Dear Editor,

Firstly, we would like to thank our two anonymous Referees for their careful handling of the manuscript as well as for the constructive comments and suggestions which we kindly acknowledge.

The main criticism of the two referees generally concentrated on: (1) the validity of the grain-size distribution and its corresponding spectral analysis (Referee 1), (2) the applied benthic stable isotope correlation (Referee 2), and (3) the presentation of the data in Figure 4 (Referee 1 +2).

In the following, we would like to give a detailed explanation concerning the comments and recommendations given by the Reviewers, as well as the intended revisions in our revised manuscript.

Stefanie Kaboth, on behalf of all co-authors
Referee 1

1.) Chapter Spectral Analysis & Precession control on MOW strength during the Early Pleistocene

As requested by Referee 1, we have improved our spectral analysis by including wavelet analysis for both investigated intervals. These new results supplement the findings of the power spectra by clearly showing the dominance and stability of the (semi-)precession signal in the grain-size variability at Site U1389 during the Early Pleistocene. We have emphasized this finding accordingly in section 4.2 (Line 300 to 303) of our revised manuscript. The wavelets for both investigated intervals have been added to Figure 5 as Figures 5C and 5D. These adaptations are also in line with suggestions made by Referee 2 (see below), and we have now also included the findings of Becker et al. (2006, 2005) about precession related signals in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea during interval I (see Line 305 to 308). The methodological description of the wavelet analysis has been added to the Method section 2.7 (Line 185 to 187).

2.) Chapter Glacial-Interglacial change

We believe that the MIS102 interval is robust in its present version and no further adaptations are necessary. Following the age constrain of MIS 102 according to Lisiecki and Raymo, (2005) the interval extends from 2.575 to 2.554 Ma. Through the initial age model based on bio- and magnetostratigraphy (see Table 1) the age interval between 2.5 and 2.581 Ma is very well constrained.

In contrast to Referee 1, we argue that the grain-size variability is a reliable representation for MOW variability during our investigated intervals despite in-part low recovery and sample resolution. As stated in the manuscript (see Lines 157 to 163) the grain-size proxy has been successfully used for Late Pleistocene studies (i.e. Kaboth et al. 2017, 2016). We think that the argued absolute amplitude reduction in MOW flow strength between interval I and II (see Lines 280 to 282) is also visible in Figure 4D and does not rely solely on the calculated relative change in amplitude expressed in Line 280.

We also believe that the description of the change in δ¹³C through North Atlantic water influence is sufficient in its current state (see Line 274 to 280).

3.) Chapter: Did MOW contribute to the Early Pleistocene climate transition?

The occurrence of Neogloboquadrina atlantica (sin) is now clearly denoted in the revised Figure 4A by arrows at mid-point level of-MIS 100, 98, 96 at Site U1389. The reference for abundance patterns of N. atlantica in the eastern Mediterranean Sea and North Atlantic are
already provided in the discussion section 4.3 (Lines 379). The south Atlantic SST record of Site ODP 1090 has been added to Figure 4A following Bell et al. (2015) (this also aligns with suggestions made by Referee 2, see below).

**Figures**

1.) Figure 1C highlighting the Mediterranean Sea circulation has been added. Caption changes have been made accordingly.

2.) Figure 3: Indeed, the correlation arrow for MIS 101 in Figure 3A has been revised. The dotted line indicating the mean sedimentation rate in Figure 3C has been removed.

3.) In the now revised Figure 4 we have unified the age notations between Figure 4 and Table 1. The mid-point levels of sapropels throughout the investigated intervals are already clearly highlighted in Figure 4D and we do not think it necessary to add them again to Figure 4B. We have added data pointer to the grain-size record in Figure 4D to better visualize the existing gaps in the record.

4.) Figure 5: Red noise level has been added to Figure 5A and 5B. Caption changes have been made accordingly.

**Table**

1.) In the now revised version of the Table 1 we have listed the full species names of the biostratigraphic markers. The citation Raffi et al. (2006) has been added in the reference list.
Referee 2

Major comments

1.) As stated under Lines 232 to 234 in our initial manuscript, the argument regarding the intensification AMOC is based on the findings by Bell et al. (2015) and not just the SST data published by Lawrence et al. (2009) and shown in our Figure 4A. Including the SST record in Figure 4 is for orientation purposes of the reader as to the onset of the prosed “plateau” in the North Atlantic SST development. The arguments made in Bell et al. (2015) are based already on a wide spread analysis of SST records across the North Atlantic including Sites (ODP Sites 607, 1090, 1082 and 982). However, we agree with Referee 2 and included the studies by Khélifi and Frank (2014) and Lisiecki (2014) (Line 365 to 372). These authors highlighted the lack of increased overturning circulation in the deep water opposed to the increased overturning postulated by Bell et al. (2015) in relation to the surface water trajectory. In our opinion this strongly argues for the effect of MOW on the intermediate branch of overturning circulation, a scenario already highlighted in Bahr et al. (2015) for MIS 5. This argument will has been newly added into the revised manuscript (under subsection 4.3, Line 365 to 372) and complement the existing argument of the prevalence of MOW along intermediate water death within the North Atlantic by Loubere (1987) which was already included in the initial manuscript.

