First, we thank you for your comments and suggestions. We have revised the manuscript according to the specific comments. Please find a detailed reply to all comments below.

Reviewer's comments are in 'italic' font. Our response is in 'Bold' font.

Consider changing the title from “Ranges of moisture-source temperature estimated from Antarctic ice core stable isotope records over the glacial-interglacial cycles” to “Ranges of moisture-source temperature estimated from Antarctic ice core stable isotope records over glacial-interglacial cycles”

We have changed the title.

Consider adding a Figure illustrating the spatial locations of the ice cores used in this study?

We have added a map showing the locations of the drilling sites.

Page 392, line 3; Consider changing ‘ice cores’ to ‘ice core records’
Page 392, line 8; Consider changing ‘Fuji, Antarctica is produced spanning the past 360 000 yr’ to ‘Fuji, Antarctica spanning the past 360 000 years is presented and compared...’

These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 392, line 10; Consider changing ‘have been’ to ‘are’

Here, we mentioned the methods used in previous studies. For clarity, we changed ‘have been’ to ‘were’, and added ‘in previous studies’.

Page 392, line 14; Change ‘coefficient on the reconstructed temperatures’ to ‘coefficient on
reconstructed temperatures’ Page 392, line 21; Change ‘Antarctic ice cores provide an important clue’ to ‘Antarctic ice cores provide important clues’ Page 392, line 26; Change ‘have been’ to ‘are’ Page 393, line 18; Change ‘can be’ to ‘are’ Page 393, line 25; Change ‘temperature inversions have been conducted with different’ to ‘temperature inversions are conducted using different’ Page 393, line 24; Change ‘allows us to correct site temperature estimate for’ to ‘allows corrections to site temperature estimates for’ Page 393, line 26; Insert ‘E.g.’ at start of parentheses to read ‘(E.g. different adjustments...’ Page 393, line 29; Change ‘have revealed’ to ‘reveal’ Page 394, line 7; Insert ‘E.g.’ at start of parentheses to read ‘(E.g. source temperature, relative humidity...’ Page 394, line 9; Consider changing ‘deployed’ to ‘developed’ Page 394, line 11; Consider changing ‘The largest difference between these studies lies in the coefficient’ to ‘The largest difference between these studies is associated with the coefficient..’ Page 394, line 14; Change ‘In this manuscript, we will review the causes for these differences and their impact’ to ‘In this manuscript, we review the causes for differences in site and the subsequent impacts on...’ Page 395, line 2; Change ‘Then, the other core’ to ‘A second core (Dome Fuji 2nd, DF2)’ Page 395, line 3; Change ‘and its d18O record from a _130 m-length’ to ‘and the d18O record from a _130 m-length section’ Page 395, line 4; Change ‘Here, we show dD and d records from the _130 m-length part’ to ‘Here, we present dD and d records from the _130 m-length section’ Page 395, lines -7; Change ‘The dD data from the overlapping part (2400-2500 m) shows remarkable similarity between DF1 and DF2 (Fig. 1.)’ to ‘The dD data from the overlapping section (2400-2500 m) of DF1 and DF2 shows remarkable similarity (Fig. 1.)’

These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 395, line 17; What do you mean by ‘but larger’? I am assuming that you mean there is a difference between the re-measured DF1 and the original DF1 results? What is the difference?

There is a difference between the re-measured DF1 and the original DF1 results. The difference is consistent with the difference between the DF2 and the original DF1 record. Thus, the d18O values of original (old) DF1 data are slightly higher than both the re-measured DF1 and DF2 data.

See also the response for the reviewer #1 for details. We have rewritten the paragraph.

Page 395, line 18; Again, what do you mean by ‘gap’? I am assuming that you mean the difference between the re-measured DF1 and the original DF1 results.

