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Abstract

Studying the climate of the last millennium gives the possibility to deal with a relatively well-documented climate essentially driven by natural forcings. We have performed two simulations with the IPSLCM4 climate model to evaluate the impact of Total Solar Irradiance (TSI), CO$_2$ and orbital forcing on secular temperature variability during the preindustrial part of the last millennium. The Northern Hemisphere (NH) temperature of the simulation reproduces the amplitude of the NH temperature reconstructions over the last millennium. Using a linear statistical decomposition we evaluated that TSI and CO$_2$ have similar contributions to secular temperature variability between 1425 and 1850 AD. They generate a temperature minimum comparable to the Little Ice Age shown by the temperature reconstructions. Solar forcing explains $\sim$80% of the NH temperature variability during the first part of the millennium (1000–1425 AD) including the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA). It is responsible for a warm period which occurs two centuries later than in the reconstructions. This mismatch implies that the secular variability during the MCA is not fully explained by the response of the model to the TSI reconstruction.

With a signal-noise ratio (SNR) estimate we found that the temperature signal of the forced simulation is significantly different from internal variability over area wider than $\sim$5.10$^6$ km$^2$, i.e. approximately the extent of Europe. Orbital forcing plays a significant role in latitudes higher than 65° N in summer and supports the conclusions of a recent study on an Arctic temperature reconstruction over past two millennia. The forced variability represents at least half of the temperature signal on only $\sim$30% of the surface of the globe. The study of the SNR and local impacts of the forcings suggests that individual temperature reconstructions taken from random location around the Globe are potentially weakly affected by a linear response to external forcings.
1 Introduction

The study of the last millennium climate has considerably increased during the last decades because it replaces the evolution of the global temperature for the last fifty years in a multi-centennial context, providing the necessary hindsight for a more comprehensive assessment of the recent climatic change. Studying this period gives the possibility to explore a relatively well-documented climate and weakly affected by anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHGs). Before the industrial era, natural forcings such as volcanic aerosols and solar variability have likely dominated the forced variability of the Earth climate (Rind, 2002; Bauer et al., 2003; Bertrand et al., 2002; Mann et al., 1998; Robock, 2000; Hegerl et al., 2007; Crowley, 2000; Gerber et al., 2003; Jones and Mann, 2004). Several temperature reconstructions covering different areas of the globe are now available, ranging from multidecadal to seasonal time scale. They were obtained from different proxy data such as tree rings (Briffa et al., 2004; Cook et al., 2002; Esper et al., 2002; D’Arrigo et al., 2006; Osborn et al., 2006), fossil pollen (Bjune et al., 2004; Larocque and Hall, 2004), ice cores (Jouzel et al., 2001; Vinther et al., 2008), lake sediments (Hu et al., 2001; McKay et al., 2008), boreholes (Gonzalez-Rouco et al., 2003a), speleothems (Tan et al., 2003; Mangini et al., 2005) and historical documents (Brazdil et al., 2005). This important amount of data has been used to perform empirical reconstructions of Northern Hemisphere (NH) surface temperature over the last millennium, relying on various methods to extract the hemispheric signal (Mann et al., 2008; Wahl and Ammann, 2007; Crowley and Lowery, 2000; Moberg et al., 2005; Hegerl et al., 2007). Those reconstructions carry however important uncertainties due to the calibration method, the type of proxy used and the reconstruction algorithm (Juckes et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2008). Due to these sources of uncertainties, robust conclusions regarding temperature variability for the past 1000 years have to be drawn by confronting as much reconstructions as possible. In the Southern Hemisphere proxy data are still too sparse to produce robust hemispherical temperature reconstructions (Juckes et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2008).
This increasingly documented period displays prominent climatic features for the last millennium such as the so-called Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA hereafter, Lamb, 1965) and the Little Ice Age (LIA hereafter, Matthes, 1939). Those two periods have emerged from historical documents relating exceptional conditions for agriculture for the former, or glaciers growing down in valleys for the latter. One main question concerning these identified periods is the extent to which they are global (or at least hemispherical) or local phenomenon (Bradley et al., 2003; Crowley and Lowery, 2000). It appears that those episodes described in Europe are not always present in all temperature reconstructions around the globe. The warmest and coldest periods of two time series can present asynchronous occurrence and differ in amplitude when comparing one region to another (Jones and Mann, 2004), although those differences can depend on the reconstruction methods (Esper and Frank, 2009). Nevertheless, at hemispherical scale the different reconstructions seem to converge toward a relatively warm period at the beginning of the last millennium, between 900 and 1300 AD, followed by a slow decrease in temperature reaching a minimum between 1600 and 1900 AD.

Climate models have been used to study the factors that could have been responsible for such climate evolution over the last millennium. Numerous modelling experiments with different levels of model complexity such as Energy Balance Models (EBM), Earth system Models of Intermediate Complexity (EMIC) and General Circulation Models (GCM) have been carried out to assess this variability (Jansen et al., 2007). Those studies show that solar variability and volcanic aerosols are the prominent drivers of the climate between 1000 and 1850 AD at hemispherical scale. Crowley (2000) evaluated the role of both forcings during the preindustrial era using an Energy Balance Model and showed that the low frequency variability over this period is mainly attributed to solar variability, whereas volcanic forcing is dominant on decadal to interdecadal time scales. Same conclusions were found by Bertrand et al. (2002) with the two-dimensional sector averaged global climate model of Louvain-la-Neuve and by Goosse and Renssen (2004) with the ECBilt-CLIO model. But when focusing on Europe with the same set of simulations, Goosse et al. (2006) highlighted that land use...
could be in a large amount responsible for the decrease in temperature between the MWP and the LIA.

Detection and attribution methods on the last millennium climate give more moderated conclusions. Volcanic eruptions are clearly discriminated by their cooling effect at a global scale, while the signature of solar variability on NH temperature reconstructions before 1850 AD is more subtle, and yields the same magnitude as the response to GHGs (Hegerl et al., 2003, 2007). Internal variability due to non-linear processes can be comparatively large especially at local scale, as shown by Goosse et al. (2006). Using a 10 000 years EMIC with constant forcings (Hunt, 2006) found that internal processes of the model could not produce events similar to the MCA or the LIA at the hemispherical scale.

