East Asian monsoon climate simulated in the PlioMIP
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Abstract

Based on the simulations with fifteen climate models in the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP), the regional climate of East Asia (focusing on China) during the mid-Pliocene is investigated in this study. Compared to the pre-industrial, the multi-model ensemble mean (MMM) of all models shows the East Asian summer wind (EASW) largely strengthens in monsoon China, and the East Asian winter wind (EAWW) strengthens in south monsoon China but slightly weakens in north monsoon China in mid-Pliocene. The MMM of all models also illustrates a warmer and wetter mid-Pliocene climate in China. The simulated weakened mid-Pliocene EAWW in north monsoon China and intensified EASW in monsoon China agree well with geological reconstructions. However, the model-model discrepancy in simulating mid-Pliocene East Asian monsoon climate, in particular EAWW, should be further addressed in the future work of PlioMIP.

1 Introduction

The mid-Pliocene warm period (mPWP, 3.264 to 3.025 Ma), is the most recent time in Earth’s history when global average temperature was warmer than modern but the paleogeography was similar to today (Dowsett et al., 2010). This warm period is thought to have many similarities to the projected warm climate of the late 21st century, as the global mean temperature is estimated to be 2–3°C warmer than the pre-industrial (Haywood and Valdes, 2004). Thus, the study of this period is potentially important for understanding warm climate in the near future.

The mPWP has long been a focus for data syntheses (e.g., Dowsett et al., 1994, 1999, 2010; Salzmann et al., 2008) and climate modeling (e.g., Chandler et al., 1994; Jiang et al., 2005; Yan et al., 2011). The US geological survey’s Pliocene Research Interpretation and Synoptic Mapping (PRISM) project (Dowsett et al., 1994, 1999, 2009, 2010) has studied this period for about 20 yr and the latest PRISM3 dataset has
been released recently (Dowsett et al., 2010). Moreover, together with the progresses of mid-Pliocene reconstructions, the mid-Pliocene climate has been widely simulated with climate models (Chandler et al., 1994; Sloan et al., 1996; Haywood et al., 2000; Haywood and Valdes, 2004; Jiang et al., 2005; Lunt et al., 2010; Yan et al., 2011). Most of these simulations were carried out with atmospheric general circulation models (AGCMs) (Chandler et al., 1994; Sloan et al., 1996; Haywood et al., 2000; Jiang et al., 2005); only a few were carried out with coupled atmosphere–ocean general circulation models (AOGCMs) (Haywood and Valdes, 2004; Yan et al., 2011). In order to further understand the mPWP climate, the Pliocene Model Intercomparison Project (PlioMIP) was initiated and included in the Palaeoclimate Modeling Intercomparison Project (PMIP) phase III. In the PlioMIP, two types of experiments were designed (Haywood et al., 2010, 2011). One was performed with AGCMs and the other was performed with AOGCMs. Preliminary results from each model have been published in a special issue in Journal “Geoscientific Model Development” (see the references listed in Table 1).

Haywood et al. (2013) presented the first large-scale model-model intercomparison of PlioMIP simulations. They demonstrated that climate models differently interpreted the amount of forcing derived from Pliocene boundary conditions, and appeared able to reproduce many regional changes in temperature reconstructed from geological proxies (Haywood et al., 2013). This study focused on the model-model intercomparison on a global scale, but paid less attentions to regional climate, such as monsoon.

Monsoon is also an important topic included in the PlioMIP. Monsoon can be classified into two groups, tropical monsoon and subtropical monsoon. The tropical monsoon (e.g., Indian monsoon) is mainly driven by the seasonal movement of the intertropical convergence zone (Hoskins and Rodwell, 1995; Chao and Chen, 2001). The subtropical monsoon (e.g., East Asian monsoon) is further influenced by the land-sea thermal contrast (Ramage, 1971; Webster et al., 1998). Due to the different thermal capacity of the land and sea, the cold high-pressure system that occupies the inland Eurasian continent drives northwesterlies and northeasterlies in the East Asia during boreal winter
(December, January and February, DJF), while the warm low-pressure system drives southwesterlies and southeasterlies and brings precipitation into East Asia during boreal summer (June, July and August, JJA).

