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Ground surface temperature reconstruction for the last 500 years obtained from permafrost temperatures observed in the Stelvio Share borehole, Italian Alps.

ABSTRACT

The general pattern of ground surface temperatures (GST) reconstructed from the permafrost Stelvio Share Borehole (SSB) for the last 500 years are similar to the mean annual air temperature (MAAT) reconstructions for the European Alps. The main difference with respect to MAAT reconstructions relates to post Little Ice Age (LIA) events. Between 1940 and 1989, SSB data indicate a 0.9°C cooling. Subsequently, a rapid and abrupt GST warming (more than 0.8°C per decade) was recorded between 1990 and 2011. This warming is of the same magnitude as the increase of MAAT between 1990 and 2000 recorded in central Europe and roughly double the MAAT in the Alps.
1 INTRODUCTION

The thermal regime of the uppermost ground is determined by the geothermal heat flow and by the fluctuations of temperature at the surface. If rock was homogeneous and no temperature change were to occur at the surface, the temperature would increase linearly with depth. The gradient of this temperature increase would be governed solely by the magnitude of the terrestrial heat flow and by the thermal conductivity of the rock. However, variations of ground surface temperature (GST) propagate downwards into the rock as attenuating thermal waves, superimposed on the aforementioned linear temperature profile. The depth to which disturbances can be recorded is determined mainly by the amplitude and duration of the temperature change at the surface. Generally, propagation of climate signals is slow and it can take more than 1,000 years to reach the depth of 500m (Huang et al., 2000). For a better conservation of the climate signal in the thermal profile, no lateral heat advection (due for example to ground water flow) should be present (Lewis and Wang, 1992). Since normally no groundwater circulation is present within permafrost, boreholes drilled into it are particularly suited for GST reconstructions.

Lachenbruch and Marshall (1986) were among the first to demonstrate that thermal profiles obtained from boreholes drilled in permafrost can be used to reconstruct ground surface temperature changes. These do not require calibration because the heat conduction equation is directly used to infer temperature changes at the ground surface. Today, the majority of permafrost boreholes used to reconstruct ground surface temperatures are located in the Polar regions of North America and Eurasia where the boreholes can be drilled on flat terrain, with negligible topographical effects, and with a permafrost thicknesses typically exceed 100 m, thereby providing deep temperature logs and long surface temperature reconstructions.

The Share Stelvio borehole (SSB) in the Italian Alps is the deepest drilled within permafrost in the mid-latitude mountains of Europe. Because the permafrost thickness exceeds 200 m at this site, it allows reconstruction of the ground surface temperature for much of the last millennium. In addition, the Stelvio borehole is located on a rounded summit with gentle side slopes. Therefore, site-specific topographic influences are largely eliminated. As such, it is different to the other boreholes drilled in permafrost in the Alps (e.g. PACE boreholes at Schilthorn or Stockhorn; see Harris et al., 2003; Gruber et al., 2004; Hilbich et al., 2008; Harris et al., 2009).

Recent atmospheric warming (over the last century) in the European Alps has been roughly twice the global average (Böhm et al., 2001; Auer et al., 2007). Despite its high sensitivity, no GST reconstruction based on borehole thermal profiles is available for this part of the world. Instead, reconstructions of summer air temperatures have been based on either tree-rings (e.g. Büntgen et al., 2006; Corona et al., 2010) or lake sediments (e.g. Larocque-Tobler et al., 2010; Trachsel et al., 2010) for the last 500-1000 years, or both (Trachsel et al., 2012). With rare exceptions (e.g. ice cores; Barbante et al., 2004), the other proxy data are from sites at elevations that rarely exceed 2000m a.s.l. and all the other monitored permafrost boreholes in Europe do not exceed 100 m of depth (see Harris et al., 2003). However, several papers describe GST reconstructions for the
last 500-1000 years using boreholes data at hemispheric or global scales (e.g. Huang et al., 2000; Beltrami and Boulron, 2004).

The SSB data provides GST history from a high elevation site (3000 m a.s.l.). Such locations are important because snow cover can affect significantly the GST (Zhang, 2005; Ling and Zhang, 2006; Cook et al., 2008). They are also relevant with respect to glacier dynamics and their feedbacks with the global atmospheric system (IPCC, 2013).

This paper reconstructs the ground surface temperatures inferred from this borehole and compares the results with existing multiproxy reconstructions for the European Alps and elsewhere.

