time period 1979-2012 between climate parameters, proxies and indices: the original RICE (δD) and optimised (δDo) data (this paper), the original RICE snow accumulation data (RICE Acc, Winstrup et al., in preparation2017) and data adjusted to the revised age scale of δDo – Acco, ERAi Surface Temperature (ERAi SAT) and Precipitation (ERAi Precip), Dee et al., 2011, Southern Annular Mode Index (SAM_A, Abram et al., 2014), Southern Oscillation Index (SOI, Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001), Niño 4 Index (Trenberth and Stepaniak, 2001) and Niño 3.4 (Emile-Geay et al., 2013), Inter-decadal Pacific Oscillation Index (IPO, Henley et al.2015), and the near-surface Antarctic temperature reconstruction (NB2014, Nicolas and Bromwich, 2014). Significance values are adjusted for degree of freedom depending on the length of the time series. Only correlation coefficients exceeding 95% (r≥0.34, n=34) are shown; bold-italic values exceed 99% (r≥0.42, n=34); bold values exceed 99.9% (r≥0.54, n=34). SAM_A and IPO have been adjusted for a lower degree of freedom (df=28) as the reconstructions end in 2007. Nss denotes ‘not statistically significant’. Correlation between RICE δD and RICE Acc is r=0.400.49, p<0.050.01; RICE δDo and RICE Acco is r=0.456.3, p<0.01.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ERAi SAT</th>
<th>ERAi Precip</th>
<th>SIE_A</th>
<th>SAM_A</th>
<th>SOI</th>
<th>Niño 4</th>
<th>Niño 3.4</th>
<th>IPO</th>
<th>NB2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RICE δD/δDo</td>
<td>0.450.42/0.280.66</td>
<td>0.140.36/0.490.43</td>
<td>-0.42/-</td>
<td>0.49/-</td>
<td>0.52/</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RICE Acc/Acco</td>
<td>0.60/0.34/0.22</td>
<td>0.67/0.34/0.22</td>
<td>-0.56/-</td>
<td>-0.46/</td>
<td>0.44/-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAi SAT</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>nss</td>
<td>nss</td>
<td></td>
<td>nss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAi Precip</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>nss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIE_A</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-0.67</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
<td>nss</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figures

Figure 1: (a) Overview map of the Ross Sea region and eastern West Antarctica. Antarctic ice velocity derived from ALOS PALSAR, Envisat ASAR, RADARSAT-2 and ERS-1/2 satellite radar interferometry colour coded on a logarithmic scale (Rignot et al., 2011). Coloured dots indicate the locations of ice core drilling sites used in this manuscript: RICE (blue), WDC (red), Siple Dome (green), TALDICE/Talos Dome TD96 (purple), Taylor Dome (orange). (b) Overview map of Roosevelt Island derived from Modis satellite images (Scambos et al., 2007). The maps were created using the Antarctic Mapping Tool (Greene et al., 2017). The coloured dots indicate the location of the RICE drill site (blue) and the nearest ERAi grid point (yellow).
Figure 2: Spatial correlation fields exceeding ≥ 95% significance between a) ERAi annual SAT at the RICE site with ERAi annual SAT in the Antarctic / Southern Ocean region and b) ERAi annual SAT and annually averaged RICE δD data. c) as for b but with optimised RICE δD data alignment within the dating uncertainty. The correlation has been performed using ClimateReanalyzer.Org, University of Maine, USA. Comparison of the ERAi SAT time series with d) time series of ERAi SAT and RICE δD data, and e) time series of ERAi SAT and optimised RICE δD data alignment. Panel f) scatter plot between RICE δDs and ERAi SAT. The coloured dots indicate the locations of the drill sites – RICE (blue), Siple Dome (green), WDC (red), and TALDICE (pink), and Taylor Dome (orange).
Figure 3: Comparison of snow accumulation data recorded by the RICE AWS (blue) in cumulative snow height in cm and ERAi precipitation values (red) in cm water equivalent cumulative height for the RICE Drill Location. Dotted blue and red lines indicate linear trends for AWS and ERAi data, respectively.
Figure 4: a) Spatial correlation between ERAi annual precipitation at the RICE site with ERAi annual precipitation in the Antarctic / Southern Ocean region and b) spatial correlation between ERAi annual precipitation and annually averaged RICE snow accumulation data. Only fields exceeding ≥ 95% significance are shown. The correlation has been performed using ClimateReanalyzer.Org, University of Maine, USA. Comparison of the ERAi precipitation time series with d) RICE snow accumulation data and e) RICE snow accumulation with optimised RICE δD data alignment. Coloured dots indicate locations of WDC (red), Siple Dome (green), RICE (blue), and TALDICE/Talos Dome (purple), and Taylor Dome (orange).
Figure 5: Upper panels: Spatial correlation of ERAi sea ice concentration (SIC) fields with the time series of a) RICE snow accumulation and b) RICE $\delta$D. Lower panels: spatial correlation of the Ross-Amundsen Sea Sea Ice Extent (SIE$_R$) time series (Jones et al., 2016) with c) ERAi Precipitation and d) ERAi SAT fields. Only fields exceeding ≥ 95% significance are shown. The correlation has been performed using ClimateReanalyzer.Org, University of Maine, USA. Coloured dots indicate locations of WDC (red), Siple Dome (green), RICE (blue), TALDICE/Talos Dome (purple), and Taylor Dome (orange).
Figure 6: a) Isotope records for the past 2,700 years for RICE, Siple Dome (Brook et al., 2005), WDC (WAIS Divide Project Members, 2013) and TALDICE (Stenni et al., 2011); b) snow accumulation data for RICE (Winstrup et al., in preparation 2017), WDC (Fudge et al., 2016), and TALDICE-Talos Dome (TD96) (Buiron et al., 2011; Stenni et al., 2002). No snow accumulation data are available for Siple Dome or Taylor Dome; c) Reconstructions of Climate Indices for SAM_A (Abram et al., 2014) and Niño 3.4 based on HadSST2 (Emile-Geay et al., 2013). Colour coding identifies above and below average values. Grey shaded area emphasises period of negative SAM_A, yellow shaded area emphasises period of synchronous warming at RICE, Siple Dome, WDC and TALDICE.