In this study, we focus on the analyses of East Asian monsoon climate simulated in the PlioMIP. The investigation of tropical monsoon (e.g., Indian monsoon and African monsoon) will be presented in other studies. Moreover, since China is the largest country in East Asia, covering more than 80% of the land area of East Asia, we focus on the monsoon climate over China here.

This paper is organized as follows: in Sect. 2, we present reconstructions of the mid-Pliocene climate in China. In Sect. 3, we briefly describe the models used in the PlioMIP and experimental design. Then we evaluate the simulations of pre-industrial climate with these models in China in Sect. 4. In Sect. 5, we analyze the mid-Pliocene climate changes including 850 hPa wind fields, surface air temperature (SAT) and precipitation, relative to the pre-industrial. Section 6 is discussion and summary.

2 Geological evidence for mid-Pliocene East Asian monsoon

In China, most mid-Pliocene geological evidences reveal changes in intensity of monsoon. Yan et al. (2012a) has summarized these mid-Pliocene proxy records that are well-dated by magnetostratigraphic and biostratigraphic chronology. The smaller mean/median grain size and proportion of coarse component (Xiong et al., 2001; Wan et al., 2007; Sun et al., 2008), the lower content of the 10–70 µm fraction (Jiang and Ding, 2010) in paleosol-loess sediments, together with the smaller abundance of Neogloboquadrina dutertrei in South China Sea ocean sediments (Jian et al., 2003; Li et al., 2004a) indicate East Asian winter winds (EAWW) were weaker during the mid-Pliocene, relative to the late Quaternary. Conversely, geochemical indices, including a larger ratio of free iron to total iron concentrations, larger proportion of finest end-member (fluvial mud) and smaller (illite + chlorite)/smectite ratio, indicated increased
chemical weathering, thus suggesting intensified East Asian summer winds (EASW) (Ding et al., 2001; Wan et al., 2007).

Compared to these data of wind intensity, a few proxy data (Table 2) are found to be able to reconstruct temperature and humidity changes between mid-Pliocene and late Quaternary. These proxy data are classified into two groups according to the reliability in chronology and climate interpretation. In the first group, proxy data have good age control with a small age range between 3.0 and 3.3 Ma, and also a relatively reliable climate indication of temperature and/or humidity for the mid-Pliocene compared to the Late Quaternary (Ma et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2007; Jiang and Ding, 2008; Wu et al., 2011; Cai et al., 2012). In contrast, in the second group, the uncertainties in age control and/or climate interpretation are relatively large. The more reliable reconstructions in the first group indicate that it was warmer and wetter in China in the mid-Pliocene, relative to the Late Quaternary (Fig. S1).

In summary, these proxy data demonstrate that, during the warm mid-Pliocene period, the East Asian summer (winter) winds become stronger (weaker) than the Late Quaternary. These changes in winds are also supported by the records from the North Pacific ODP Site 885/886 (Rea et al., 1998), where received less aeolian sediments (low mass accumulation rate and smaller grain size) transported from inland Asia during the mid-Pliocene. On the other hand, it is highly likely that it was warmer and wetter in most of China during the mid-Pliocene relative to the Late Quaternary.

### 3 Models and experimental design

Nine climate modeling groups participated in the PlioMIP. Seven of them provide AGCMs simulations for PlioMIP Experiment 1 and eight groups provide AOGCMs simulations for PlioMIP Experiment 2 (Table 1). All simulations include a pre-industrial experiment and a mid-Pliocene experiment. Compared to the pre-industrial experiment, the changes of boundary conditions in the mid-Pliocene experiment include the modification in topography (Sohl et al., 2009), land cover (Salzmann et al., 2008) and...
the increase of atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration to 405 ppmv. AGCM simulations are forced with the fixed mid-Pliocene sea surface temperature (SST) (Dowsett et al., 2009; Robinson et al., 2011). Further details of boundary conditions and experimental design for the PlioMIP can be found in Haywood et al. (2010, 2011). Table 1 summarizes the implementation of the experimental set-up in each model.