The role of the sun on climate variability during the last millennium remains unclear because of uncertainties of Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) past variations. For the period preceding the beginning of satellites measurements (1979), the TSI is typically inferred from proxy data such as measurements of cosmogenic isotopes, mainly $^{14}$C in tree rings and $^{10}$Be in ice cores (Bard et al., 2000; Muscheler et al., 2004, 2007), or observations of the Group Sunspot Number (GSN) until 1610 (Lean et al., 1995; Krivova et al., 2007). The former is found in long archives such as ice cores or tree rings allowing to go further back in time than the GSN which displays however higher temporal resolution and is able to catch the 11-year Schwabe cycle associated to sun spots. The main TSI oscillations such as the Spörer (~1475 AD), Maunder (~1700 AD) and Dalton (~1800 AD) Minima are relatively well dated and constrained in proxy reconstructions, but their conversion into TSI values carries important uncertainties (Bard et al., 2000). The amplitude of TSI secular variability is thus still under debate (Frohlich, 2009; Foukal et al., 2006; Frohlich and Lean, 2004). A decrease of about 0.25% of TSI during the Maunder Minimum relative to present day value produces realistic amplitude of the Northern Hemisphere temperature variability in climate models (Ammann et al., 2007). However, recent progress in solar physics (Foukal et al., 2004; Solanki and Krivova, 2006) shows that multidecadal to secular TSI variability should mainly be
due to sun-spots and faculae, which implies amplitude of TSI variations between the Maunder Minimum and present day value (last thirty years mean) of around 0.1%.

In this paper we assess the impact of solar, CO$_2$ and orbital forcings on surface temperature in a state of the art coupled climate model during the preindustrial part of the last millennium to focus on the secular variability of the temperature, from the global scale to the grid point. We analyse the temperature response in two millennium-long climate simulations achieved with the coupled model developed at the Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace (IPSL): one with constant preindustrial boundary conditions, and one driven by a TSI reconstructions, GHGs concentrations and changes in orbital parameters between 1000 and 2000 AD. The contribution of each forcings on the simulated temperature variability is evaluated with a statistical decomposition of the temperature signal allowing a quantified estimation of the forced variability from the local to the global scale.

In Sect. 2, we describe the experimental design, the climate model and the forcings used. Section 3 presents the analysis of the Northern Hemisphere surface temperature in both simulations and a comparison with temperature reconstructions, fed by an evaluation of the contribution of each forcing to the temperature variability in the forced simulation. In Sect. 4 we use a signal-to-noise ratio to compare the forced model response with the model internal variability, from the global to the local scale. This work ends in Sect. 5 with the discussion and conclusion.

2 Experimental design

We used the fully coupled climate model developed at the Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace, IPSLCM4_v2 (Marti et al., 2006). This model is composed of the LMDz4 atmosphere GCM (Hourdin et al., 2006), the OPA8.2 ocean model (Madec et al., 1998), the LIM2 sea-ice model (Fichefet and Maqueda, 1997) and ORCHIDEE 1.9.1 for continental surfaces (Krinner et al., 2005). The coupling between the different parts is done by OASIS (Valcke et al., 2000). The resolution in the atmosphere is $96 \times 71 \times 19$, ...
i.e. 3.75° in longitude, 2.5° in latitude, and 19 vertical levels. The ocean and sea-ice are implemented on the ORCA2 grid (averaged horizontal resolution ∼2°, refined to 0.5° around the equator, 31 vertical levels). For both runs, the vegetation was set to a modern climatology from satellite observation (Myneni et al., 1997).

We ran a 110 years spin up with preindustrial GHGs concentrations and tropospheric aerosols, until the model reaches a stable state. The last year of the spin up is the initial state for both millennium-long simulations. The first one is a 1000-year control simulation (CTRL) with the same preindustrial boundary conditions as the spin up. The surface temperature of CTRL presents a weak drift during the first 100 years (−0.11 °C/100 yrs), and remains stable during the following 900 years (10⁻³ °C/100 yrs). The total heat content of the first 300 m of the ocean increases slowly during 600 yrs (∼0.9%), and then becomes stable, reflecting the longer time needed for the thermal adjustment of the ocean.

The second simulation (SGI, for Solar, Greenhouse gases and Insolation) was forced with reconstructions of Total Solar Irradiance variations, GHGs concentrations and changes in orbital parameters covering the last millennium, from 1000 to 2000 AD. We chose the TSI reconstruction provided by Crowley (2000) (Fig. 1a) which combines one record derived from cosmogenic nuclides flux estimates (Bard et al., 2000) and another one inferred from sunspots observations (Lean et al., 1995). The resulting amplitude of the TSI changes between the Maunder Minimum and present day values reaches 0.25% while capturing the decadal variability of sunspot cycles. We used the same estimates for well-mixed GHGs concentrations as those used in the fourth assessment report of the Fourth Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (Jansen et al., 2007) for simulations of the climate of the last millennium. Only CO₂ concentration varies significantly before 1850 AD (Frank et al., 2010; Scheffer et al., 2006). Tropospheric aerosols concentrations are kept constant before 1850 AD and vary only during the industrial period following reconstructions by Boucher and Pham (2002). The orbital parameters were calculated annually (Laskar et al., 2004) and produce a linear decrease of insolation at high latitudes in summer. The associated radiative forcing...
is null when averaged at annual and hemispherical scale, but is not negligible when considering high latitudes and seasonal means (Fig. 1). Finally, we estimated the very low frequency trend in the CTRL with a cubic spline function (Green and Silverman, 1994) and removed it from SGI.