2.) The δ18O correction between both benthic species for the Early Pleistocene is $y=1.06x-0.17$ ($R^2=0.80$). Hence, the slope of the linear relationship is ~1 and the y-intercept is minor considering the analytical error of the measurements which is ±0.08‰. This suggests a comparable oxygen isotope fractionation between Planulina ariminensis and Cibicidoides ungerianus. A similar behaviour has been postulated for P. ariminensis and other Cibicidoides species (e.g. Marchitto et al. 2014). For δ13C, the computed correction factor for both benthic species during the Early Pleistocene is $y=0.13x+0.84$ ($R^2=0.02$). Following the suggestion of Referee 2, we have reanalysed our data for a possible climate driven bias. Firstly, the samples utilized for the analysis of the interspecies correction were not specifically chosen for their warm/cold climatic background but under the premise that both benthic species were present in sufficient numbers for stable isotope analysis. Hence, the suggested form of analysis leads to the exclusion of ~ 25% (n=20) samples from the original data set corresponding to transional climate conditions. This exclusion changes the inter species correlation to
y = -0.02x + 0.83 \ (R^2 < 0.02). The correlation for only “warm” climatic conditions (corresponding light δ18O values) shifts the interspecies correlation to y = 0.005x + 0.83 \ (R^2 < 0.02; n = 26). Similar, the correlation for only “cold” climatic conditions (corresponding heavy δ18O values) shifts the interspecies correlation to y = -0.04x + 0.84 \ (R^2 < 0.02; n = 29). Hence, it becomes obvious that no climatic driven bias can be found. We argue instead that the high scatter might relate to the variability of C. ungerianus from a preferably epifaunal to a very shallow infaunal life style in correspondence to different nutrient fluxes, oxygenation state, habitat changes etc. This would cause an enhanced variability in the δ13C microhabitat-offset between both species. Such variability has been observed at recent for other Cibicidoides species (Fontanier et al., 2006). In contrast, P. ariminensis has been argued to be a reliable recorder of the δ13C signal of MOW (Zahn et al., 1987; already stated on Lines 83 to 85 in the initial manuscript) and aligns with findings of e.g., Schönfeld (2002), Rogerson et al. (2011) and García-Gallardo et al. (2017) further suggesting that P. ariminensis is a true “elevated” epifaunal living species directly recording MOW properties. Specifically, the influence of remineralisation of sedimentary carbon on benthic δ13C which may overprint the MOW signal was discussed by Rogerson et al. (2011). The authors considered the δ13C signal ambiguous for most benthic foraminifera with the exception of P. ariminensis which showed the highest (positive) correlation with MOW flow strength.

We have added the additional information regarding the δ18O and δ13C signals at Site U1389 to section 2.3 of our revised manuscript with the exception of the climatic bias as simply no evidence for this could be found (as outlined above). The reference in the caption of Figure 4 to Kaboth et al., in prep. was a typo and has been removed. Only the stated interspecies relations were applied in this study.

3.) We agree with the Referee 2 and have now included the findings of Becker et al. (2006, 2005) on precession influence on climate variability during MIS 100 in the Mediterranean Sea into the revised manuscript under subsection 4.2 (Line 305 to 308).

4.) Vertical movements of the MOW plume are an important mechanism as stated by Referee 2. However, the Late Pleistocene study of Bahr et al. (2015) has shown that Site U1389 is generally less prone to vertical movement than sites further up the shelf even under much more severe sea level variability than during the Early Pleistocene. The validity of utilizing δ18O to trace MOW prevalence in the Gulf of Cadiz has been already established in Kaboth et al. (2016). This approach argues that MOW is the
dominant water mass at the site with the heaviest oxygen isotopic signal compared to ambient North Atlantic water due to its density. We have highlighted this statement by revising Figure 1 of our manuscript and added the modern vertical water mass distribution along T, S and δ¹⁸Oₜ profiles for Site U1389 as Figure 1D. Based on this assumption the isotopic differences between the δ¹⁸O of the Mediterranean Sea (input signal) and the Gulf of Cadiz (output signal) reflects MOW variability as the ice volume contribution for the same time interval in both stable oxygen isotope records can be assume to be identical. As the isotopic gradient in δ¹⁸O are generally small throughout both intervals it seems feasible to argue that MOW prevailed throughout our studied time frame. We have now outlined this approach in more detail in the revised manuscript in Line 245 to 257. The grain-size and δ¹³C gradient for both intervals give indication that the intensification of MOW occurred as outlined in subsection 4.1 of the manuscript. No further additions have been made.

Minor comments

1.) We have followed the suggestion by Referee 2 and changed the title into: “Mediterranean Outflow variability during the Early Pleistocene”

2.) Following the suggestions by Referee 2 we have added more details on sequence stratigraphy and paleo-water depth of the Singa/Vrica sections in a newly designed subsection 2.2 under Material & Methods (Line 105 to 114). It seemed more befitting to add additional information for the reader about the Singa/Vrica section in the Material section rather than into the Introduction as suggested by the Referee.

3.) Line 112-118 (initial manuscript): The high variability at Site U1389 in sedimentation rate is not unusual if compared to findings from the same site during the Late Pleistocene which shows a similar range (see Figure DR2 in Bahr et al. 2015). Generally, contourites are very dynamic depositional systems which is reflected in the evolution of sedimentation rates though time (Hernandez-Molina et al., 2014). No further changes have been made to the revised manuscript.

4.) Line 216 (initial manuscript): Yes, the black starts in the initial version of Figure 4B indicated the occurrences of N. atlantica during cold periods in Interval I. In accordance to the suggestions made also by Referee 1 (see above) we have modified Figure 4B to improve the visual occurrence pattern of N. atlantica to the reader.
5.) Line 263 (initial manuscript): We do not make this argument based on our data but instead this is based on the findings of Bell et al. (2015) as clearly stated in Line 363 to 365.

6.) The superscription of δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C has been checked in the revised manuscript.

7.) We have added to Figure 1: the location map of Singa/Vrica sections under 1B, a schematic of the Mediterranean circulation under 1C, and the modern vertical water mass profile at Site U1389 including T, S, and δ¹⁸Ow under 1D.

8.) We have highlighted in Figure 2A and B which represents stable oxygen and carbon isotope correlations.

9.) Figure 3: Commas have been replaced by dots.

10.) We believe Figure 4 has been visually improved to better reflect the content of the manuscript and aid the reader. As also suggested by Referee 1 we have added the South Atlantic SST record ODP 1090 to highlight the discussed intensification of AMOC (Bell et al. 2015). Furthermore, we have clarified the occurrence of *N. atlantica* (also see Referee 2 comments on Line 216 and Referee 1), and also visually improved the time range of the studied intervals in relation to the shown SST records.
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Abstract

Gaining insights into the evolution of Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) during the Early Pleistocene has been so far hampered by the lack of available paleoclimatic archives. Here we present the first benthic foraminifera stable oxygen and carbon isotope records and grain-size data from IODP Expedition 339 Site U1389 presently located within the upper core of the MOW in the Gulf of Cadiz for the time interval between 2.6 and 1.8 Ma. A comparison with an intermediate water mass record from the Mediterranean Sea strongly suggest an active MOW supplying Site U1389 on glacial-interglacial timescales during the Early Pleistocene. We also find indication that the increasing presence of MOW in the Gulf of Cadiz during the investigated time interval aligns with the progressive northward protrusion of Mediterranean sourced intermediate water masses into the North Atlantic, possibly modulating the intensification of the North Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation at the same time. Additionally, our results suggest that MOW flow strength was already governed by precession and semi-precession cyclicity during the Early Pleistocene against the background of glacial-interglacial variability.
Keywords: Mediterranean Outflow, Early Pleistocene, Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation, Sapropel