4 Evaluation of the models

In order to know the model abilities in simulating East Asian monsoon climate, we evaluate the simulated winds, SAT and precipitation in pre-industrial experiment, with comparisons to the NCEP–DOE reanalysis data during the period 1979–2008 (Kanamitsu et al., 2002), the ERA40 monthly reanalysis data during the period 1972–2001 (Uppala et al., 2005) and the CMAP precipitation data during the period 1979–2008 (Xie and Arkin, 1996), respectively.

We regrid all model results and observations to the resolution of 2.5° × 2.5° (Fig. S2), and then calculate spatial correlation coefficient (SCC) and root-mean-square errors excluding systematic model error (RMSE). The SCC quantifies the similarity between simulated and observed spatial patterns. The larger SCC indicates the higher similarity. The RMSE assesses the internal model errors. The larger RMSE indicates the larger errors.

The SCC and RMSE of meridional wind at 850 hPa in the East Asian region of 20°–45° N and 105°–135° E show that the models used in the PlioMIP have a wide range of skills in simulating East Asian monsoon climate. As listed in Table S1, SCCs of EAWW are generally larger than SCCs of EASW, indicating that models have a better skill in simulating EAWW than EASW. For EASW, there are four models (CAM 3.1, MRI-CGCM2.3-AGCM, ECHAM5 and COSMOS) which show negative SCCs or in which confidence level of SCC is lower than 95%.

The model ability in simulating East Asian monsoon climate shown above is also supported by the Taylor diagrams (Taylor, 2001) for meridional wind at 850 hPa (Fig. 1).
The simulated EAWW points show less scatter around the point REF of observation than the simulated EASW points, indicating that the simulations of EAWW are better than those of EASW. For EASW (EAWW), the best model is ModelE2-R (HadAM3) with the highest SCC and smaller RMSE. The multi-model ensemble mean (MMM) point is close to the REF for both EASW and EAWW. So the MMM has a better skill than most individual models in simulating EASW and EAWW.

The models have different skills (Table S2) in simulating the pre-industrial annual and seasonal SAT over China. Compared to the observations, SCCs for annual and seasonal SAT in these pre-industrial simulations exceed 0.85, indicating these models can reasonably simulate SAT over China. The best model is HadAM3 with the highest SCC of approximately 0.99 and the smallest RMSE of 1.16°C. In contrast, the range of the models’ abilities is large in simulating the annual and seasonal precipitation over China. The best model is still HadAM3 with the highest SCC (0.91) and smaller RMSE (0.70 mm day$^{-1}$) for annual precipitation over China.

In the Taylor figures (Fig. 2), the simulated SAT points gather closely to the REF. In contrast, the precipitation points scatter and are far away from REF, indicating the larger discrepancies in simulating precipitation. Moreover, the MMM point is close to the REF, for both SAT and precipitation. Thus, the MMM is better than most individual simulations for SAT and precipitation over China. Two kinds of MMM are calculated, the unweighted and the weighted. The weighted MMM is based on weights taken from the SCC of each model. Regardless of whether the MMM is weighted or not, both have similar SCCs and similar RMSEs, indicating that the weights of these models are not important in calculating MMM of regional climate in China in the PlioMIP.
5 Model-model intercomparison

5.1 Mid-Pliocene East Asian monsoon

Here, we use a classic indice, regionally averaged meridional wind speeds at 850 hPa (Wu and Ni, 1997; Wang et al., 2001) within the region of 20°–45° N and 105°–135° E to indicate the intensity of East Asian summer and winter winds. A positive (negative) indice represents southerly (northerly) wind anomalies, thus indicating intensified (weakened) EASW, or weakened (intensified) EAWW.

In the PlioMIP, the MMM indice of all models shows that the simulated mid-Pliocene EASW becomes stronger, and EAWW becomes slightly stronger, relative to the pre-industrial. In boreal summer, the mid-Pliocene MMM indice is 0.65 m s\(^{-1}\) higher, and southwesterly anomalies (enhanced EASW) appears in monsoon China (Fig. 3a, Table 3). In boreal winter, the mid-Pliocene MMM indice is 0.06 m s\(^{-1}\) lower than the pre-industrial. Northeasterly anomalies (enhanced EAWW) appear in south monsoon China, while weak southeasterly anomalies (weakened EAWW) occur in north monsoon China (Fig. 3b, Table 3).