2 STUDY AREA

The Stelvio–Livrio area is a summer ski location, located between the Stelvio Pass (2758 m a.s.l.) and Mt Livrio (3174 m a.s.l.), within the Stelvio National Park. The area is characterized by bedrock outcrops (mainly dolostone), apart from some Holocene moraines (Figure 1a). The SSB borehole was drilled in 2009 and is only 10m from the PACE borehole, drilled in 1998 (46°30’59’’N; 10°28’35’’E, 3000 m a.s.l., Figure 1b). Both boreholes are located on a flat barren summit surface oriented NNW-SSE. The side slopes (SSW and NNE exposed) are gentle, the northern being only slightly steeper (14.1° vs 12.5° vs from the top down to 2900 m a.s.l.; Fig. 2). Despite their closeness, the two boreholes differ in ice content: during drilling of the PACE borehole ice was encountered at 42 and 90 m depth (Guglielmin et al., 2001) but no evidence of ice was observed during the SSB drilling. Using PACE temperature profile and typical thermal conductivity and heat flow values cited in literature (4.0 W m⁻¹ K⁻¹, Clauser and Huenges, 1995; 85 mW m⁻², Cermak et al., 1992), permafrost thickness in the SSSB borehole was estimated to be around 220 m.

3 METHODS

3.1 Field data

The SSB borehole was drilled in early July, 2010, using refrigerated compressed-air-flush drilling technology. The stratigraphy was obtained by analyses of the cuttings (sampled every 10 m) and, for the first 100 m, through analysis of TV logging. Since September 2010, the thermal regime of the SSB borehole was monitored with thermometers placed according to the PACE protocol (Harris et al., 2001). The accuracy of the thermometers is 0.1°C and the resolution is 0.01°C. Since 1998, the main climatic parameters at the site (air temperature, snow cover, incoming radiation) have been monitored. Below the 20m depth, no significant seasonal variations in temperature are recorded.
3.2 Laboratory data

The thermal properties of the three main facies observed in the stratigraphy were measured in the laboratory at three different temperatures (0°C, -1°C; -3°C). Thermal diffusivity and specific heat were measured by NETZSCH Gerätebau GmbH (Selb, Germany) using a NETZSCH model 457 MicroFlash™ laser flash diffusivity apparatus. Thermal diffusivity measurements were conducted in a dynamic helium atmosphere at a flow rate of c. 100 ml/min between −3 °C and 0 °C. Specific heat capacity was measured using the ratio method of ASTM-E 1461 (ASTM, 2003) with an accuracy of more than 5%. Density of the rock at room temperature was determined using the buoyancy flotation method with an accuracy better than 5%. Thermal conductivity was calculated following Carslaw and Jaeger (1959):

\[ \lambda = \rho \cdot c_p \cdot \kappa, \]

where \( \lambda \) is the thermal conductivity (W m\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), \( \rho \) is the bulk density (g cm\(^{-3}\)), \( c_p \) is the specific heat capacity (J g\(^{-1}\) K\(^{-1}\)), and \( \kappa \) is the thermal diffusivity (m\(^2\) s\(^{-1}\)).

3.3 Theory

The temperature anomaly in the borehole at time \( t \) at depth \( z \) is modeled by the solution of the heat equation

\[ \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left( \kappa \frac{\partial A}{\partial z} \right) = 0 \]  

for the domain \( (t, z) \in (-t_{\text{max}}, 0) \times (0, z_{\text{max}}) \). Note that equation (1) can be derived from the classical formulation of Carslaw and Jaeger (1959) under the hypothesis that the density and the specific heat capacity are constant with respect to the depth \( z \) (see also Liu and Zhang, 2014), which is a good approximation for the SSB (see Section 4.1). Further, we have indicated with \( t_{\text{max}} \) the earliest time for which we will reconstruct the GST and with \( z_{\text{max}} \) the depth of the borehole. Equation (1) can be solved to compute the temperature anomaly at any given past time \( t \) and depth \( z \) from the boundary values \( A(t; 0) \) which represent the GST history. In our case instead, we need to solve the inverse problem of finding the GST from the borehole data, which provide the anomaly measured at present \( t=0 \) or past times \( t>0 \) at some depth \( z \) in the borehole. If the boundary data \( A(t, 0) \) is piece-wise constant, the solution of the direct problem for equation (1) can be found explicitly (see Carslaw and Jaeger, 1959). In fact, the anomaly observed in the borehole \( t \) years ago, originating from a GST that has been constant except for an increase of \( \delta \) °C between \( t_2 \) and \( t_1 \) years ago is:

\[ A(t, z) = \delta \left[ \text{erfc} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{4\kappa(t_2 - t)}} \right) - \text{erfc} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{4\kappa(t_1 - t)}} \right) \right] \]