1. Introduction

The Mediterranean Outflow Water (MOW) is a distinct hydrographic feature at intermediate water depths in the Gulf of Cadiz, distinguished from other ambient North Atlantic water masses by its warm and saline character (Fig. 1A, Ambar and Howe, 1979; Bryden et al., 1994; Bryden and Stommel, 1984). In the modern hydro-climatic setting of the Mediterranean Sea the MOW is predominately sourced by Levantine Intermediate Water (~70%), formed in the Eastern Mediterranean Basin, and variable parts of Western Mediterranean Deep Water (WMDW) originating in the Alboran and Tyrrenhian Sea (Fig. 1B and C, Millot, 2014, 2009; Millot et al., 2006). After exiting the Strait of Gibraltar, the MOW plume cascades down the continental slope due to its increased density (Ambar and Howe, 1979; Hernandez-Molina et al., 2014a; Hernández-Molina et al., 2006; Mulder et al., 2006). In the Gulf of Cadiz, MOW follows the topography of the continental shelf in two major flow cores at 800-1400 m water depth (lower MOW core), and 500-700 m water depth including our study area (upper MOW core, Fig.1A) (Baringer and Price, 1997; Borenäs et al., 2002; Hernández-Molina et al., 2013). After exiting the Gulf of Cadiz, most of MOW flows north along the European continental margin until it mixes with the North Atlantic Current at Rockall Plateau (Hernandez-Molina et al., 2014b). Beyond the Mediterranean region, MOW has been acknowledged as an important modulator of the North Atlantic salt budget with previous research suggesting that the absence of MOW may reduce Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation (AMOC) by as much as 15% compared to modern (Rogerson et al., 2006). Despite its potential cosmopolitan significance, the paleoceanographic history of MOW has so far been only studied for the Pliocene (Khelifi et al., 2009; Khélimi and Frank, 2014), and during the late and mid-Pleistocene (Bahr et al., 2015; Kaboth et al., 2016, 2017; Llave et al., 2006; Schönfeld, 2002; Schönfeld and Zahn, 2000; Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006). In this light, the reconstruction of MOW variability might be particularly interesting in the broader view of the Pliocene-Pleistocene climate transition. The early Pleistocene period spans the transition from the preceding Pliocene climate optimum with limited ice sheets in the Northern Hemisphere to the cooler Middle and Late Pleistocene climate with rapidly developing continental ice growth in both hemispheres (Raymo et al., 1992; Shackleton and Hall, 1984). Throughout the Early
Pleistocene, however, an interruption of the long-term Northern Hemisphere ice volume increase can be observed in concert with a sea-surface temperature stabilization in the high latitude North Atlantic cooling trend (Bell et al., 2015). It was suggested that these changes relate to an increase in AMOC strength, and in extension, an increase in northward heat transport (Bell et al., 2015). Here we elaborate on the possible role of MOW on North Atlantic Paleoceanographic changes during the early Pleistocene climate transition by investigating the benthic foraminifera stable oxygen and carbon isotopes and grain-sizes from IODP 339 Site U1389, located on the upper slope of the Gulf of Cadiz (see Fig. 1A) for two time intervals: 2.6 and 2.4 Ma and 2.1 and 1.8 Ma. We have compared our new data with the benthic stable isotope record of the Singa/Vrica sections in Calabria (Italy), representing the intermediate water mass end-member of the Mediterranean Sea (Lourens et al., 1996a, 1996b; unpublished data) that serves as a reference for the source region of MOW during the Early Pleistocene (Fig. 1B). Our results bridge the gap in our understanding of MOW variability between the wider researched Pliocene and Late and Middle Pleistocene. We aim to shed new light on MOW variability during the Early Pleistocene by analysing hydrographic changes within the Mediterranean source region, investigating the low-latitude control of MOW against the background of dominant obliquity controlled glacial-interglacial cyclicity, and documenting the potential influence of MOW variability on long-term climatic oscillations in the North Atlantic.

2. Material & Methods

2.1 Site U1389

Integrated Ocean Drilling Program (IODP) Site U1389 (36°25.515’N; 7°16.683’W) was drilled in December 2011 and January 2012 during Expedition 339 (Stow et al., 2013). It is located on the southern Iberian Margin ~90 km west of the city of Cadiz and perched on the northwest side of the Guadalquivir diapiric ridge in 644 m water depth (Fig. 1A). At present, IODP Site U1389 is at depth directly influenced by the upper MOW core (Hernández-Molina et al., 2013). In its modern configuration MOW (>36 PSU, ~13°C) is sourced predominately of intermediate water masses from the Eastern Mediterranean Sea (Fig. 1D, Ambar and Howe, 1979; Millot, 2009, 2014; Millot et al., 2006). The water column above the MOW is influenced by subtropical water masses (14-16°C; ~36.2 PSU) originating from the northern boundary of the eastern Azores Current branch (Peliz et al., 2009, 2005). During spring and summer, colder
and fresher subsurface water masses can be traced along the upper and middle slope as indicated by a salinity minimum above the MOW (see Fig. 1D) linked to the seasonal upwelling systems along the Iberian Margin (Fiúza et al., 1998).

For the present study we analysed 423 samples from Site U1389 Hole E which cover the Early Pleistocene (2.6 to 1.8 Ma) time interval at 30 cm intervals between 549.8 to 706.35 mbsf. An expanded hiatus at Hole U1389E between 2.1 and 2.4 Ma (~622-644 mbsf) has been initially related to a phase of highly active MOW (Hernández-Molina et al., 2013; Stow et al., 2013). However, more recent findings link this compressional event to tectonically invoked erosion (Hernández-Molina et al., 2015). As a consequence, we present the data split in two intervals (Interval I: 2.6-2.4 Myr and II: 2.1 to 1.8 Myr).

2.2 Singa and Vrica

The Monte Singa IV and Vrica sections of Early Pleistocene age contain sequences of marine marls and sapropelic clay layers, which are exposed in Calabria, southern Italy (Lourens et al., 1992). During the time of deposition, both sections have been part of the continental slope bordering the Ionian basin. The benthic foraminiferal associations represent a deep bathyal paleoenvironment between ~900 to ~1100 m water depth (Verhallen, 1991). This suggests that the benthic isotope data derived from these sediment sequences recorded intermediate water mass conditions within the eastern Mediterranean Sea. The biostratigraphic correlation indicates that the Vrica sapropelite suite is equivalent to the IV sequence at Monte Singa (Verhallen, 1991; Zijderveld et al., 1991).