Compared to the AOGCMs-MMM, the monsoon changes in AGCMs-MMM is in better agreement with those observed in the MMM of all models. The AOGCMs-MMM shows weaker intensification in the mid-Pliocene EASW (Fig. 3e vs. Fig. 3c), and further weakening (Fig. 3f vs. Fig. 3d) in the mid-Pliocene EAWW in north monsoon China, relative to the AGCMs-MMM.

For individual models, 14 simulations show increased indices in EASW, ranging from 0.12 m s\(^{-1}\) (CCSM4) to 1.32 m s\(^{-1}\) (HadAM3), while only COSMOS simulates a decreased indice of 0.30 m s\(^{-1}\) (Table 3, Fig. S3). In contrast, the model-model discrepancies are much larger in simulating mid-Pliocene EAWW (Table 3, Fig. S4). The indice of EAWW decreases (indicating strengthened EAWW) in the simulations with CAM3.1, LMDZ5A, MRI-CGCM2.3-AGCM, ECHAM5, HadAM3, IPSL-CM5A, MRI-CGCM2.3, COSMOS and CCSM4, but increases (indicating weakened EAWW) in

the simulations with CAM4, MIROC4m-AGCM, NorESM-L, MIROC4m, HadCM3 and ModelE2-R.

As suggested by the reconstructions of the mid-Pliocene East Asian monsoon (see Sect. 2), if only the six models that simulate the weakened EAWW are considered, the obvious southeasterly anomalies occur in monsoon China in boreal winter (Fig. 3h). Moreover, the MMM of these six models also shows the mid-Pliocene EASW is further strengthened (Fig. 3g vs. Fig. 3a), when compared to the MMM of all models.

5.2 SAT

The MMM annual SAT averaged over China increases by 2.64 °C in the mid-Pliocene compared to the pre-industrial (Table 3). Stronger warming appears in western China. Regionally averaged SAT increases by 3.08 °C in western China and by 2.19 °C in monsoon China. In addition to the warming, small cooling areas appear in the Tarim basin and the south margin of Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 4a).

The changes in AGCMs-MMM or AOGCMs-MMM annual SAT are similar to the MMM of all models (Fig. 4c and e vs. Fig. 4a) over China, although the warming amplitude simulated with AOGCMs is slightly larger than AGCMs (Fig. 4e vs. Fig. 4c). The mid-Pliocene AOGCMs-MMM annual SAT regionally averaged over China is 3.05 °C higher than the pre-industrial. It is 0.88 °C greater than the SAT increase in the AGCMs-MMM.

For individual models, all models simulate warmer climates over China in the mid-Pliocene than the pre-industrial (Table 3, Fig. S5). The increases of annual SAT regionally averaged over China range from 1.46 °C (ModelE2-R) to 4.49 °C (HadCM3). Smaller scale changes in annual SAT in China are also captured by simulations, in particular the simulations with higher resolution AGCMs (Fig. S5).

The six models (CAM4, MIROC4m-AGCM, NorESM-L, MIROC4m, HadCM3 and ModelE2-R) that produce weakened EAWW generally simulate stronger mid-Pliocene warming over China than other models (Fig. 4g vs. Fig. 4a), particularly in boreal winter (Fig. 5c vs. Fig. 5a). As a result, further decreased land-sea thermal contrast in boreal
winter, which causes the weakened EAWW, appears in the mid-Pliocene experiments simulated with these six models, when compared to other models (Fig. 6b).

5.3 Precipitation

The mid-Pliocene MMM annual precipitation averaged over China increases by 0.25 mm\,day$^{-1}$, relative to the pre-industrial. The annual MMM precipitation increases in most areas of China. However, decreased precipitation also can be observed in some small areas, for example in south monsoon China and the south margin of Tibetan Plateau (Fig. 4b).

The simulated changes in precipitation show similar patterns between AOGCMs-MMM and AGCMs-MMM over China (Fig. 4f vs. Fig. 4d). The increase in the mid-Pliocene MMM annual precipitation averaged over China is identical in the simulations with AGCMs (0.25 mm\,day$^{-1}$) and AOGCMs (0.25 mm\,day$^{-1}$).