The above formula of course makes sense only for \( t < t_1 \) and the value \( t = 0 \) corresponds to present time. For the purpose of reconstructing the GST history, it is customary to approximate it with a piece-wise constant function (see Figure 3).
\[ \text{GST}(t) = \begin{cases} \tau_k, & t \in [-t_k, -t_{k-1}] \\ \tau_\infty, & t < -t_N \end{cases} \] (2)

where \( t_k, \) for \( k = 1, \ldots, N, \) is the sequence of times in the past where we want to compute the value of the GST, and the \( \tau_k \)'s are the unknown values to be computed. The prediction of model (1) for the borehole temperature \( t \) years ago, originating from the GST (2) is

\[ A(z, t) = \tau_1 \varphi(z, t_1 - t) + \sum_{k=1}^{N} \tau_k \varphi(z, t_{k+1} - t) - \varphi(z, t_k - t) - \tau_\infty \varphi(z, t_N - t), \] (3)

where \( \varphi(z, t) = \text{erfc} \left( \frac{z}{\sqrt{4kt}} \right) \). Once the sequence \( t_k \) is chosen, the relation between the borehole temperature at depth \( z_i \) predicted by the model and the unknown values \( \tau_k \) of the GST anomaly is thus linear. When comparing the anomaly \( A(z, t) \) described by the above equation with the measured data in the borehole, one has to take into account that measured data represent the superposition of the anomaly with a background signal (linearly increasing with depth) coming from the heat flow. This linear trend can be identified by linearly fitting the data from the deepest part of the borehole (below 60m in our case). Following (3), imposing that the borehole temperatures measured \( T_j \) years ago at depth \( z_i \) leads to the linear system

\[ L \overline{\tau} = \overline{m}, \] (4)

where the column vector \( \overline{\tau} = [\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_N, \tau_\infty] \) collects the unknown GST values, \( \overline{m} \) is the column vector of detrended measured data and \( L \) is a matrix with \( M \times (J + 1) \) entries given by

\[ L_{j,1} = \varphi(Z_j, t_1 - T_j) \]
\[ L_{j,k} = \varphi(Z_j, t_{k+1} - T_j) - \varphi(Z_j, t_k - T_j) \]
\[ L_{j,N+1} = \varphi(Z_j, t_N - T_j). \]

The diffusive nature of the heat equation has the effect that fine details of GST signals are averaged away as time progresses. Therefore, in the field data, one can find signals coming only from long wavelength GST variations occurred in the distant past, whereas short wavelength signals are observable only if produced in the more recent history. Our first task is to choose the length of the GST reconstruction \( (t_N) \) and the reconstruction points between the present and \( t_N \). In order to take into account long and short wavelengths variations of GST where each of them makes sense, contrary to the common use of choosing uniformly spaced time points, we choose

\[ t_k = (1 + 0.2k)^2 \]