2.3 Stable isotope measurements and interspecies correction

The freeze-dried sediment samples of Site U1389 were wet sieved into three fractions (>150 µm, 150-63µm, 63-38 µm), and their residues oven dried at 40°C. Stable oxygen (δ18O) and carbon (δ13C) isotope analyses were carried out on 4 to 6 specimens of the epifaunal living foraminiferal species Planulina ariminensis and Cibicidoides ungerianus from the >150 µm size fraction. All selected specimens were crushed, sonicated in ethanol, and dried at 35°C. Stable isotope analyses were carried out on a CARBO-KIEL automated carbonate preparation device linked to a Thermo-Finnigan MAT253 mass spectrometer at Utrecht University. The precision of the measurements is ±0.08‰ for δ18O and ±0.03 for δ13C. The results were calibrated using the international standard NBS-19, and the in-house standard NAXOS.
Isotopic values are reported in standard delta notation (δ) relative to the Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB). *P. ariminensis* was absent in 100 samples; resulting gaps were filled with *C. ungerianus* values corrected for interspecies isotopic offsets. The calculation of the interspecies offset is based on 62 paired isotope measurements of both benthic species. The δ¹⁸O interspecies offset was determined by applying a least square linear regression equation (Fig. 2). The Pearson correlation coefficient ($R^2$) between both species shows high correlation of 0.80 for δ¹⁸O (Fig. 2A). The calculated slope of this relationship is ~1 with a y-intercept of +0.10 ‰ which is minor considering the analytical error of the measurements of ±0.08‰. This suggests a comparable oxygen isotope fractionation between *P. ariminensis* and *C. ungerianus*. A similar behaviour has been postulated for *P. ariminensis* and other *Cibicidoides* species (Marchitto et al., 2014). These results also align with findings from the same benthic species during the Late and Middle Pleistocene (Kaboth et al., 2017). In contrast, the δ¹³C correlation factor for both benthic species during the Early Pleistocene is insignificant $R^2=0.02$ (Fig. 2B). We argue that the high scatter of *C. ungerianus* during the Early Pleistocene might relate to the variability from a preferably epifaunal to a very shallow infaunal life style in correspondence to different nutrient fluxes, oxygenation state, habitat changes etc. This would cause an enhanced variability in the δ¹³C microhabitat-offset between both species. Such variability has been observed at recent for other *Cibicidoides* species (Fontanier et al., 2006). In contrast, *P. ariminensis* has been argued to be a reliable recorder of the δ¹³C signal of MOW (Zahn et al., 1987). Rogerson et al. (2011), Schönfeld (2002) and García-Gallardo et al. (2017) further suggesting that *P. ariminensis* is a true “elevated” epifaunal living species directly recording MOW properties. Specifically, the influence of remineralisation of sedimentary carbon on benthic δ¹³C which may overprint the MOW signal was discussed by Rogerson et al. (2011). The authors considered the δ¹³C signal ambiguous for most benthic foraminifera with the exception of *P. ariminensis* which showed the highest (positive) correlation with MOW flow strength. Therefore, we only present the δ¹³C of *P. ariminensis*, considered a valuable basis for δ¹³C studies of the paleo-hydrography of the MOW.

### 2.4 Grain-size analyses

The stable isotope sample preparation was used to obtain weight percentages (wt.-%) of the grain-size fractions >150 µm, 150-63µm, 63-38 µm and <38 µm for the investigated samples were obtained during sample preparation for isotope analyses. We concentrate on the grain-
size fraction between 63-150 µm which has been used previously as indicator for flow strength
changes in the Gulf of Cadiz attributed to MOW variability (Rogerson et al., 2005). Even
though untreated weight percentages hold a bias it has been shown for the last climatic cycle
that weight percentages mirror major peaks in Zr/Al records, considered a reliable recorder of
MOW flow strength variability (Bahr et al., 2014), and thus can be used to trace MOW intensity
patterns (Kaboth et al., 2016, 2017).

2.5 Chronology

Primary age constraints are based on paleomagnetic and biostratigraphic tie points as listed in
Table 1. The secondary age model follows the visual correlation of the benthic δ¹⁸O record at
Site U1389 to the benthic δ¹⁸O “MedSea” stack of Lourens et al. (unpublished data) within the
investigated time period. The MedSea stack is based on the benthic C. ungerianus δ¹⁸O values
from the Singa and Vrica sections located in Calabria, Italy derived from the same samples
used for the planktic δ¹⁸O record in Lourens et al., (1996a, pers. comm.). The stable isotope
measurements for the MedSea stack were carried analogous the protocol described in section
2.2 (Lourens, pers. comm.) The C. ungerianus values of the MedSea stack were adjusted to the
P. ariminensis based δ¹⁸O record at Site U1389 by applying the interspecies correction equation
cited under section 2.2 and Figure 2A. The Mediterranean Sea stack δ¹⁸O time series is based
on tuning sapropel midpoints to La2004 65° N summer insolation maxima, including a 3-kyr
time lag (Lourens, 2004). Monitoring of the sedimentation rate was done to control viability of
secondary age model. The designation of MIS stages follows the MedSea stack chronology
(Lourens, 2004). The respective tie points of the secondary age model are listed in Table 2.

2.7 Spectral Analysis

Spectral analysis was performed to test for statistically significant cycles with respect to orbital
parameters. For analysis of orbital periodicities, the non-constantly sampled time series were
analysed by a Multi Taper Method using the program REDFIT (Schulz and Mudelsee, 2002).
Morlet wavelets assuming a 10% red noise level were calculated following the methods
described in Grinsted et al. (2004), Liu et al. (2007) and Torrence and Compo (1998) by
applying the ‘biwavelet’ R package (Gouhier et al., 2016; R Core Team, 2014).
3 Results

3.1 Age model & Sedimentation rates

The two studied intervals of the Site U1389 δ\(^{18}\)O record exhibit similar glacial-interglacial variability as present in MedSea stack throughout the Early Pleistocene. The estimated mean sedimentation rate for both intervals is \(-0.30\) m/kyr which is similar to the sedimentation rate of \(-0.25\) to \(-0.30\) m/kyr that has been calculated from shipboard stratigraphy for the past 3.2 Myr (Hernández-Molina et al., 2013; Stow et al., 2013). A doubling or tripling of the sedimentation rate coincides with transition of MIS 103, MIS 101 to MIS 100 and interglacials MIS 99 and MIS 97 in Interval I, and ~MIS 68 in Interval II. Condensed sections with low sedimentation rates of \(-0.1\) m/kyr correlate with the transition between MIS 98 to MIS 97 and MIS 95 in Interval I, and MIS 78 to MIS 75 in Interval II, respectively. Generally, the high amplitude changes of the sedimentation rate at Site U1389 during the Early Pleistocene is mimicked by a similar behaviour recorded during the Late Pleistocene (Bahr et al., 2015).