3 Northern Hemisphere temperature

3.1 General behavior

The first step of this study is to evaluate the skill of the experimental setup at reproducing the major secular temperature evolution described by the temperature reconstructions. Figure 1c shows the Northern Hemisphere (NH) temperature of the simulations SGI and CTRL plotted over the reconstructions overlap provided by Osborn and Briffa for the IPCC AR4 (Jansen et al., 2007). This overlap represents the confidence interval associated to the ten NH temperature reconstructions available at this time. Also shown are the upper and lower boundary of a set of IPCC AR4 numerical simulations (Mann et al., 2005; Gonzalez-Rouco et al., 2003b, 2006; Jansen et al., 2007; Goosse et al., 2005) chosen here because they used similar solar and GHGs forcings as used in the present study. They also take into account a TSI damping to represent the direct radiative impact of the volcanoes. This allows critical assessment of our SGI simulation with both temperature reconstructions and numerical exercises available. The SGI simulation lies within the interval of the NH temperature reconstructions (Fig. 1c), and shows secular temperature variability very close to the IPCC simulations, apart from the pronounced cooling events due to the volcanoes, especially around 1200, 1450 and 1815 AD. The main secular patterns of the NH temperature of SGI are a two-century warm period around 1200 AD, followed by a temperature decrease leading to the coldest period around 1700 AD. Those warm and cold periods in SGI are identified as analogues of the MCA for the warm period and the LIA for the cold period.

For a quantitative evaluation of the NH temperature variability in SGI we compared...
our simulation with three recent Northern Hemisphere temperature reconstructions (Fig. 2), provided by Wahl and Ammann (2007) (WA07 hereafter), Moberg et al. (2005) (Mob05 hereafter) and the temperature reconstruction obtained with the Error In Variables reconstruction method, calibrated on land and ocean data by Mann et al. (2008) (M08 hereafter). The reconstructions show different amplitudes of temperature secular evolution, and reflect the important uncertainties linked to the proxy and the reconstruction method used. We can infer consistent information from those datasets only when a common pattern is identified. We smoothed both SGI NH temperature and temperature reconstructions time series with a 10-year running mean and sampled every 10 years to respect the number of degrees of freedom. We also computed an estimate of the lower frequency evolution with a cubic spline function (Green and Silverman, 1994) with seventeen degrees of freedom, corresponding to a ~50-year running mean.

The variance of the ten-year smoothed SGI time series between 1000 and 1850 AD is 0.037°C². It lies between the variance of Mob05 (0.05°C²) and M08 (0.024°C²) and supports the realistic amplitude of the variability in the SGI simulation. The correlation coefficients with Mob05 and M08 are 0.59 and 0.4 (p-value<10⁻⁵) respectively, and 0.18 with WA07 (p-value<0.09). The correlation coefficients between the reconstructions range from 0.67 to 0.8 (p-value<2.10⁻¹⁶). The SGI simulation shows less agreement with the temperature reconstructions than the reconstructions between them.

The temperature maximum occurs around 1200 AD in SGI, whereas it appears around 1000 AD in the reconstructions overlap. The amplitude of the temperature variability in WA07 is too weak to robustly identify a centennial warm period during the MCA. During the first two centuries of the millennium, SGI presents a trough with a minimum around 1075 AD. This behaviour is also observed in the IPCC simulations forced by similar TSI variability, even when representing the effect of volcanic aerosols. During the same period Mob05 and M08 show a maximum around 1000 AD and a continuous decrease of the temperature until 1200 AD. This evolution is supported by the reconstructions overlap with good confidence.

Between 1200 and 1700 AD, the temperature in SGI decreases as in M08 and
Mob05. The NH temperature of SGI shows common extremes with the reconstructions around 1400 and 1450 AD and with M08 and Mob05 around 1700 AD. The cold period identified as the LIA in SGI begins around 1400 AD as well as in the reconstructions, with a minimum around 1700 AD in SGI and M08. In Mob05 the temperature evolution shows a similar shape as SGI between 1400 and 1850 AD, but the minimum occurs around 1500 AD in Mob05. In WA07 the coldest period occurs after 1850 AD (not shown), two centuries later than in SGI, M08 and Mob05. The warming simulated by the model between 1750 and 1850 AD is stronger than in the reconstructions, potentially because of the missing impact of volcanoes. After 1400 AD, the NH temperature evolution in SGI is in better general agreement with the temperature reconstructions than before 1200 AD.

The industrial part of SGI warms strongly compared to the reconstructions and the IPCC simulations because of the missing volcanoes. We confirmed this hypothesis by running an additional simulation covering the 1850–2000 AD period (VHIST), starting from SGI in 1850 AD, with the volcanic forcing (not shown) used in the ENSEMBLE project (Royer et al., 2009). The resulting temperature evolution (Fig. 1c) is in better agreement with both reconstructions and IPCC simulations.

### 3.2 Forcing signature on NH temperature

For a critical assessment of the NH temperature variability in the preindustrial part of SGI we quantified the role of solar, CO₂ and orbital forcings on the NH temperature variability in the simulation. It implies evaluating the temperature variability due to internal processes and the part attributed to the forced variability. We hence used a statistical method based on a linear decomposition of the temperature anomalies \( dT \) as the sum of the first order contributions, or signatures, of external forcings \( E_i \) and an associated residual noise \( \varepsilon \):

\[
dT = \sum_i \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial E_i} \right) dE_i + \varepsilon.
\]
Following this decomposition we propose the following statistical linear model to describe the NH temperature anomalies in the simulation SGI (Fig. 3):

\[ T'(t) = C_1 \times \text{TSI}'(t) + C_2 \times [\text{CO}_2]'(t) + \varepsilon(t), \]

with \( t \) is the time index, \( T' \), \( \text{TSI}' \) and \( [\text{CO}_2]' \) the anomalies relative to the 1000–1850 period NH temperature, TSI and CO\(_2\) concentrations respectively, \( C_1 = \left( \partial T'/\partial \text{TSI}' \right) \) and \( C_2 = \left( \partial T'/\partial [\text{CO}_2]' \right) \) the first order sensitivity of the model to TSI and CO\(_2\), respectively, and \( \varepsilon(t) \) the residual noise. The first step is to test the null hypothesis that only one forcing with an associated residual noise can explain the NH temperature variability. We estimated \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \) with both univariate (\( C_{1\text{UV}} \) and \( C_{2\text{UV}} \)) and bivariate (\( C_{1\text{BV}} \) and \( C_{2\text{BV}} \)) linear regressions, following the nested regression models:

\[ T'(t) = C_{1\text{UV}} \times \text{TSI}'(t) + \varepsilon_1(t). \]  
\[ T'(t) = C_{2\text{UV}} \times [\text{CO}_2]'(t) + \varepsilon_2(t). \]  
\[ T'(t) = C_{1\text{BV}} \times \text{TSI}'(t) + C_{2\text{BV}} \times [\text{CO}_2]'(t) + \varepsilon_3(t). \]