Figure 7: a) Frequency occurrences of decadal temperature variations (10 year moving averages) as reconstructed from the RICE ice core are shown for three periods: 660 BCE to 578 CE – dark blue, 579-1477 CE – light blue, and 1478-2012 CE – cyan. The 10 year average temperature for the most recent decade contained in the record, 2002-2012, -25.78 deg C, is shown in pink. b) Frequency occurrences of decadal RICE snow accumulation variations (10 year moving averages for 660 CE to 578-623 CE – dark blue, 579-1685 CE – light blue, and 1686-1737 CE - cyan. The 10 year average for the most recent contained in the record, 2002-2012, 0.2 m weq is shown in pink.
Figure 8: Phasing of multi-decadal and centennial climate temperature variability at RICE, Siple Dome, WDC and TALDICE using detrended, normalised isotope records, smoothed with a 200-year moving average. RICE and Siple Dome are compared with a) Siple Dome and WDC and b) TALDICE to investigate phase relationships of climate variability in the eastern Ross Sea (RICE, Siple Dome) with West (WDC) and East Antarctica (TALDICE). WA= West Antarctica, EA= East Antarctica. The boxes red shading indicates periods of synchronicity of RICE and Siple Dome records with WA (red box) or EA (purple box). Black grey shading boxes indicate time periods where RICE (eastern Ross Sea) shows an strong antiphase relationship (a Ross Sea Dipole) with TALDICE (western Ross Sea). Purple shading identifies times when RICE and EA are in phase. The normalised SAM and Niño 3.4 records, smoothed with a 200-year moving average, are shown for comparison.
Figure 9: Wavelet coherence and cross spectrum analysis of a) RICE δD and Siple Dome δ¹⁸O, b) RICE δD and WDC δ¹⁸O, c) RICE δD and TALDICE δ¹⁸O. The Analysis was conducted on decadally averaged, detrended data, smoothed with a 200 year moving average. The coherence is computed using the Morlet wavelet and is expressed as magnitude-squared coherence (msc). The phase of the wavelet cross-spectrum is provide for values over 0.6 msc using a Welch’s overlapped averaged periodogram method (Rabiner et al., 1978; Kay, 1988). Arrows to the right indicate RICE is leading, arrows the left indicate RICE is lagging. An upright or downward arrow represents ¼ cycle difference.
Supplementary Information.

Table S1: Pearson correlation coefficient (r) and significance values (p) for correlations between RICE \( ^\delta \)D record and relevant observational records from automatic weather stations and reanalysis data. Data for the reconstructed Byrd Station meteorological data (Bromwich et al., 2013) are accessed via the Byrd Polar Research Centre, Polar Meteorological Group, Ohio State University (http://www.polarmet.osu.edu/datasets/Byrd_recon/). Weather station data for Ferrell, Gill, and Margaret AWS are accessed via Antarctic Meteorological Research Center and Automatic Weather Station Project (https://amrc.ssec.wisc.edu). Data for McMurdo Station and Scott Base are accessed via the MET-READER (https://legacy.bas.ac.uk/met/READER/data.html). The number of years of observations represents the total number of years which contain monthly averages for each month of a calendar year. Only years with 12 monthly values are included in the correlation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation with RICE ( ^\delta )D</th>
<th>Location (lat/long)</th>
<th>Elevation (m asl)</th>
<th>Time Period</th>
<th>No of Years of Observations</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>p-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Byrd Station (revised by Bromwich et al.)</td>
<td>80.0° S 120.0° W</td>
<td>1515</td>
<td>1957-2012</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrell AWS</td>
<td>77.833° S 170.819° E</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>1982-2012</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gill AWS</td>
<td>79.879° S 178.565° W</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1987-2012</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Margaret AWS</td>
<td>79.981° S 165.099° W</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>2009-2012</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McMurdo AWS</td>
<td>77.9° S 166.7° E</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1957-2012</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scott Base AWS</td>
<td>77.9° S 166.7° E</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1958-2009</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Siple Station AWS</td>
<td>75.9° S 84.0° W</td>
<td>1054</td>
<td>1982-1992</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB2014</td>
<td>Extracted for nearest grid point to RICE location 79.39° S / 161.71° W</td>
<td>1979-2012</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NB2014</td>
<td>Extracted for nearest grid point to RICE location 79.39° S / 161.71° W</td>
<td>1958-2012</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERAi</td>
<td>Extracted for nearest grid point to RICE location 79.39° S / 161.71° W</td>
<td>1979-2012</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure S1: RICE AWS covered with rime after the winter season. The photo was taken in November 2012.
Figure S2: Comparison of temperature data from Antarctic Stations, remote Antarctic Weather Stations (AWS), and reanalysis products with δD RICE data. Origin of the data is referenced in Table R1-1. Panel (a) shows the actual data, panels (b) and (c) show the comparison of the standardised records for the time periods 1957-2012 and 1975-2012, respectively.