3.2 Stable oxygen and carbon isotopes

The comparison between both intervals of the δ\(^{18}\)O record at Site U1389 with the benthic δ\(^{18}\)O MedSea stack is shown in Figure 4. In Interval I, lightest values of 1.17 and 1.22 ‰ coincide with interglacials MIS 103 and 101, and the strongest glacial enrichment in δ\(^{18}\)O (2.69 ‰) coincides with MIS 100. Transitional depletion is on average 0.97 ‰ with highest values (1.29 ‰) in the interval between MIS 101 and 100 (see Fig. 4). In Interval II, the lightest values coincide with MIS 73 (1.36 ‰) whereas the strongest glacial δ\(^{18}\)O enrichment can be observed during MIS 78, 72 and 68 with 2.47 ‰, 2.42 ‰ and 2.69 ‰, respectively (see Fig. 4). Transitional depletion is on average 0.82 ‰ with highest values (1.06 ‰ and 1.19 ‰) in the interval between MIS 73 and 72, and the transition from MIS 69 to MIS 68. Pronounced amplitude offsets between the δ\(^{18}\)O signal of Site U1389 and MedSea are visible in both intervals but especially during MIS 103, 102, 77, 75 and 67 (Fig.4). These perturbations are of the order of up to ~0.5 ‰ (e.g. MIS 75). The comparison between both intervals of the δ\(^{13}\)C record at Site U1389 with the δ\(^{13}\)C MedSea stack is shown in Figure 4. During Interval I, lightest values of 0.27 and 0.32 ‰ coincide with MIS 101 and 100, and the heaviest values (~1.27 ‰) coincide with the transition of MIS 102 to MIS 101, MIS 100, and the transition
between MIS 99 to MIS 98. In Interval II, the lightest values correspond to MIS 74 (-0.02 ‰) and the transition between MIS 68 and 67 (-0.06 ‰). The heaviest δ¹³C values coincide with MIS 71 (1.56 ‰).

3.3 Grain-size

The mean grain-size values (63-150 µm) for both investigated intervals are ~8.0 %-wt. Highest values of both investigated intervals of up to ~60 %-wt. are correlated with MIS 100 and 77 (Fig. 4). The grain-size variability is seemingly not related to glacial-interglacial variability as a clear response of the grain-size to the variability of δ¹⁸O records at Site U1389 cannot be observed.

3.4 Spectral analyses

The grain-size records of Interval I and II at Site U1389 exhibit significance (80% to 90%) variance in the precession (~23 kyr), semi-precession (~ 11 kyr) and potentially 1/3-precession (~7 kyr; significant Interval II only) frequency band (Fig. 5A and B). The obliquity signal is insignificant in both investigated intervals. The wavelet analysis for Interval I (Fig. 5C) reveals that the precession and semi-precession signal is most dominant between 2.55 and 2.50 Myrs. The lack of stability in the precession band from 2.5 to 2.4 Ma correlates with the reduced sample resolution due to poor core recovery (see Fig. 3). During Interval II the precession and semi-precession signal is most dominant during the interval between 2.0 Ma and 1.9 Ma. Starting from 2.0 Ma the 1/3-precession signal is becoming increasingly more prominent and stable (Fig. 5D).

4. Discussion

4.1 Glacial-Interglacial MOW variability at Site U1389 during the Early Pleistocene

In order to utilize the δ¹⁸O signal at Site U1389 to trace MOW variability we assume that the global ice volume contributions of the δ¹⁸O signal within the same time interval for Site U1389 and the Mediterranean Sea are equal. Consequently, differences in δ¹⁸O are caused by temperature and/or salinity differences of the water masses between both sites. The modern heavy oxygen isotope signal of MOW (see Fig. 1D) is a consequence of its increased
temperature and salinity linked to its Mediterranean source region, and hence setting it apart from the isotopic lighter overflowing water masses of North Atlantic origin. Therefore, we argue that the similarities of the δ18O values between Site U1389 and the MedSea stack during Interval I (2.6-2.4 Ma) and Interval II (2.1-1.8 Ma) emphasizes the direct influence of MOW at Site U1389. In this sense, our findings also strongly suggest that MOW formation during the Early Pleistocene was similar to modern conditions where MOW originates largely from intermediate water masses such as the Levantine Intermediate Water (Millot, 2009, 2014; Millot et al., 2006). The δ18O difference between Site U1389 and the Mediterranean Sea is small during glacial periods in both investigated intervals, suggesting that Site U1389 bathed in MOW during these colder climatic conditions throughout the Early Pleistocene time interval (Fig. 4A). This is particularly interesting in light of the proposed vertical shift of the MOW flow path during glacial periods of the Late Pleistocene fostered by the increased density of the outflowing Mediterranean water masses (Kaboth et al., 2016; Lofi et al., 2015; Rogerson et al., 2005; Schönfeld and Zahn, 2000; Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006). This suggests that Site U1389 was not subjected to major glacial-interglacial induced flow path changes during the early Pleistocene, possibly due to its deeper and relatively proximal location to the Strait of Gibraltar, placing it more into the general flow path of upper MOW. These results confirm the inferences derived from Site U1389 of the Late Pleistocene interval where MOW activity was also shown to be largely unaffected by glacial-interglacial variability but instead predominately influenced by insolation driven hydro-climatic changes of its Mediterranean source region (Bahr et al., 2015).