Yes ‘gap’ means the difference. We have corrected it.
These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 397, line 1; Not sure what the authors mean by ‘Such difference, however, cannot be found in the older section (200-250 kyr BP) of DF and Vostok’? Do the authors mean ‘However, there are periods of pronounced $d$ minima in the older sections (200-250 kyr BP) at DF and Vostok’?

We intended to mean the sentence as you suggested. We revised the sentence as; ‘However, there are periods of pronounced $d$ minima in the older sections (200-250 kyr BP) at DF and Vostok, and both the records show similar variations.’

Page 397, line 15; Consider changing ‘We used a linear inversion method which is very similar procedure performed for Vostok’ to ‘We used a linear inversion method that is similar to method used for Vostok’ Page 397, line 21; Change ‘This coefficient, however, can be exactly calculated as a function of...’ to ‘This coefficient, is calculated as a function of...’ Page 398, line 5; Consider changing ‘The $d$DSW can be calculated on the assumption...’ to ‘The $d$DSW is calculated on the assumption...’ In the subsequent sentence, the authors use ‘can be’. Consider also changing this to ‘is’ Page 398, line 13; Consider changing ‘using a linear relation found in outputs of Atmospheric General Circulation Models...’ to ‘using a linear relationship identified in Atmospheric General Circulation Models...’

These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 398, line 24; Consider changing ‘This is of course an approximation as Antarctic inversion strength varies through places, time and weather conditions. A detailed analysis of condensation temperature conducted ....’ to ‘This is an approximation as the Antarctic inversion strength varies spatially and in time and with changing weather conditions. A detailed analysis of the condensation temperature was conducted ....’

We revised the following sentence as; ‘Recently, a detailed analysis of the half century long meteorological observations at Vostok allowed to estimate changes in condensation temperature and confirmed the validity of this approach (Ekaykin, 2003).’
Page 399, line 3; Consider changing ‘Finally, a kinetic isotope fractionation occurs during ice crystal growth because of super-saturation over ice, parameterized as a linear function of temperature.’ to ‘Finally, kinetic isotope fractionation occurs during ice crystal growth because of super-saturation over ice, and this is parameterized using a linear function of temperature.’

Page 399, line 19; Consider changing ‘The difficulties to simulate present-day δ values might also reflect the difference between ....’ to ‘The difficulties in simulating present-day δ values also reflects the difference between ....’

Page 399, line 22; Consider changing ‘In fact, the air pressure of DF is the lowest among the coring sites since its elevation is the highest’ to ‘In fact, the air pressure at DF is the lowest among the coring sites due to its high elevation’

These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 399, line 24; VK? This is the first time the authors have used ‘VK’. I am assuming ‘VK’ is Vostok?

Yes, VK is Vostok. The abbreviation was removed in the revised version.