so that the reconstruction points are closer to each other in the recent past and more separated for distant ages. The choice of the parameter 0.2 is such that the reconstructed GST can contain signals of wavelength of at least 33 years from 1600 onwards, 23 years from 1800 onwards, 16 years from 1915 onwards, 9 years from 1985 onwards.
We point out that the explicit inclusion in (3) of the time \( t \) at which the temperature was measured allows us to gather in the single linear system (4) with data measured at different times. In fact each row of the matrix \( L \) can have a different value of \( T_j \). In this fashion, the GST reconstruction can be based not only on a single temperature profile but also on the variation of the temperature profile between the present and some years ago. To the best of our knowledge, this possibility, which enhances the robustness of the reconstruction, has never been exploited before in the literature. Given the detrended measures \( \overline{m} \), we must compute the vector \( \overline{T} \) solving the linear system (4). Since the inverse problem for the heat equation (1) is well-known to be severely ill-posed, the matrix \( L \) is strongly ill-conditioned and its singular values decay exponentially to zero, with related singular vectors largely intersecting the subspace of high frequencies (Serra-Capizzano, 2004). Therefore, since the right-hand side \( \overline{m} \) is affected by error measurements, solving directly the linear system (4) would lead to a computed GST that would be highly oscillating and very far from the true physical values for \( \overline{T} \). It is then necessary to introduce a regularization process by modifying the original problem (4), in order to obtain an approximation that is well posed and less sensitive to errors in the right-hand-side of (4). Classical regularization techniques include the truncated singular value decomposition (TSVD) and the Tikhonov regularization in standard form (Hansen, 1998), applied in Beltrami and Boulron, (2004) and Liu and Zhang, (2014), respectively. The Tikhonov regularization usually provides better restorations than the TSVD, because it is characterized by a smooth transition in the filtering of the frequencies and the smoothness of the transition can be somehow chosen by manipulating the regularization parameter of the method (Hansen, 1998). In this paper, we thus propose the use of the generalized Tikhonov regularization, where the damping term is measured by a proper seminorm. In practice, instead of dealing with the linear system (4), we solve the minimization problem

\[
\min_{\overline{T}} \| L \overline{T} - \overline{m} \| + \alpha \| R \overline{T} \|
\]

(5)

where \( \alpha > 0 \) is the regularization parameter and \( R \) is the regularization matrix. When \( \alpha \) is large the restored GST is very smooth but the differences between the measured data and the temperatures in the well that would be computed by (4) from the recovered GST are large. On the contrary, when \( \alpha \) is too small the data fitting is good but the GST becomes highly oscillating due to the ill-posedness. A good tradeoff is not trivial and several strategies can be explored for estimating an optimal value of \( \alpha \): as an example, the generalized cross validation (Golub et al., 1979) often provides good results. The use of a regularization matrix \( R \) for this application is a novelty introduced in this paper. If \( R \) is simply the identity matrix, then the problem (5) reduces to the standard Tikhonov method used in Liu and Zhang, (2014). The presence of the matrix \( R \) in (5) allows to impose some a-priori information on the true solution. Indeed, when minimizing (5), the components of the solution belonging to \( \text{ker}(R) = \{ \overline{x} \text{ s.t. } R \overline{x} = \overline{0} \} \) (the kernel of matrix \( R \)) are not damped and are therefore perfectly reconstructed. Note that in order to guarantee the uniqueness of the solution (5), the condition \( \text{ker}(L) \cap \text{ker}(R) = \overline{0} \) has to hold.

A common choice for \( R \) is a finite difference discretization of a differential operator (Hansen, 1998). In this paper, we consider a standard discretization of the Laplacian
of size \((N - 2) \times N\) and hence the constant and linear components of the solution are not damped in the Tikhonov regularization (5).

4 RESULTS

4.1 Permafrost temperature, thermal properties and GST reconstruction

The SSB stratigraphy is characterized by four different facies of dolostone (Figure 4): a massive dolostone (from grey to pinky grey) comprises more than 90% of the profile; three other facies (white dolostone, black stratified limestone, brownish dolostone) are thin intercalations (maximum 3.5 meters of thickness and located mainly in the first 42 m).

The mean annual thermal profiles of the last three years (2013-14-15) show a negative gradient between 20 m (a depth corresponding approximately to the depth of zero annual amplitude, ZAA) and 60 m that does not vary (-0.8°C/100 m in all the three years). At greater depth, the gradient is positive with slightly different slopes between 60-105; 105-125; 125-205; 205-215 and 215-235 (Figure 5 and Table 1).

Table 2 shows the thermal properties of the three main stratigraphic facies encountered in the borehole. Facies a and c show similar density and thermal properties while facies b has higher density and higher conductivity. All facies have heat capacity values that increase with a decrease of temperature. In facies a, this behavior occurs also for thermal conductivity and diffusivity values. In contrast, facies b and c show a reversed bell shape behavior, with the minimum value recorded at -1°C and an absolute maximum at -3°C. Therefore, from a thermal point of view, only facies b is different. Moreover, at depths below the level of zero annual amplitude, this facies occurs only at depths of 34.5m and 90 m with a negligible thickness (2 and 1 m respectively) and at 142.5 m and 205 m where it reaches 3-3.5 m in thickness. Clearly, the thermal influence of this facies is negligible: indeed, the gradient between 60 and 235 m is approximately the same as that between 60 and 105 m and between 125 and 205 m.