In contrast, the interglacial periods of both intervals show a small but relative depletion in the Mediterranean Sea compared to the δ18O signal at Site U1389 which might reflect relatively higher temperatures or lower salinity of the intermediate Mediterranean Sea waters with respect to the MOWs during interglacial periods. The strongest intervals of relative δ18O depletion throughout both investigated time periods correlate with MIS 103, 102, MIS 75 and MIS 67 characterized by a depletion of up to ~0.5 ‰ in the Mediterranean Sea compared to Site U1389. This shift might correspond to a freshening of the Mediterranean Sea intermediate water column during sapropel formation and a consequently reduction of MOW influence at Site U1389 (Rogerson et al., 2012). In case of MIS 102 and 67 sapropels have been documented in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea basin but not for MIS 75 (Emeis et al., 2000; Lourens, 2004; Lourens et al., 1992, 1996a). During Interval II, the generally heavier δ13C values at U1389 are close to those of the Mediterranean Sea values inferring that MOW was in fact the predominant source of bottom water at Site U1389 between 1.8 and 2.1 Ma (Fig. 4C). In contrast, the older
Interval I is characterized by a slightly increased δ¹³C gradient between Site U1389 and the Mediterranean Sea suggesting a generally larger contribution of ambient North Atlantic water masses carrying a lighter δ¹³C signal to the site. This could indicate a more vigorous MOW or that during Interval I the MOW flow core was less proximal than during Interval II. The later argument seems to be supported by the grain-size and its variability, as Interval II shows a ~10% decrease in mean and amplitude relative to Interval I (Fig. 4D). This would suggest that during Interval I Site U1389 was less proximal to the flow core albeit more sensitive to flow strength changes whereas during Interval II the MOW plume has settled upon Site U1389. This is further supported by findings from seismic records in the Gulf of Cadiz that also suggest that at ~2.1 Ma the present day circulation established (Hernandez-Molina et al., 2014b).

A distinct increase in the δ¹³C gradient can be seen during MIS 96, which may document a particular strong MOW activity. However, the sample resolution during MIS 96 and the subsequent MIS 95 is relatively low so that increase in the δ¹³C gradient remains ambiguous. The onset of the subsequent hiatus which has been argued to represent depositional erosion due to increased bottom current activity of the MOW could argue for a strong intensification of MOW activity (Hernandez-Molina et al., 2014b).

4.2 Precession control on MOW strength during the Early Pleistocene: Similarities to Late Pleistocene MOW behaviour?

Untreated grain-size weight percentages can only give an indication for patterns in flow strength (Kaboth et al., 2016, 2017). For the two investigated intervals we find that the 63-150 μm fraction variability is seemingly modulated by a ~23 kyr pacing (Fig. 4D). This relationship is evident in the power spectrum of the grain-size data which yields for both intervals a dominance in the precession and semi-precession frequency band (~23 and ~11 kyr) (Fig. 5A and B). The dominance and stability of the recorded precessional and semi-precessional signal in the grain-size variability throughout both investigated intervals is also highlighted by the wavelet analysis (Fig. 5C and D). This suggests that the flow strength of MOW was probably directly modulated by precession during the Early Pleistocene, aligning with previous findings based on Zr/Al ratios at Site U1389 from the Late Pleistocene (Bahr et al., 2015). In fact, a strong precessional influence was also shown for δ¹⁸O records from the eastern Mediterranean Sea (ODP site 967 and 969) and the mid-latitude North Atlantic during MIS 100 to MIS 96 (Becker et al., 2005, 2006). For the late Pleistocene, an inverse relationship was found between precession and MOW dynamics (Bahr et al., 2015; Kaboth et al., 2016). During periods of
increased summer insolation at the time of precession minima, the monsoonal rain belts expand northward causing an increase of freshwater discharge by the river Nile (e.g. Rohling et al., 2015; Rossignol-Strick, 1983, 1985). This effectively impedes intermediate water mass formation in the Eastern Mediterranean, thereby suppressing MOW production. From the correlation of the filtered ~23 kyr signal to the grain-size variability at site U1389 a similar relationship already existed during both investigated intervals of the Early Pleistocene (Fig. 4D). We also find significant semi-precession (~11 kyr) influence indicative for a primarily low-latitude response argued to originate in the tropics (Rutherford and D’Hondt, 2000; deWinter et al., 2014).

The δ¹⁸O signal comparison of Site U1389 and the MedSea stack is also particular interesting in the context of sapropel formation, as the MedSea stack due to its intermediate paleo-water depth was sensitive to freshwater induced changes in the intermediate water composition. A substantial freshening of the intermediate water masses in the Mediterranean Sea can be inferred from the strongly depleted δ¹⁸O values during MIS 103, 102, 77, 75 and 67 relative to Site U1389 (Fig. 4A). The potentially reduced MOW supply at Site U1389 at the same time would increase the isotopic gradient between both locations, as Site U1389 could be affected by more open ocean conditions. However, despite the low sample resolution, this seems not a persistent relationship throughout both investigated intervals. For the Holocene S1, the proposed reduction in MOW has been documented by the absence of sandy contourite layers from the middle slope of the Gulf of Cadiz indicating a sudden reduction in flow strength and sediment delivery by the MOW (Toucanne et al., 2007; Voelker et al., 2006). The grain-size values throughout both investigated intervals at Site U1389 are typically low during sapropel formation supporting the findings from the middle and upper slope during the Late Pleistocene (Kaboth et al., 2016). However, the grain-size is seemingly increased during the sapropels deposited in the Eastern Mediterranean Sea at ~1.92 and 1.85 Myrs (Fig. 4D). This in-phase behaviour could potentially be a tuning artefact or relate to the fact that numerical model simulations imply that remnant thermal driven overturning circulation still occurs throughout the most extreme freshening events in the eastern Mediterranean Sea (Myers, 2002). This would imply that during the sapropel formation at ~1.92 and 1.85 Myrs MOW was potentially still active at Site U1389.