We calculated the variance of the signatures associated to TSI (\( S_{1\text{(TSI)}} = C_{1\text{UV}} \times \text{TSI}'(t) \)), CO\(_2\) (\( S_{2\text{(CO}_2)} = C_{2\text{UV}} \times [\text{CO}_2]'(t) \)), the total signature (\( S_{\text{tot}} = S_{3\text{(TSI)}} + S_{3\text{(CO}_2)} \), with \( S_{3\text{(TSI)}} = C_{1\text{BV}} \times \text{TSI}'(t) \) and \( S_{3\text{(CO}_2)} = C_{2\text{BV}} \times [\text{CO}_2] \)) and their correlation coefficients with the temperature anomalies \( T' \) to evaluate which model performs the best in reproducing the NH temperature variability of SGI. We calculated the linear regression coefficients between the residuals associated with the univariate models shown by Eqs. (1) and (2) and the CO\(_2\) and TSI time series respectively to verify if a significant signal corresponding to a neglected forcing is detected. Finally we estimated the slope of the residuals \( \varepsilon_3 \) to detect a possible trend in temperature associated to changes in insolation due to orbital forcing. We applied those analyses over the whole 1000–1850 period, the first part of the millennium enclosing the MCA (between 1000 and 1425 AD) and the second part assumed as the LIA (1425–1850 AD). The results are reported in Table 1.
On the 1000–1850 period, the terms $S_{1}^{\text{TSI}}$ and $S_{2}^{\text{CO}_2}$ explain 59% and 36% of the total variance of $T'$. The correlation coefficient of $S_{1}^{\text{TSI}}$ with $T'$ is 0.76 while between $S_{2}^{\text{CO}_2}$ and $T'$ the value is 0.6. The residuals $\varepsilon_1$ and $\varepsilon_2$ are significantly (99.5% level) correlated to $[\text{CO}_2]'$ and TSI', respectively, and the corresponding regression coefficients lie between the confidence intervals of the coefficients $C_{1}^{\text{BV}}$ and $C_{2}^{\text{BV}}$. It shows that only one forcing is not enough to explain the total forced variability in the simulation. The null hypothesis held by Eqs. (1) and (2) is thus rejected when considering the full preindustrial period. The variance explained by the term $S_{\text{tot}}$ in Eq. (3) represent 78% of the total variance of $T'$, with a correlation $r=0.88$ (p-value $<2.10^{-16}$). The slope of the residuals $\varepsilon_3$ is $-0.08^\circ\text{C}/1000\text{yr}$ and is poorly significant (p-value $\sim0.01$), confirming that orbital forcing can be neglected at hemispherical scale in annual average. The first order sensitivity of the NH temperature in the model to TSI variability and CO$_2$ for the total preindustrial period estimated with bivariate linear regression is $C_{1}^{\text{BV}} = 0.10\text{ W m}^{-2}$ and $C_{2}^{\text{BV}} = 0.022^\circ\text{C ppm}^{-1}$, respectively. The separated signatures of the bivariate model $F_{3}^{\text{TSI}}$, $F_{3}^{\text{CO}_2}$ and the residuals $\varepsilon_3$ explain respectively 45, 20 and 22% of the total variance. The covariance term due to both solar and CO$_2$ forcings ($2 \times \text{cov}(S_{3}^{\text{TSI}}, S_{3}^{\text{CO}_2})$) is equivalent to 13% of the total variance of $T'$. The $\varepsilon_3$ residuals and the NH temperature anomalies of the CTRL simulation have similar variance and covariance. The bivariate model (3) is in very good visual agreement with SGI (Fig. 3), which gives good confidence on the robustness of the decomposition with the statistical model held by Eq. (3). We infer that on the whole 1000–1850 AD period the response of the model to the forcings dominates the NH temperature signal in the SGI simulation, and that the TSI variability has a stronger influence than the variations in CO$_2$ concentration. This model also estimates that 13% of the total variance of $T'$ is due to the joint contribution of TSI and CO$_2$.

We reproduced the analysis on the first part of the millennium covering the MCA. The estimates of $C_{1}^{\text{BV}}$ and $C_{2}^{\text{BV}}$ on the 1000–1425 AD time interval give a significantly
greater value for $C_{1}^{BV}$ ($0.13 \, ^\circ C \, W m^{-2}$), and $C_{2}^{BV}$ is not significant ($0.005 \, ^\circ C \, ppm^{-1}$, p-value $> 50$). The correlation between TSI$'$ and $T'$ is 0.86, and 0.6 between [CO$_2$]$'$ and $T'$. The variance of the signature $S_1^{(TSI)}$ corresponds to 79% of total variance, versus 88% for the total signature $S_{tot}$. The variance of the signature of CO$_2$ is weak in front of the variance of the signature of TSI, indicating that the null hypothesis represented by Eq. (1) is verified. This analysis clearly shows that solar forcing dominates the NH temperature variability in the first part of the simulation.