For individual models, most models simulate an increased annual precipitation over China in the mid-Pliocene relative to the pre-industrial. The change in annual precipitation averaged over China ranges from $-0.51$ mm\,day$^{-1}$ (COSMOS) to $0.75$ mm\,day$^{-1}$ (HadCM3), and only ECHAM5 and COSMOS simulate a decrease in annual precipitation. Although the changes in annual precipitation simulated with most models generally agree with the changes in MMM, these individual simulations still show considerable differences in spatial distribution (Fig. S7).

With the six models (CAM4, MIROC4m-AGCM, NorESM-L, MIROC4m, HadCM3 and ModelE2-R) that simulate the weakened EAWW, the precipitation increases in the mid-Pliocene MMM are larger than those in the MMM of all models (Fig. 4h vs. Fig. 4b). The more increases in precipitation are consistent with the further intensified EASW and weakened EAWW simulated with these six models (Fig. 6c and d).
6 Discussion and summary

The above model-model intercomparisons show that the simulated mid-Pliocene EASW largely strengthens and the EAWW slightly enhances (Fig. 3a and b) in the MMM of all models, relative to the pre-industrial. When these models are classified into AGCMs and AOGCMs, the AOGCMs-MMM shows weaker intensified EASW, and further weakened EAWW in north monsoon China, relative to the AGCMs-MMM. Moreover, the MMM shows a warmer and wetter mid-Pliocene climate in most areas of China, which agrees with most reconstructed data (Fig. S1).

Although most models simulated intensified EASW, the model-model discrepancy in simulating mid-Pliocene EAWW can not be neglected. Nine models (CAM3.1, LMDZ5A, MRI-CGCM2.3-AGCM, ECHAM5, HadAM3, IPSLCM5A, MRI-CGCM2.3, COSMOS and CCSM4) reproduce intensified EAWW. On the contrary, six models (CAM4, MIROC4m-AGCM, NorESM-L, MIROC4m, HadCM3 and ModelE2-R) reproduce weakened EAWW. With these six models, when compared to other models, the further decreased land-sea thermal contrast caused the weakened EAWW in the mid-Pliocene experiments. Such change in the land-sea thermal contrast is controlled by the stronger mid-Pliocene warming in particular in boreal winter over China (Fig. 6b).

Compared to the mid-Pliocene monsoon reconstructions, the simulated weakened mid-Pliocene EAWW and intensified EASW agree better with geological evidence. The weakened EAWW reduces the dry airflow from inland Asia. Due to the weakened EAWW and wetter climate in the mid-Pliocene, the dust from arid inland Asia should have been reduced in the mid-Pliocene. As a result, dust accumulation rate was low on the Loess Plateau (Guo et al., 2002) and the mass accumulation rate and grain size in the ODP site 885/886 from North Pacific (Rea et al., 1998) was also low in the mid-Pliocene relative to the present.

It is interesting to notice that models in the PlioMIP have good skills in simulating EAWW in the pre-industrial control experiments, but show obvious discrepancies in simulating EAWW in the warm mid-Pliocene. In contrast, these models have relatively
less skills in simulating EASW in the control experiments, but show almost consistent intensification in the mid-Pliocene EASW.

The reason behind this model behavior in simulating East Asian monsoon remains unclear. These models show different responses to the increased surface radiative forcing in the mid-Pliocene experiments. The different responses are likely related to independent physical processes and parameterizations in climate models. Moreover, according to the PlioMIP experimental design, the identical orbital parameters are used in the pre-industrial and the mid-Pliocene experiments. It is likely the heating over China during the mid-Pliocene is underestimated (in particular in boreal winter) in the simulations presented here. We also notice that the changes in topography boundary conditions are one possible reason for the discrepancy in simulating the mid-Pliocene EAWW, because the increase in mid-Pliocene topography boundary conditions (Fig. S8) weakens the warming magnitude simulated in China. The increased mid-Pliocene topography is not supported by geological evidence either, which demonstrates that there were uplifts on the margin of Tibetan Plateau since the mid-Pliocene (e.g., Li and Fang, 1999; Zheng et al., 2000; Fang et al., 2005). In addition, due to different model resolutions, smoothed or unsmoothed topography anomalies, which are used in creating mid-Pliocene topography conditions (Haywood et al., 2010, 2011, Fig. S8), may also bring some uncertainties in simulating East Asian monsoon climate. To better narrow the uncertainties, we suggest that more sensitivity experiments to the mid-Pliocene orbital parameters should be tested, and the modern topography (except for Greenland and Antarctic) can be potentially used, in the mid-Pliocene experiments of the PlioMIP phase II.