4.3 Did MOW contribute to the Early Pleistocene climate transition?
Between ~2.8 and 2.4 Myrs (Interval I) occurrences of *Neogloboquadrina atlantica* (sin), an extinct polar species, were reported in the Mediterranean Sea during glacial periods suggesting the intrusion of colder water masses into the Mediterranean basin (Becker et al., 2005; Lourens and Hilgen, 1997; Zachariasse et al., 1990). We also find *N. atlantica* (sin) present during glacial periods of Interval I (Fig. 4B), confirming a more southern delineation of transitional and subpolar water masses during glacial periods of the Early Pleistocene than in recent setting (Voelker et al., 2015). This latitudinal shift might have occurred in concert with a more sluggish AMOC at least during the glacial periods if not throughout the whole time interval (Bell et al., 2015). Colder and more arid background conditions in the Mediterranean Sea could foster a stronger MOW analogous to cold spells related to Heinrich Events throughout the last climatic cycle (Bahr et al., 2014, 2015; Kaboth et al., 2016). An intensification of MOW during Interval I would align with the increased δ¹³C gradient between Site U1389 and the Mediterranean Sea suggesting a more vigorous MOW which is also reflected by higher grain-size amplitudes compared to Interval II (Fig. 4C and D). Our data, however, do not extend further back in time to test whether these conditions coincides with the proposed steady increase of MOW activity in the Gulf of Cadiz since 3.2 Ma as inferred from natural gamma ray logs and seismic profiles (Hernández-Molina et al., 2015), and with the arrival of Mediterranean sourced intermediate water mass at North Atlantic Sites DSDP 548 and 552 and ODP 982 from ~3.6 onwards (Khélifi et al., 2014; Loubere, 1987). This northward protrusion of warm and saline MOW towards high-latitude deep-water convection hot spots is considered an important modulator of the North Atlantic salt budget (Bahr et al., 2015; Rogerson et al., 2006; Voelker et al., 2006). We suggest that steady contributions of MOW throughout Interval I supplied continuously salt into the North Atlantic and potentially preconditioned the strong AMOC activity phase starting at ~2.4 Ma (Bell et al., 2015) when a tipping point was reached (Fig. 4A). In this regard, Khélifi and Frank (2014) and Lisiecki (2014) suggested the lack of increased overturning circulation in the deep water during this time interval. This at first glance stands in contrast to the proposed increased overturning circulation postulated by Bell et al. (2015) in relation to changes in the North Atlantic surface water mass trajectory. We argue that the changes in the surface water masses potentially relate to an intensification in the intermediate not the deep-water branch of the overturning cell stimulated by the increased northward protrusion of MOW. Such a scenario was already highlighted in Bahr et al. (2015) for MIS 5 and Late Pleistocene climatic conditions. Hence, the Early Pleistocene MOW might have acted as a positive climatic feedback mechanism against the background of increasingly colder temperatures. This contrasts the
warm Pliocene setting where it was proposed that MOW contributions to the North Atlantic did not have a significant influence on the AMOC (Khélifi et al., 2014).

The intensification of the AMOC is also in concert with the disappearance of *N. atlantica* (sin.) in the Mediterranean Sea and the North Atlantic up to at least 52°N after ~2.4 Ma (Lourens and Hilgen, 1997; Weaver and Clement, 1987). This suggests the reduction in southward protrusion of colder water masses and hence the *N. atlantica* (sin.) extinction, and a return to a warmer background climate in the Mediterranean region during glacial periods (Lourens, 2008).

The increased AMOC activity is documented by the North Atlantic SST record of Site ODP 982 displaying a plateau starting at ~2.4 Ma indicating more steady climate conditions (Fig. 4A), and a stagnation in Northern Hemisphere ice sheet growth (Bell et al., 2015; Lawrence et al., 2009). Coinciding with this stabilization of North Atlantic SSTs is a cooling in the South Atlantic attributed to a northward piracy of the tropical warmer water pool by a strong AMOC and implying an active interhemispheric climatic seesaw at that time (Fig. 4A, Etourneau et al., 2010; Patterson et al., 2014). Despite the lack of direct data at Site U1389 between the 2.4 to 2.1 Ma interval, seismic records from the Gulf of Cadiz suggest that the hiatus represents a depositional erosion feature caused by intensified bottom current activity, and hence strong MOW flow (Hernandez-Molina et al., 2014b). This would align with the continuous strong AMOC activity in the North Atlantic (Bell et al., 2015).

From the reduction of the δ18O and δ13C gradient between Site U1389 and the MedSea stack (Fig. 4), it appears that after ~2.1 Ma MOW settled and upon Site U1389 (Fig. 4). The reduction in grain-size might also imply more stable MOW behaviour whereas during the transitional phase of the older Interval I MOW was probably more erratic, indicated by the high grain-size variability and the increased δ13C gradient (Fig. 4C and D). Unfortunately, we lack data beyond ~2.5 Ma from ODP Sites 549, 552 and 982 to further trace the temporal MOW influence in the high-latitude North Atlantic throughout Interval II but it stands to reason that continued MOW contributions also during Interval II might have contributed to the sustained AMOC activity.

5. Conclusions

Based on our results, the supply of MOW to Site U1389 was already established during the Early Pleistocene and not limited to Late and Middle Pleistocene climate conditions. In addition, we find indication that the MOW flow strength might have been modulated by
precession superimposed on glacial-interglacial change, this aligns with findings from the Late Pleistocene at Site U1389, and suggests that Site U1389 is a true recorder of MOW variability also throughout Early Pleistocene. In the broader view of the Early Pleistocene climate evolution we find indication that increased MOW might have contributed to the increased AMOC phases starting from 2.4 Ma, and thus influencing North Atlantic oceanic heat transport.
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Figure Captions

Figure 1: (A) Study area with illustration of modern MOW pathways modified after (Bahr et al. 2015). Site location of U1389 (yellow dot) is marked. (B) Overview map of the Mediterranean Sea. Location of the Singa and Vrica sections in Italy (yellow dot) are marked. Black square indicates Gulf of Cadiz study area. (C) Water mass circulation in the Mediterranean Sea (modified after Cramp and O’Sullivan, 1999). MAW = Mixed Atlantic surface water; LIW = Levantine intermediate water; EMDW = Eastern Mediterranean deep water; WMDW = Western Mediterranean deep water. (D) CTD depth profile of temperature (red line) and salinity (blue line) at Site U1389 derived from the World Ocean Database 2013. The data points of $\delta^{18}$O$_{\text{water}}$ (black line) are derived from neighbouring EUROFLEETS-Iberian-Forams Cruise site IB-F9 (36° 48.40′ N; 7° 42.85′ W) (Voelker et al., 2015). NACWst=North Atlantic Central water of subtropical origin; NACWsp= North Atlantic water of subpolar origin; MOW=Mediterranean Outflow water.

Figure 2: The $\delta^{18}$O (A) and $\delta^{13}$C (B) interspecies correlation between benthic foraminifera Cibicidoides ungerianus and Planulina ariminensis at Site U1389. Parallel measurements were conducted throughout both investigated intervals. Linear square regression (black line) equation and Pearson correlation coefficient ($R^2$) are shown.