According to the model proposed in the Methods, we found the best fitting with the thermal profiles (Figure 5) using an heat flow of 70 mWm\(^{-2}\) (Della Vedova et al., 1995) and a thermal diffusivity value equal to the mean.
between the value obtained for 0°C and -1°C for facies a, which is the more widespread in the borehole. The linear system (4) was assembled including the detrended data measured at SSB in 2015 ($T_j = 0$), in 2014 ($T_j = 1$) and 2013 ($T_j = 2$), at the 13 depths listed in Section 3.1, resulting in 39 equations. The anomalies of the GST reconstruction obtained with respect to the reference period between 1880 and 1960 has been computed using the value of $\alpha = 0.95$ for the regularization parameter (Figure 6).

5 DISCUSSION

5.2.1 GST and current air temperatures

In cryotic environments, snow cover can influence GST variability both in space and in time (e.g. Zhang, 2005; Schmidt et al., 2009; Morse et al., 2012; Rodder and Kneisel, 2012; Schmid et al., 2012; Guglielmin et al., 2014). This is especially the case for alpine areas where topography influences both the re-distribution of the snow by wind-drift and actual snow cover evolution (e.g. melting date and duration). Nevertheless, GST and air temperature are well correlated ($R^2 = 0.8027$) and present a very similar pattern over the last 15 years with only a slight warming (Figure 7). This relatively slight effect of snow at this site is probably due to the high wind velocities during winter that, on average, prevent buildup of a thick snowpack. Figure 8 illustrates the temporal variability of snow cover on the GST. In general, the highest (>±5°C) differences between mean daily GST and mean daily air temperature occur when there are large drops of air temperature during the winter. Sometimes, large differences occur also when there are large drops of air temperature during the summer where there is little or no snow cover, because of high solar radiation that heats the ground surface. Correlation is even better between monthly mean air temperature, mean annual air temperature (MAAT) and mean annual ground surface temperature (MAGST) ($R^2 = 0.8712$ for this latter). This agrees with the results of Zhang and Stamnes, (1998) who found that, in a flat area in northern Alaska, changes in seasonal snow cover had a smaller effect than MAAT on the ground thermal regime.

5.2.2. GST Fluctuations between 1950 and today

Our reconstruction after the cold GST anomaly, between 1906 and 1941 AD, shows a slightly positive peak (0.15°C) in 1930 and afterwards a very unstable period with a first sharp decrease of temperature until 1989 (-0.8°C) and a second even sharper increase, reaching in 2011 the uppermost GST anomaly value of the last 500 years (0.96°C).

On a regional scale, the Stelvio data can be compared with the MAAT obtained for the Alps by Christiansen and Ljungqvist, (2011) (Figure 6) and Trachsel et al., (2010). The maximum of the slight temperature increase during the first half of the XX century in the Stelvio data (1930) falls exactly in the middle of the relative warming period between 1925 and 1935 in the Alps found by Trachsel et al., (2010) and is in good agreement with the date (1928) indicated by Christiansen and Ljungqvist, (2011). Later, the sharp GST anomaly decrease was delayed in the Stelvio data (1989) with respect to 1950-1965 period found by Trachsel et al., (2010) and 1965-
1975 period found by Christiansen and Ljungqvist, (2011). Finally, the most recent increase of temperature culminated in the Alps in 1994 (Christiansen and Ljungqvist, 2011) while in the Stelvio data at 2011.

5.2.3 The Little Ice Age (LIA)

The Stelvio reconstruction shows a long period of negative anomaly between 1560 and 1860 AD with the colder conditions (< -2*S.D.) between 1683 and 1784 AD with a peak of -1.5°C around 1730 AD. This period of negative anomaly falls within this well-known cooling period (LIA). It is recognized in several kinds of proxy data although there are differences both in magnitude and in timing across the world. According to y Neukom et al., (2014), synchronous cold temperature anomalies occurred at decadal scale in both hemispheres between 1594 and 1677 AD. They also found two phases of extreme cold temperature in the Northern Hemisphere with the first between 1570 and 1720 AD and the second between 1810 and 1855. Syntheses of the LIA in the European Alps have been presented by Trachsel et al., (2012) and Christiansen and Ljungqvist, (2011). Considering the common colder periods in these two Alpine syntheses, the LIA has three main negative peaks at 1570-1600; 1685-1700 and 1790-1820 AD.