In summary, based on the PlioMIP multi-model intercomparison, the mid-Pliocene climate of East Asia (focusing on China) is investigated from simulations with the seven AGCMs (CAM3.1, HadAM3, LMDZ5A, MIROC4m-AGCM, MRI-CGCM2.3-AGCM, CAM4 and ECHAM5) and the eight AOGCMs (ModelE2-R, CCSM4, HadCM3, IPSLCM5A, MIROC4m, MRI-CGCM2.3, NorESM-L and COSMOS). The MMM of these models shows that EASW largely strengthens in monsoon China, and EAWW
strengthens in south monsoon China, but slightly weakens in north monsoon China. The MMM of all these models also illustrates a warmer and wetter mid-Pliocene climate in China. The mid-Pliocene SAT averaged over China is 2.64 °C higher than the pre-industrial, with a range of levels from 1.46 °C to 4.49 °C among individual models. The mid-Pliocene precipitation averaged over China is 0.25 mm day\(^{-1}\) larger, with a range of levels from -0.51 mm day\(^{-1}\) to 0.75 mm day\(^{-1}\) among individual models. However, the model-model discrepancy in simulating mid-Pliocene East Asian climate, in particular the mid-Pliocene EAWW, can not be neglected. Six models simulated a weakened mid-Pliocene EAWW, whereas the other nine models did not. Although the simulation of weakened EAWW is supported by the mid-Pliocene geological evidence, the reason behind this discrepancy should be further addressed in the future work of PlioMIP.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at:
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Table 1. Basic information of GCMs used in this paper.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Boundary condition</th>
<th>Atmosphere resolution</th>
<th>Years for analyzing</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CAM3.1</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>T42, L26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Yan et al. (2012b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadAM3</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>preferred</td>
<td>2.5° × 3.75°, L19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Bragg et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMDZ5A</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1.9° × 3.75°, L39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Contoux et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC4m-AGCM</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>preferred</td>
<td>T42, L20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chan et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-CGCM2.3-AGCM</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>T42, L30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Kame and Ueda (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM4</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>T31, L26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Zhang and Yan (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHAM5</td>
<td>AGCM</td>
<td>preferred</td>
<td>T31, L19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Stepanek and Lohmann (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelE2-R</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>preferred</td>
<td>2° × 2.5°, L40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chandler et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSM4</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>0.9° × 1.25°, L26</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Rosenbloom et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadCM3</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>2.5° × 3.75°, L19</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Bragg et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSLCM5A</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>1.9° × 3.75°, L39</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Contoux et al. (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC4m</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>preferred</td>
<td>T42, L20</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Chan et al. (2011)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-CGCM2.3</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>T42, L30</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Kame and Ueda (2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NorESM-L</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>alternate</td>
<td>T31, L26</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2012, 2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSMOS</td>
<td>AOGCM</td>
<td>preferred</td>
<td>T31, L19</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Stepanek and Lohmann (2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Data used to reconstruct the mid-Pliocene climate in China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Proxy data</th>
<th>Dating</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Age (Ma)</th>
<th>Geological records (Compared to the Late Quaternary)</th>
<th>Reconstructed climate</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>More tree and shrubs, less herbs</td>