During the second part of the millennium (LIA), the variance of the signatures of the univariate models $S_1^{(TSI)}$ and $S_2^{(CO_2)}$ are equivalent to 82 and 79% of the total variance of $T'$, respectively. Both $S_1^{(TSI)}$ and $S_2^{(CO_2)}$ are less correlated to $T'$ than on the whole preindustrial period (0.52 for TSI and 0.49 for CO$_2$) because they vary in opposite direction between 1450 and 1700 AD and are significantly detected during the LIA. The solar forcing signal is detected with a significant $C_{UV}^1$ coefficient in $\varepsilon_2$ as well as the CO$_2$ signal in $\varepsilon_1$. The null hypothesis of either model (1) or model (2) is rejected. The estimates of $C_{1}^{BV}$ and $C_{2}^{BV}$ give $S_3^{(TSI)}$, $S_3^{(CO_2)}$ and $S_{tot}$ terms that correspond to 39, 36 and 61% of total variance, with a negative covariance term equivalent to 13% of $T'$ total variance. The correlation between the total fingerprint $S_{tot}$ and $T'$ is 0.78. This confirms that solar and CO$_2$ together explain the NH temperature variability in SGI during the LIA better than one forcing at a time. The variance of the residuals $\varepsilon_3$ corresponds to 38% of the total variance of $T'$. The decomposition of $T'$ with the bivariate model (Eq. 3) between 1425 and 1850 AD attributes a lower part of the temperature variability to the external forcings than on the whole preindustrial period or the first part of the millennium.

To complete this analysis, we have computed the bivariate linear regression between $T'$ and both forcings taking into account a lag to catch a possible memory of the climate system. The coefficients obtained (not shown) show maximum values when the forcings precede the temperature by one to three decades, highlighting a memory effect likely attributable to the heat capacity of the oceans. However, the maximum coeffi-
cient lie in the confidence interval of the first estimate of $C_{1}^{BV}$ and $C_{2}^{BV}$. Taking into account a memory parameter in the statistical model held by Eq. (3) would not change dramatically the part of the total variance attributable to solar or GHG forcing.

The NH temperature in the SGI simulation shows a variance close to NH temperature reconstructions and IPCC simulations achieved with comparable secular forcings. The response of the model to the forcings on the whole preindustrial period is mainly linear. The LIA is driven at first order by both TSI and CO$_2$ and the minimum around 1700 AD is similar to the minimum identified in reconstructions. The evolution of the temperature during the first part of the millennium, at $\sim$80% linearly driven by solar forcing in SGI, appears to be different from the reconstructions, with the warmer period occurring two centuries later than in most of the records.

4 Multiscale signature of the forced variability

We have seen in the previous section that the Northern Hemisphere temperature in the SGI simulation is driven at 78% by the model response to the forcings, mostly represented by TSI variability. We now check whether this can be transposed to small geographical scales. The relative importance of forced and internal variability in a temperature signal depends on the radiative perturbation imposed as well as the influence of internal processes on the considered area. Ocean-atmosphere dynamics such as the El Niño Southern Oscillation (ENSO) in the Pacific Ocean or the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) over the North Atlantic sector are sources of important temperature variations that can be detected at the hemispherical or continental scale, while local processes involving meteorological phenomenon mix the signature of global forcings with a noise inherent to the climate system. All those internal processes are more or less independent, and their influence on the signal tends to vanish when averaging the temperature over large extents. In this section we assess the response of the IPSL-CM4 climate model to solar, GHG and orbital forcings at spatial scales ranging from the globe to the individual cells of the model grid.
The next analysis estimates the characteristic spatial scale under which the temperature variance of our forced simulation SGI is comparable to the corresponding variance of the CTRL run, i.e. the model internal variability. We propose an estimate of the ratio between the variance of the temperature in SGI and in the CTRL as a function of the spatial scale considered, based on the additivity of the variance. We split the surface of the globe in \( N \) areas, and compute the temperature time series averaged over those \( N \) areas for both the SGI and the CTRL simulations. We then estimate a signal-noise ratio (SNR hereafter) defined as the ratio between the sum of the variances of the \( N \) temperature time series in the SGI simulation and the sum of the variances of the corresponding time series in the CTRL, weighted by the root mean square of their associated areas, for the \( s \) step needed to cut the globe in individual grid point with the cutting algorithm:

\[
\text{SNR}(s) = \frac{\sum_{i}^{N(s)} \text{var}(T_{\text{SGI},i}) \times \sqrt{a_i}}{\sum_{i}^{N(s)} \text{var}(T_{\text{CTRL},i}) \times \sqrt{a_i}},
\]

where \( a_i \) is the surface of the \( i \)-th area on which the temperature time series of SGI \( T_{\text{SGI},i} \) is averaged, and \( \text{var}(T_{\text{SGI},i}) \) the associated variance. The variance of the numerator is considered as significantly different from the variance of the denominator when the SNR is greater than the 99th quantile of the Fisher distribution with a number of degrees of freedom equivalents to the number of degrees of freedom (Von Storch and Zwiers, 2001) of the time series. The cutting algorithm is illustrated in Fig. 4. The first estimate of the SNR is done with the global mean \((N_{(s=1)}=1)\). We then split the Earth by the equator for the second estimate \((N_{(s=2)}=2)\). The hemispheres are then separated in two parts for the third step \((N_{(s=3)}=4)\). The following steps consist in splitting every area of the previous step by the middle of its sides to obtain the next four zones \((N_{(s=i)}=4 \times N_{(s=i-1)})\), until reaching the grid point. The spatial scale of a given step is calculated by computing the average of the extents of all the sectors. We estimate the SNR with time series smoothed with eleven-year, thirty one-year and fifty one-year running mean to test the sensitivity of the ratio to the frequency of the variability con-
sidered. The results are shown in Fig. 5. The SNR is maximum at global scale and minimum at the grid point scale. It is high at the hemispherical scale as inferred from previous section. It shows a continuous decrease and reaches the significance threshold between 3 and $7 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$, for all the smoothing applied on the time series. The slopes of the SNR curves are too low around the significance level to determine the dependence between the characteristic spatial scale and the frequency of the variability considered. For a given spatial scale, the SNR is higher with the lower frequency time series because of the frequency of the forcings imposed. The characteristic spatial scale of detectability of the forced signal is $\sim 5 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$, between the extent of Australia and Europe.