The LIA period has been also characterized by a widespread worldwide glacier advance, although the comparison between glacial evidences and temperature fluctuations are problematic because glaciers respond with different time scales (mainly depending on their size) and reflect also the precipitation regime, which is even more variable in space and time. According to Holzhauser et al., (2005), the LIA advance of the main Swiss Glaciers has three peaks around respectively 1350, 1640 and 1820-50 AD with the two later phases almost synchronous also in the Eastern Alps (Nicolussi and Patzelt, 2000).

Close to the location of the Stelvio borehole, the maximum LIA advance was diachronous. Nearby glaciers show a maximum LIA advance in 1580 AD (Trafoi Valley glacier; Cardassi, 1995), around 1770 AD (Solda Glacier; Arzuffi and Pelfini, 2001) and in 1600 AD (La Mare Glacier; Carturan et al., 2014).

The borehole area was presumably overcapped by the Vedretta Piana Glacier until 1868. Due to the geomorphological position (on a watershed divide) the possible glacier should have been very thin and possibly cold based, as already stressed by Guglielmin et al., (2001). On the other hand, considering figure 6, the glacier should have been present in the borehole area with a buffering effect only between 1711 and 1834 AD, with a peak at 1760, when the difference between the GST anomaly and the MAAT anomaly was maximum. This peak is pretty similar to the peak of the LIA in the Solda Glacier (1770 AD) but not to the peak in the Trafoi glacier (1580 AD); this could be related to Vedretta Piana having a more similar glacier size and aspect (NE-N) to the Solda Glacier than to the Trafoi Glacier, although this latter is the closest to the Vedretta Piana.

5.2.4 Other permafrost borehole temperature reconstructions

Several deep Alaskan boreholes have been used to demonstrate the XX century warming (e.g. Lachenbruch and Marshall, 1986; Lachenbruch et al., 1988) but only a few studies in Europe illustrate GST reconstructions that span a time period greater than 100-150 years (e.g., Isaksen et al., 2001, Guglielmin, 2004). In North America, only Chouinard et al., (2013) shows GST pattern of the last 300 years in the context of the permafrost of
Northern Quebec. There, after the LIA (1500-1800 AD), it was found an almost constant and marked warming of ca 1.4 °C until 1940, followed by a cooling episode (=0.4 °C) which lasted 40–50 yr, and finally a sharp ≈1.7 °C warming over the past 15 yr.

There is a some similarity between the Stelvio reconstruction and the pattern of Canadian permafrost GST reported by Chouinard et al., (2013) after the LIA. Indeed, also in our site there was an almost simultaneous but greater cooling (0.9°C) in the period between 1941 and 1989, followed by a sharp warming of ca 1.7°C. On the other hand, GST reconstructions can be obtained with different models and it is interesting to compare our data with, for example, the PMIP3/CMIP5 simulations that include the effect of aerosol forcing by Garcia-Garcia et al., (2016): there, in the last 500 years, the GST shows a cold anomaly (LIA) between 1582 and 1840, with the most negative peaks between 1798 and 1840, slightly delayed with respect to our data.

5 CONCLUSIONS

The general climatic pattern of the last 500 years recorded by this mountain permafrost borehole is similar to the majority of other studies in the European Alps and Central Europe. The main difference concerns post LIA events. In fact, the different multidisciplinary proxies considered (see Figure 9) do not indicate cooling between 1940 and 1989, with the exceptions of the shorter and less severe cooling found for the Alps. It is also relevant to stress that the rapid and abrupt GST warming (more than 0.8°C per decade) recorded between 1990 and 2011 in the Stelvio borehole data is similar to the warming recorded in permafrost in northern Quebec. This warming trend is of the same magnitude as the increase of MAAT between 1990 and 2000 in Central Europe (Dobrovlny et al., (2010)), and is approximately double that found for the MAAT in the Alps and for Europe as a whole (Luterbacher et al., 2004).