Page 400, line 1; Consider changing ‘We have therefore two options’ to ‘We therefore have two options’ Page 400, line 8; Consider changing ‘In the temperature range encountered in Central Antarctica’ to ‘The temperature range in Central Antarctica’ Page 400, line 18; Consider changing ‘values published in previous studies’ to ‘values from previous studies’ Page 401, line 13; Change ‘This can be explained’ to ‘This is explained’ Page 402, line 3; Change ‘In contrast, we propose now to restrict’ to ‘In contrast, we propose to restrict’ Page 402, line 3; Remove the word ‘now’ Page 402, line 12-13; Consider changing ‘Our approach, thus, will result in larger Bsite coefficient, compared to the previous approach’ to ‘Our approach, results in a larger Bsite coefficient, compared to the previous approaches’ Page 403, line 6; Insert a closed bracket after ‘....humidity’ to read ‘....humidity)’ Page 403, line 21; After ‘A logarithm’, put ‘d’ in italic Page 403, line 23; Delete ‘anything’, and also change ‘act’ to ‘acts’ on line 24. Page 404, line 5; When the author uses ‘between’ I would use ‘and’ instead of ‘to’. Considering changing ‘vary between -5.9C to 2.9C’ to ‘vary between -5.9C and 2.9C’. Alternatively, change to ‘ranges from -5.9C to 2.9C’ Page 404, line 12; See above comment for ‘between -7.8+-0.2C to 4.8+-0.5C’ Page 405, line 1; Consider changing ‘The previously published DF DTsite (which corresponds to DTlow site) estimated MIS 9e’ to ‘Published DF DTsite (which corresponds to DTlow site) estimate MIS 9e’ Page 405, line 3; Consider changing ‘Our revised estimate lies above this previous value, as it produces a MIS 9e DTsite estimate of 4.8+-0.5C.’ to ‘Our
revised estimate of 4.8±0.5C is slightly warmer than previous MIS 9e DTsite estimates.’ Page 405, line 8; Consider changing ‘isotope temperature could be 6 to 10C higher than that of present day (Sime et al., 2009). This estimation is still significantly’ to ‘isotope temperatures are 6 to 10C warmer than present day (Sime et al., 2009). This estimate is significantly’ Page 405, line 13; Consider changing ‘We applied the same procedure as DF for Vostok and EDC data, and obtained ....in this study’ to ‘The methodology used to obtain DTsite and DTsource at DF, is also used on Vostok and EDC data.’ Page 405, line 16; Delete ‘again’ Page 405, line 19; Consider changing ‘which is lower than previous estimation’ to ‘which is cooler than previous estimates’ Page 405, line 20; Delete ‘about’ Page 405, line 22; Delete ‘a larger’. This is repeated in this line. Also, consider changing ‘means that’ to ‘results in’ Page 405, line 23; Delete ‘is’ Page 405, line 27; Consider changing ‘estimation’ to ‘estimates’. Likewise, consider the same change at page 406, line 3, and page 406, line 6. Page 406, line 5; Change ‘value’ to ‘values’ Page 406, line 14; Delete ‘ones’ Page 406, line 16; Consider changing ‘The gradient’ to ‘The temperature gradient’ Page 406, line 22-23; Consider changing ‘Here, we take advantage…. with SST estimates’ to ‘Here we use sea surface temperature (SST) estimates obtained from ocean sediment proxy records, and compare these to our reconstructed DTsource estimates.’ Page 407, line 7; Consider changing ‘Another sediment’ to ‘Another sediment core’

These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 407, line 11; Do you mean ‘Termination II’ not ‘Termination V’?
‘Termination V’ is correct.

Page 408, line 10; Delete ‘very’
Corrected

Page 409, line 4; VK? Do you mean ‘Vostok’
Yes, VK is Vostok. The abbreviation was removed.

Page 409, line 8; Consider changing ‘gradient should be conducted’ to ‘gradient are required’
Page 409, line 9; Consider changing ‘It will be important independent’ to ‘It will provide independent’ Page 409, line 15; Consider changing ‘with’ to ‘this with’ Page 409, line 17; Consider changing ‘we used and isotopic inversion based on the MCIM’ to ‘we used an isotopic inversion methodology based on the MCIM’ Page 409, line 20; Delete ‘may’ Page 409, line 21-24. Consider changing ‘The results suggests that the causes for the differences of Bsite between the previous...’ to ‘The results suggest that the differences of Bsite from previous studies are due to the isotopic model tuning for the present-day, and the dD ranges selected for
regression analyses. We have shown that Bsite strongly depends on the range of temperature and or isotopic depletion used. Page 409, line 27; Delete ‘very’ Page 410, line 2; Change ‘-5.9C to 2.9C’ to ‘-5.9C and 2.9C’ Page 410, line 16; Consider changing ‘could be very useful, combined with’ to ‘is useful when combined with’ Page 410, line 18; Delete ‘what are the correct or incorrect’

These points have been corrected according to the suggestions.

Page 422, Reference for EDC1 is incorrect. Should be Stenni, not Senni.

Corrected. This was a mistake during type-setting process.

We thank you again for your comments and suggestions.