This first approach invites us to go deeper in the details of the sensitivity of the model in SGI around the Globe. The next step is to assess the local sensitivity of the model to solar, GHGs and orbital forcings. For every model grid point, we apply the statistical decomposition (3) used to describe the NH temperature in the previous section, and add a term corresponding to the influence of orbital forcing:

$$T'(t) = C_1 \times \text{TSI}'(t) + C_2 \times [\text{CO}_2]'(t) + C_3 \times t + \varepsilon_4,$$

(5)

with $C_3$ the slope of the $\varepsilon_3$ residuals, attributed to the linear changes in insolation, and $\varepsilon_4$ the residual noise of Eq. (5). The decomposition is done on annual, winter and summer mean on series smoothed with an eleven-year running mean, sampled every ten years, so that the analysis focus on decadal and longer variability. The regression coefficients (Fig. 6) measure the first order sensitivity of the temperature to the forcings ($C_1$ is in $^\circ C \text{ W m}^{-2}$ and $C_2$ is in $^\circ C \text{ ppm}^{-1}$). Additionally we evaluated the percentage of the total variance of temperature at every grid point associated to the signatures of the forcings in Eq. (4) (Fig. 7), as done in Sect. 3 for the NH temperature. The white areas in Fig. 6 represent the grid points where the regression coefficients are not significant and the white areas in Fig. 7 show where the variance of the signatures explain less than 5% of the total variance at the grid point. This means that the temperature variability is dominated by internal processes or that the temperature variance is mainly explained by another forcing.
The sensitivity patterns (Fig. 6) associated with TSI and CO$_2$ show the highest values in the Polar Regions. This is likely due to the albedo feedback associated with sea-ice, especially in the Northern Hemisphere. The sensitivity to TSI ($C_1$) is important in the tropics ($\sim$0.2°C W m$^{-2}$) on annual, summer and winter averages. In the Southern Hemisphere the ocean-atmosphere-sea-ice dynamics around Antarctica generate important decadal to multi-decadal variability and the significant coefficients are restricted to small areas. The variations in CO$_2$ concentration have a stronger impact around Antarctica, particularly over the regions covered by sea ice. The slope $C_3$ shows the higher values in the Northern Hemisphere during summer and the highest values in the Arctic. On annual and winter mean the $C_3$ values do not show any latitudinal coherence, denoting that those trends are probably not linked to orbital forcing. The forced signals are poorly significant during winter in the NH likely because of the impact of atmospheric circulation on temperature variability.

The temperature variance in SGI (Fig. 7) is the strongest in the high latitudes as well as the sensitivity to TSI, CO$_2$ and orbital forcing. The pattern shown by the variance explained by the signatures of solar, CO$_2$ and orbital forcings in SGI can be very different from the sensitivity pattern.

The equatorial band is particularly affected by solar forcing because solar irradiance is high around the equator. The signature of TSI explain more than 50% of the temperature variance in the Western Pacific Ocean (Warm Pool), the Indian Ocean and the Equatorial Atlantic Ocean. It has lower impact in the Arctic despite higher sensitivity values. It explains more than 10% of the temperature variance over most of the continents on annual average, in North and South America, Africa, and Southern Asia. It is weakly significant over Europe on annual average and winter, and the variance of its signature can reach 25% of temperature variance in summer.

The signature pattern of CO$_2$ follows the $C_2$ sensitivity pattern. It reaches $\sim$25% of the temperature variance in the Polar Regions and Europe on annual averages and $\sim$25% of the temperature variance over Eastern Asia on summer averages. It shows a weak signature in the tropical area ($\sim$10% of the temperature variance).
The higher values of the variance of the signature of orbital forcing follow the strong values of the $C_3$ coefficient, and occur during summer in North Africa and in the Arctic region. This behaviour reflects the latitudes where the orbital forcing has the biggest amplitude since the changes in the orbital parameters modulate essentially the solar input in the Polar regions during their respective summer.

We have compared the temperature of the SGI simulation to the summer temperature reconstruction over Arctic (>65° N) of Kaufman et al. (2009) (K09 hereafter). They found that their temperature record was following a linear decrease that they attributed to changes in insolation due to the precession of the equinoxes during the last two millennia. We calculated the annual and summer temperature above 65° N in SGI (Y65 and S65), and applied the same smoothing as in K09. We also removed the linear contributions of TSI and CO$_2$ from the two time series calculated in SGI to focus on the signal due to orbital forcing $C_3 \times t$ ($Y_{65} \epsilon_3$ and $S_{65} \epsilon_3$). Finally we estimated the slope of each time series, including the temperature reconstruction of K09 between 1005 and 1845 AD. The time series are represented in Fig. 8. The slope estimated in K09 ($-0.65\pm0.1 °C/1000 yr$) lies between the slope of Y65 ($-0.5\pm0.12 °C/1000 yr$) and S65 ($-0.85\pm0.12 °C/1000 yr$) time series. With the time series $Y_{65} \epsilon_3$ and $S_{65} \epsilon_3$, we found no significant slope for the former ($-0.2\pm0.1 °C/1000 yr$), and $-0.52\pm0.9 °C/1000 yr$ for the latter. This last result is close to the slope found with K09 and underlines the important impact of orbital forcing at high latitudes in summer during the last millennium. It is however negligible on annual and winter average in SGI as seen in Fig. 7.

All the forcings together explain up to ~70% of the temperature variance on annual and summer averages over the equatorial area. Half of the temperature signal in the Arctic is explained by the forced variability. The total forced signal is found almost everywhere over land and explains in majority between 20 and 50% of temperature variance.

We synthesize the global information of Fig. 7 by computing the percentage of Earth’s surface where the signature of the forcings (Eq. 4) represents more than a given percentage of temperature variance (Fig. 9). On annual average, there is only
∼30% of the surface of the Earth where at least 50% of the temperature variance is explained at first order by all the forcings used in SGI. Solar forcing represents the biggest part of the forced signal on the widest extent compared to the other forcings, on annual, summer and winter average. On summer averages, orbital forcing explains more than 10% of temperature variance over 10% of the surface of the Earth. The signature of CO₂ represents more than 5% of the total variance of temperature on only 20% of the surface of the Earth, on both annual and summer averages. We detect the total forced signal (>10% of the total variance) on annual and summer average on ∼70% of the globe. On annual average, ∼10% of the surface of the Earth is largely dominated by the total forced signal (>80% of the total temperature variance), in a similar proportion than the NH temperature of SGI.