The Stelvio borehole ground surface temperature reconstruction also allows one to estimate changes in the Vedretta Piana glacier. This glacier presumably buried the site of the Stelvio borehole with an ice thickness sufficient to exert a significant buffering effect upon the ground thermal regime between 1711 and 1834 AD. This was a time when the difference between the Stelvio GST anomaly and the MAAT anomaly was greatest.
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Figure and Table Captions

Figure 1. Study area: (a) Location of the study area with the surrounding glaciers and the reconstructed glaciers limits of the area (VPG = Vedretta Piana Glacier; TFG = Trafoi Glacier; SG = Solda Glacier; LMG = La Mare Glacier; PACE = Pace Borehole; SSB = Share Stelvio Borehole; (b) View of the drilling equipment during the realization of the SSB borehole in summer 2009.

Figure 2. Topography of the SSB site: a) Digital Elevation Model (5 m resolution) of the SSB site and b) SSW-NNE transect through the Stelvio summit. Horizontal and vertical dimensions as well as thermistor chain position and depths are plotted to the same scale.

Figure 3. Piece-wise constant GST history

Figure 4. Share Stelvio Borehole (SSB) Stratigraphy. Legend: (A) facies a (massive dolostone from grey to pinky grey); (B) facies b (white dolostone); (C) facies c (black stratified limestone); (D) facies d (light brown dolostone).

Figure 5. SSB mean annual ground temperature profiles on 2013, 2014 and 2015.

Figure 6. Comparison between the anomaly of the mean annual GST reconstructed by SSB borehole and MAAT anomaly reconstructed for the European Alps by Christiansen and Ljungqvist (2011) (data available online at: https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/12355) both respect the same reference period (1880-1960).

Figure 7. Trend of monthly mean of GST and Air temperature at SSB since 1998. The red and blue dashes lines are respectively the linear regression of the GST and Air temperature.

Figure 8. Effect of the snow cover at SSB. The winter 2010/11 is representative of the average conditions of the snow cover at SSB while the following season 2011/12 was the snowiest of the whole monitoring period. The difference between the daily mean GST and air temperature (ΔGSTair) shows the greater values during the greater drop of the air temperature (green line) during the winter due to the insulating effect of the snow cover whereas the few episodes of high ΔGSTair in the summer are may due to the solar radiation that warms up the ground surface.

Figure 9. Main climatic events enhanced by anomalies of MAAT through different proxy in all Europe: A, (rielaborated from Luterbacher et al., 2004); Central Europe: B, (rielaborated from Dobrovolný et al., 2010; Alps: C, (rielaborated from the same data of Figure 5, Christiansen and Ljungqvist, 2011) and SSB: D, (this paper).

Table 1. Thermal gradients (°Cm-1) on 2013; 2014 and 2015 in the different depth intervals of the profile below the zero-annual amplitude that is approximately at 20 m of depth.

Table 2. Thermal properties of the three different facies occurred in SSB borehole measured in the Laboratory at three different steps of temperature (0; -1 and -2°C).
### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>20-60 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
<th>60-105 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
<th>105-125 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
<th>125-205 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
<th>205-215 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
<th>215-235 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
<th>60-235 m (°C m⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>0.0088</td>
<td>-0.0072</td>
<td>-0.0048</td>
<td>-0.0075</td>
<td>-0.0128</td>
<td>-0.0058</td>
<td>-0.0072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.0046</td>
<td>-0.0074</td>
<td>-0.0128</td>
<td>-0.0056</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>0.0086</td>
<td>-0.0077</td>
<td>-0.0045</td>
<td>-0.0073</td>
<td>-0.0128</td>
<td>-0.0055</td>
<td>-0.0072</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facies</th>
<th>Density (g cm⁻³)</th>
<th>Diffusivity (10⁻⁶ m² s⁻¹)</th>
<th>Heat capacity (J g⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>Conductivity (W m K⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>2.714</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>2.171</td>
<td>0.759</td>
<td>4.474</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1°C</td>
<td>2.105</td>
<td>0.763</td>
<td>4.360</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3°C</td>
<td>2.081</td>
<td>0.765</td>
<td>4.320</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b</td>
<td>2.827</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>2.798</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td>6.216</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1°C</td>
<td>2.762</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>6.177</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3°C</td>
<td>2.763</td>
<td>0.794</td>
<td>6.201</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c</td>
<td>2.696</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>1.968</td>
<td>0.762</td>
<td>4.044</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-1°C</td>
<td>1.892</td>
<td>0.767</td>
<td>3.912</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-3°C</td>
<td>1.936</td>
<td>0.769</td>
<td>4.015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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