<td>Wetter</td>
<td>[Jiang and Ding (2008)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sikouzi, Gansu</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>36°16' N</td>
<td>105°59' E</td>
<td>3.3–3.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Chaona, Gansu</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>35°7' N</td>
<td>107°12' E</td>
<td>3.0–2.6</td>
<td>A domination of typical Cupressaceae forest vegetation</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Wu et al. (2007)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chaona, Gansu</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>35°7' N</td>
<td>107°21' E</td>
<td>3.3–3.0</td>
<td>The predominance of Cupressaceae and Juniperus with lower Ulmus</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Ma et al. (2005)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Qaidam Basin</td>
<td>Sporopollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>38°22' N</td>
<td>91°44' E</td>
<td>3.1–3.0</td>
<td>Higher abundance of broadleaved trees and lower abundance of Xerophytic taxa</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Cai et al. (2012)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Qaidam Basin</td>
<td>Sporopollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>~37.8° N</td>
<td>~94.8° E</td>
<td>3.3–3.0</td>
<td>Low fraction of Xerophytic plants and the existence of thermophilous subtropical trees</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Wu et al. (2011)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Yushe, Shanxi</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>~37° N</td>
<td>~113° E</td>
<td>3.2–3.0</td>
<td>Contains various thermophilies, e.g. Carya, Juglans, etc. These thermophilic taxa are now present about 1 or 2 latitude degrees south of the Yushe Basin</td>
<td>Warmer</td>
<td>[Liu et al. (2002)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yushe, Shanxi</td>
<td>Fruits, seeds</td>
<td>36°58' N</td>
<td>112°50' E</td>
<td>3.5–2.3</td>
<td>The existence of lake and Ruphipia</td>
<td>Wetter</td>
<td>[Zhang et al. (2004)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Yushe, Shanxi</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>~37.5° N</td>
<td>~114° E</td>
<td>3.6–2.5</td>
<td>The high percentages of Picea and Abies, and the absence of thermophilies in pollen assemblages</td>
<td>Colder and wetter</td>
<td>[Li et al. (2004)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Shijiawan, Shaanxi</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>~34.4° N</td>
<td>~109.7° E</td>
<td>3.0–2.7</td>
<td>Typical steppe type; with elephant fossil faunas</td>
<td>Warmer and drier</td>
<td>[Han et al. (1997)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Xifeng, Gansu</td>
<td>Molluscan biostratigraphy</td>
<td>30°53' N</td>
<td>107°58' E</td>
<td>3.4–2.4</td>
<td>Increase of coldaridiphilous taxa, decrease of meso-xerophilous taxa and the rare occurrence of thermo-humidiphilous mollusks</td>
<td>Colder and drier</td>
<td>[Wu et al. (2006)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lingtai, Eastern China</td>
<td>Sporopollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>35°04' N</td>
<td>112°50' E</td>
<td>5.8–3.4</td>
<td>The existence of some subtropical trees</td>
<td>Warm and wetter</td>
<td>[Wu (2001)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
<td>Fossil mammals, Stratigraphy</td>
<td>32° N</td>
<td>105° E</td>
<td>3.4–2.0</td>
<td>High hypsodonty</td>
<td>Drier</td>
<td>[Errenen et al. (2010)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
<td>Fossil leaves, pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>24°41' N</td>
<td>98°33' E</td>
<td>3.3–2.3</td>
<td>Based on coexistence approach</td>
<td>Warmer and drier</td>
<td>[Yao et al. (2012)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Yunnan</td>
<td>Fossil leaves, pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>~24.7° N</td>
<td>~98.4° E</td>
<td>3.4–2.5</td>
<td>Based on Leaf Margin Analysis and the Climate-Leaf Analysis Multivariate Program</td>
<td>Warmer and drier</td>
<td>[Xie et al. (2012)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Tengchong, Yunnan</td>
<td>Fossil woods, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>~24.7° N</td>
<td>~98.4° E</td>
<td>3.4–2.0</td>
<td>Based on coexistence approach</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Kou et al. (2006); Sun et al. (2011)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Eryuan, Yunnan</td>
<td>K-Ar and Rb-Sr biostratigraphy</td>
<td>28°50' N</td>
<td>99°49' E</td>
<td>3.4–2.5</td>
<td>Based on coexistence approach</td>
<td>Warm and wetter</td>
<td>[Wang et al. (2002)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Yangyi, Yunnan</td>
<td>K-Ar and Rb-Sr biostratigraphy</td>
<td>24°57' N</td>
<td>98°15' E</td>
<td>3.4–2.5</td>
<td>Based on coexistence approach</td>
<td>Warm and wetter</td>
<td>[Wang et al. (2002)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Longling, Yunnan</td>