We found that the characteristic spatial scale to detect a forced temperature signal significantly different from internal variability is ∼5.10⁶ km². The sensitivity to TSI and CO₂ is the highest in the polar regions, especially in the Arctic. The solar forcing explains ∼50% of the temperature variance in the tropics. Its signature is dominant compared to CO₂ in both spatial extent and amplitude. Orbital forcing has a significant signature in the Arctic summer temperature and supports the conclusion of K09. Half of the temperature variance is explained at first order by forced variability on ∼30% of the Earth’s surface.

5 Discussion and conclusion

We have performed two millennium-long simulations with the IPSLCM4 climate model to evaluate the impact of Total Solar Irradiance variability, CO₂ and orbital forcing on temperature during the last millennium. The SGI (Solar, Greenhouse gas and Insolation) simulation reproduces well the temperature evolution during the last millennium, especially around the LIA. The amplitude of the temperature secular variability is in agreement with both temperature reconstructions and IPCC AR4 simulations taking into account the impact of the volcanoes.
We applied a linear statistical decomposition of the NH temperature before 1850 AD to evaluate the contribution at first order of the three forcings used on temperature variability at hemispherical scale. This decomposition demonstrated good skill at reproducing the NH temperature variability of the SGI simulation. We found that 80% of the NH temperature variance is attributed to the forced variability, dominated by solar forcing. The first part of the millennium showing the MCA in the simulation is driven only by TSI variability. On the second part of the simulation (after 1425 AD), CO$_2$ and TSI explained equivalent proportion of NH temperature variance. This result shows a similar implication of CO$_2$ on temperature variations during the LIA as found by Hegerl et al. (2007).

We evaluated the significance of a variance ratio between the SGI simulation and the CTRL simulation with an estimate of a signal-noise ratio dependant on the spatial scale considered. We found that the temperature signal of the forced simulation SGI is significantly (99% level) different from internal variability for area wider than $\sim 5 \times 10^6$ km$^2$, i.e. an extent equivalent to Europe. This characteristic spatial scale is suitable for the TSI, CO$_2$ and orbital forcings used in SGI and for this spatial resolution of IPSLCM4. The solar forcing had the most extended spatial signature on local temperature, and explained the most important part of temperature variance. Polar Regions are the most sensitive areas to the three forcings. The temperature variance in the equatorial band is the most affected zone by forced variability, essentially because of solar forcing. Orbital forcing is detected over 65° N in summer, which support the conclusions of Kaufman et al. (2009). We found that the signature of the total forced variability was greater than 10% almost everywhere over land, but the total forced variability represent at least half of the temperature signal on only $\sim 30\%$ of the surface of the globe.

We found an important difference between the reconstructions and SGI between 1000 and 1200 AD, which also appears in the IPCC simulations. This difference is potentially due to uncertainties on the initial state of the ocean and uncertainties in the reconstructions. Nevertheless, we can have a critical view on the experimental setup. The mismatch implies that the secular variability of the NH temperature reconstructions
during the MCA is not fully explained by the linear response of the model to the TSI reconstruction. The use of a TSI reconstruction presenting lower amplitude will decrease the difference between reconstructions and simulations during this period, but will also weaken the temperature decrease between the MCA and the LIA. Lower amplitude of the secular temperature variability would be in better agreement with NH temperature reconstructions as WA07. Finally, there is a need of ensemble simulations covering the MCA to evaluate the impact of initial conditions on the medieval warm episode around 1000 AD.

The study of the SNR and local signatures of the forcings suggests that individual temperature reconstructions taken from random location around the globe are weakly affected by the linear response of the temperature to the forcings. It is necessary to reconstruct temperature during the last millennium over sufficiently large spatial extent to capture a temperature signal significantly affected by the forcings. The proxies used to reconstruct the temperature like tree rings, pollens or lake sediments are sensitive to the summer season when the temperature signal is the most affected by the forcings in SGI. This study highlights areas where the temperature variance is dominated by the forced signal. It will be extended to other climatic simulations on the last millennium taking into account a reconstruction of the optical depth of volcanic aerosols (Gao et al., 2009) with IPSLCM4 and a simulation performed with another coupled GCM (Swingedouw et al., 2010). A next step will consist of using a coupled GCM with a finer vertical resolution in the stratosphere and interactive ozone chemistry (Shindell et al., 2001; Meehl et al., 2009) allowing a dynamical response of the model to solar forcing.