Figure 3: Chronology of Site U1389. Assigned marine isotope stages (MIS) follow Lourens et al. (2004). (A) Both intervals of the $\delta^{18}$O record of Site U1389 on shipboard mbsf scale correlated to the benthic $\delta^{18}$O record of the Mediterranean Sea (MedSea stack) after Lourens et al. (1996a, unpublished data). Chronostratigraphy of MedSea stack is based on tuning sapropel midpoints to La2004 65° N summer insolation (Lourens, 2004). Lines with arrows indicate selected tie points used for the age model (a full list of tie points is available in Table 2). Black triangles with numbers indicating used biostratigraphic and paleomagnetic tie points as referenced in Table 1. Black and white bar at the top represents core recovery following Hernández-Molina et al. (2013) (B) Comparison of the benthic $\delta^{18}$O record of Site U1389 on new time scale according to our tuning, and the benthic $\delta^{18}$O MedSea stack on its respective age model (Lourens et al. 2004) (C) Calculated sedimentation rates for Site U1389.

Figure 4: (A) UK37 based sea-surface temperature (SST) record of North Atlantic Site ODP 982 (Lawrence et al., 2009) and South Atlantic Site ODP 1090 (Martinez-Garcia et al., 2010). The running mean has a band width of 23. AMOC phases are marked by black arrows and follow the chronology of Bell et al. (2015). (B) Benthic $\delta^{18}$O records of both investigated
intervals at Site U1389. Interval I comprises the time frame of 2.6 to 2.4 Ma and Interval II 2.1 to 1.8 Ma. Isotopic gradient between both records is indicated by the grey-shaded area. (C) Comparison of δ¹³C of P. ariminensis for both investigated intervals at Site U1389 and δ¹³C of the MedSea stack (Lourens et al. 1996a, unpublished data). The running means have a band width of 5. The C. ungerianus based δ¹³C values of the MedSea stack were adjusted to P. ariminensis δ¹³C values of Site U1389 following the interspecies correction presented in this study (D). Grain-size (63-150 µm wt.-%) records for both investigated intervals at Site U1389. The filtered ~23 kyr signal (f = 0.05±0.01) of the grain-size signal is indicated by the black dotted-line. Sapropel mid-points are marked by orange arrows and follow the chronology of Emeis et al. (2000).

Figure 5: REDFIT power spectra of the grain-size values (63-150µm fraction in wt.-%) for both investigated intervals of Site U1389: (A) Interval I = 2.6-2.4 Ma and (B) Interval II: 2.1-1.8 Ma). The 90% (red), 80% (blue) and AR1 red noise (black) confidence levels are given. (C) Wavelet analysis of the grain-size values (63-150µm fraction in wt.-%) during Interval I and (D) Wavelet analysis of the grain-size values (63-150µm fraction in wt.-%) during Interval II. Cone of confidence (white) for both Intervals is marked. Areas with >95% significance level are marked by black lines. Periods corresponding to (semi, 1/3)-precession are marked with dashed white lines.
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A. $\delta^{18}O$ P. arlinensis vs. $\delta^{18}O$ C. ungerianus

Linear regression
Eq(1): $Y = 0.97 \times X + 0.10$
Data points = 62
$R^2 = 0.80$

B. $\delta^{13}C$ P. arlinensis vs. $\delta^{13}C$ C. ungerianus

Linear regression
Eq(1): $Y = 0.13 \times X + 0.78$
Data points = 62
$R^2 = 0.02$
Figure 3
Figure 4

Figure 4 shows the age-temperature relationship in the North Atlantic, with a focus on key intervals and events:

**A** Early Pleistocene SST plateau in the North Atlantic

**B** MOW traceable in N. Atlantic

**C** Intensified MOW aligns with precession maxima

**D** Very strong MOW

Observations include:
- Northward retraction of polar species N. atlantica
- Southward intrusion of polar species N. atlantica
- Decreasing δ¹³C gradient
- Intensified MOW aligns with precession maxima
- 23 kyr Filter

The diagram illustrates the periodic changes and the correlation with climate events.
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Table Captions

Table 1: Paleomagnetic and biostratigraphic tie points used in the primary age model of Site U1389 based on shipboard data following Hernández-Molina et al. (2013) and Stow et al. (2013). 1 = Gradstein et al. (2012); 2 = Raffi et al. (2006); 3 = Lourens et al. (2004); 4 = (Grunert et al., 2017)

Table 2: Paleomagnetic and biostratigraphic tie points used in the primary age model of Site U1389 based on shipboard data following Hernández-Molina et al. (2013) and Stow et al. (2013). 1 = Gradstein et al. (2012); 2 = Raffi et al. (2006); 3 = Lourens et al. (2004); 4 = (Grunert et al. 2017)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Event</th>
<th>TOP Depth (mbsf)</th>
<th>BOT Depth (mbsf)</th>
<th>Age (ka)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Top Olduvai</td>
<td>542.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>1806</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Bottom Olduvai</td>
<td></td>
<td>592.00</td>
<td>1945</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Matuyama/Gauss</td>
<td><strong>699.00</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2581</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>LO <em>Calciscus</em> macintyrie</td>
<td>510.99</td>
<td>515.65</td>
<td>1660</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>FO <em>Globorotalia</em> inflata</td>
<td>627.21</td>
<td>630.21</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>LO <em>Globorotalia</em> puncticulata</td>
<td>645.02</td>
<td>646.61</td>
<td>2410</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>LO <em>Discoaster</em> pentradiatus</td>
<td>674.25</td>
<td>681.98</td>
<td>2500</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>LO <em>Discoaster</em> scurlus</td>
<td>681.98</td>
<td>693.70</td>
<td>2530</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>LO <em>Discoaster</em> tamalis</td>
<td><strong>799.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>800</strong></td>
<td>2800-2830</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (mbsf)</th>
<th>Age (ka)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>512</td>
<td>1660</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>542</td>
<td>1806</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>551.25</td>
<td>1828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>554</td>
<td>1851</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>564</td>
<td>1861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>570</td>
<td>1867</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>574</td>
<td>1875</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>580</td>
<td>1898</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>592</td>
<td>1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>595</td>
<td>1965</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>1975</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>615.63</td>
<td>2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>623</td>
<td>2070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629.1</td>
<td>2092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629.75</td>
<td>2117.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>631.1</td>
<td>2132.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>646</td>
<td>2425</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>648.75</td>
<td>2435.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>665.1</td>
<td>2462.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>666.3</td>
<td>2486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>673</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>677.45</td>
<td>2517.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>687</td>
<td>2539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>689</td>
<td>2552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>691.5</td>
<td>2560</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>693.5</td>
<td>2583</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>699</strong></td>
<td><strong>2581</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>799.75</strong></td>
<td><strong>2800</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>