<td>Late Pliocene</td>
<td>24°41' N</td>
<td>98°50' E</td>
<td>3.4–2.5</td>
<td>The distribution of a few subropical and warm temperate broadleaf trees</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Hao et al. (2012)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Zhanggu, Shandong</td>
<td>Pollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>36°43' N</td>
<td>111°27' E</td>
<td>3.3–2.3</td>
<td>Based on coexistence approach</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Hao et al. (2012)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Lap Nur, Xinjiang</td>
<td>Sporopollen, biostratigraphy</td>
<td>39°47' N</td>
<td>88°23' E</td>
<td>3.3–2.3</td>
<td>Based on coexistence approach</td>
<td>Warmer and wetter</td>
<td>[Hao et al. (2012)]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Only take one point (32° N, 105° E).
Table 3. The regionally averaged changes of EAWW, EASW, SAT and precipitation between mid-Pliocene and pre-industrial experiments. The EAWW and EASW changes (units: m s\(^{-1}\)) are calculated by regionally averaged meridional wind speed at 850 hPa within the region of 20°–45° N and 105°–135° E. The SAT (units: °C) and precipitation (units: mm day\(^{-1}\)) changes are calculated by regionally averaged values within China.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>EASW</th>
<th>EAWW</th>
<th>SAT</th>
<th>Precipitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>ANN</td>
<td>JJA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM3.1</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>-0.20</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadAM3</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMDZ5A</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC4m-AGCM</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-CGCM2.3-AGCM</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAM4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ECHAM5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModelE2-R</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCSM4</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-0.09</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HadCM3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSLCM5A</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIROC4m</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRI-CGCM2.3</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NorESM-L</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COSMOS</td>
<td>-0.30</td>
<td>-1.53</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MMM</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGCMs-MMM</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AOGCMs-MMM</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Taylor diagrams of (a) summer and (b) winter meridional wind at 850 hPa in the East Asian regions of 20°–45° N and 105°–135° E for different models. The point of REF indicates the NCEP–DOE reanalysis data averaged within 1979–2008 (Kanamitsu et al., 2002). In the Taylor diagram, the standard deviation of the modelled field is the radial distance from the origin. The RMSE is the distance to the REF point. The azimuthal position gives the SCC. The RMSE and the modelled standard deviation are normalized by the observed standard deviation.
Fig. 2. Taylor diagrams of (a) summer, (b) winter and (c) annual mean SAT and precipitation in China for different models. The point of “REF” indicates the ERA40 reanalysis data averaged within 1972–2001 (Uppala et al., 2005) for SAT or the CMAP data averaged within 1979–2008 (Xie and Arkin, 1996) for precipitation.
Fig. 3. The MMM for EASW (left column, units: m s$^{-1}$) and EAWW differences (right column, units: m s$^{-1}$) between mid-Pliocene and pre-industrial experiments. (a) and (b) are the MMM of all models, (c) and (d) are the AGCMs-MMM, (e) and (f) are the AOGCMs-MMM, (g) and (h) are the MMM of the six models that simulate the weakened EAWW listed in Table 3. In (a), region (1), (2) and (3) represent the western China, the north monsoon China and the south monsoon China, respectively. Region (2) and (3) together represent monsoon China. Regions with an elevation above 1500 m are left blank.
Fig. 4. Same as Fig. 3, but for annual mean SAT (left column, units: °C) and precipitation differences (right column, units: mm day$^{-1}$). The standard deviation of the simulated annual SAT and precipitation changes can be seen in Fig. S6.
**Fig. 5.** The MMM for winter SAT (left column, units: °C) and precipitation differences (right column, units: mm day$^{-1}$) between mid-Pliocene and pre-industrial experiments. (a) and (b) are the MMM of all models, (c) and (d) are the MMM of the six models that simulate the weakened EAWW listed in Table 3.
Fig. 6. The seasonal SAT (top, units: °C) and precipitation differences (bottom, units: mm day$^{-1}$) between mid-Pliocene and pre-industrial experiments. The SAT changes are longitudinally averaged within 20°–50° N. The precipitation changes are zonally averaged within 105°–120° E.