Our study shows that the role of solar variability on secular temperature evolution during the last millennium is still not fully understood, especially during the MCA. There is a need for more complete spatial coverage of proxy records, focused on strategic locations where the signal of external forcing should be important compared to internal variability.
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Table 1. Sensitivity coefficients to solar ($C_1$) and CO$_2$ ($C_2$) estimated on the Northern Hemisphere temperature in SGI, on the whole preindustrial period, the MCA and the LIA. The indices $^U$V and $^B$V denote the methods to estimate the coefficients, i.e. univariate and bivariate regressions, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1000–1850</th>
<th>MCA</th>
<th>LIA</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_1^{UV}$ (°C/W m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>0.117±0.011</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
<td>0.07±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2^{UV}$ (°C/ppm)</td>
<td>0.029±0.004</td>
<td>0.04±0.01</td>
<td>0.016±0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_1^{BV}$ (°C/W m$^{-2}$)</td>
<td>0.10±0.009</td>
<td>0.13±0.02</td>
<td>0.08±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_2^{BV}$ (°C/ppm)</td>
<td>0.022±0.003</td>
<td>0.005±0.008</td>
<td>0.019±0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1.
Fig. 1. (a) Radiative forcing on the Northern Hemisphere of the Total Solar Irradiance (TSI) reconstruction from Crowley (2000) (dark red line), CO$_2$ (blue line) and orbital forcing (orange line). The total anthropogenic forcing (grey line) is the radiative forcing of all the well-mixed greenhouse gases estimated by Dufresne et al. (2005) and the radiative forcing of the tropospheric aerosols reconstructed by Boucher and Pham (2002), minus the radiative forcing of the CO$_2$ (blue line). On the left are represented the axis giving the values of TSI at the top of the atmosphere and CO$_2$ concentrations implemented in the model. The anomalies are calculated relative to the 1500–1899 AD reference period. (b) Radiative forcing of the downward short wave flux at the top of the atmosphere in the model at 65° N (dark red line) modulated by both TSI variations and orbital parameters (Laskar et al., 2004) and changes in insolation alone (orange line). The values are represented on anomalies relative to the 1000–2000 AD period. (c) Northern Hemisphere temperature anomalies relative to the 1500–1899 AD reference period. The thick red line represents the SGI simulation forced by TSI, GHGs, anthropogenic aerosols and orbital forcing described in panel (a), and the dashed red line shows the complementary run VHIST on the industrial period with the volcanic forcing (not shown) used in the ENSEMBLE project (Royer et al., 2009). The shaded area corresponds to the reconstructions overlap performed by Osborn and Briffa (Jansen et al., 2007). The thin black lines depict the upper and lower boundaries of a set of numerical simulations taken from the IPCC AR4, achieved with similar solar and GHGs forcing. The green line shows the NH temperature of the preindustrial control run (CTRL). All the series have been smoothed with a 10-yr running mean.
Fig. 2. Comparisons between the recent reconstructions of the Northern Hemisphere surface temperature with SGI (red lines), on the 1000–1850 AD period. The upper panel (a) shows the reconstruction provided by Mann et al. (2008) (blue lines), using both land and ocean data with the EIV method. On panel (b) the green lines correspond to the reconstruction of Moberg et al. (2005), and the lower panel (c) shows the reconstruction of Wahl and Ammann (2007) (blue grey lines). The thin lines are the 10 yr-running mean time series, sampled every ten years, and the thick lines are the cubic spline equivalent in number of degrees of freedom to a 50 yr-running mean. The anomalies are calculated relative to the 1000–1850 period.
Fig. 3. (a) Surface temperature anomalies relative to 1000–1850 AD reference period averaged over the Northern Hemisphere in SGI, on annual mean (grey line). The thick black line is the ten-year running mean applied on the annual averages, sampled every ten years to keep the number of degrees of freedom. The same treatment is done on the CTRL simulation, drawn on grey blue. (b) Fingerprints of solar (red lines) and CO₂ (blue lines) forcings, estimated with univariate (dashed lines) and bivariate linear regressions and anomalies of TSI and CO₂ concentrations relative to the 1000–1850 AD period. The fingerprint of both solar and CO₂ forcings together is represented by the dark red line. The black thin line shows the 10 yr-running mean of the NH temperature of SGI presented on panel (a). (c) Fingerprint of both solar and CO₂ forcings together (dark red line). The residuals corresponding to SGI minus total forced fingerprint are represented by the green line. The light shaded area shows the interval corresponding to ±1 standard deviation (SD) of the residuals, and the dark shaded area depicts the ±2SD of the residuals. The black line plotted over is the ten-year NH temperature of SGI presented on panel (a).
Fig. 4. Second to fifth step of the algorithm cutting the surface of the Earth in individual areas. $N$ is the number of areas delimited by the black straight lines, and $s$ the step in the algorithm. The surface temperature is averaged over all the individual areas to calculate the SNR.
Fig. 5. Signal-Noise Ratio (SNR) calculated as a function of the spatial scale with the ratio of variance between the temperature in SGI and the CTRL described in Sect. 4. On the upper x-axis is the extent of the surface associated with the SNR. The lower x-axis gives references of spatial extent. The black, blue and red lines represent the SNR calculated with temperature time series smoothed with a 51, 31 and 11 yr-running mean, respectively. The black, blue and red dashed lines represent the 99th quantile of the Fisher distribution with 17, 28 and 85 degrees of freedom (dof) equivalent to the number of dof of a 850-year long time series smoothed with 51, 31 and 11 yr-running mean, respectively.
Fig. 6. First order sensitivity of the temperature in SGI to TSI variability estimated by $C_{1}^{BV}$ expressed in °C/W m$^{-2}$ (a, b, c), to CO$_2$ by $C_{2}^{BV}$ expressed in °C/ppm (d, e, f), and slope of the $\varepsilon_3$ residuals corresponding to $C_3$ expressed in °C/1000 yr (g, h, i). Those coefficients are calculated for annual (a, d, g), summer (b, e, h) and winter (c, f, i) averages. The white areas represent the grid points where the coefficients are not significant at 99.5% (Student t-test).
Fig. 7.
Fig. 7. Percentage of temperature variance explained at first order by the terms in Eq. (4) corresponding to the fingerprints of solar (d, e, f), CO$_2$ (g, h, i), and orbital (j, k, l) forcings, and of all the forcings together (m, n, o). The white areas depict the grid points where the fingerprints explain less than 5% of the total variance of the temperature at the given grid points, or where the regression coefficients shown on Fig. 5 are not significant at 99.5%. The upper panels (a, b, c) show the temperature variance of the ten-year smoothed time series used in this study. All those diagnostics are done on annual (a, d, g, j, m), summer (b, e, h, k, n) and winter averages (c, f, i, l, o).
Fig. 8. Comparison between the Arctic summer temperature reconstruction provided by Kaufman et al. (2009) (grey and black lines) and SGI. The orange and red lines show the summer mean in SGI. The blue lines show the residuals calculated as the summer temperature time series in SGI, minus the signatures of TSI and CO$_2$ (equivalent to the $\varepsilon_3$ residuals in Eq. 3). The grey, orange and light blue thin lines represent the ten-year smoothed time series of Kaufman et al. (2009), SGI summer mean and SGI summer residuals respectively. The thick black, red and blue lines show the linear regressions associated with the summer temperature time series of Kaufman et al. (2009), SGI summer mean and SGI summer residuals, respectively.
Fig. 9. Percentage of Earth surface (on x-axis) where the variances of the signatures of the forcings used in SGI explain at least the percentage of temperature variance represented on y-axis. This diagnostic is represented for solar (red line), CO$_2$ (blue line) and orbital (orange line) forcing and for all the forcings together (black line). The horizontal dashed lines underline 25 and 50% of temperature variance.