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This paper focuses on the interrelationships between climate, fire frequency, length of
seasonal dryness (climate), and vegetation. The authors use an interesting combina-
tion of proxies to shed new light on this issue with much relevance for understanding
deep-time ecological processes and generating new arguments for nature conserva-
tion. By using several records with high temporal resolution millennial-scale variability
has been addressed. This paper is well written, has a good structure, a sharp line of
reasoning, and shows at the end very relevant conclusions. It is not easy to distinguish
natural phenomena from anthropogenic impact but using a combination of proxies the
authors reached convincing conclusions. Therefore, this paper is of interest for a wide
international audience and certainly should be published in ‘Climate of the Past’.

I have pencilled many corrections and improvements in the text: I have uploaded a
scan of the annotated manuscript which is part of this review report. I assume the
authors will make the corrections as indicated. In the text below I focus on a selection
of issues that I will expalin in more detail:

- Title: The title is very long and is a burden for all that like to make reference to this
  paper. A shortened version with the same content could read as follows: “Effects of
  past climate variability on fire and vegetation in the cerrado savanna of the Huanchaca
  Mesetta, NE Bolivia”.

25: There is an essential difference between ‘prediction’ and ‘projection’. IPCC reports
make projections (if unclear, please ask for my class room slide).

31: Geological formations are written with caps (e.g. Lower, Middle, and Upper Mekkel-
horst Member) whereas intervals of time are written in lower case (e.g. early, middle,
and late Holocene). Corrections are needed all over the manuscript.

40: In Latin America is is very usual to use komma’s in ages below 10,000. However,
there is no need to do so. Please omit all komma’s in ages below 10,000 all over the
manuscript (saves much space)

119: ‘cal yr BP’ is a unit. As units are not abbreviations no points should be used. Thus
“B.P” and “B.P.” should be corrected into “BP” all over the manuscript (saves another time much space and improves readability)

257: On page 43 I have monitored where acronyms have been first introduced: corrections are needed in lines 257, 271, and 281. Figure 6 is not in sequence and should be re-numbered.

305: cc is not a unit of the metric system: cm3 should be used.

320: I am confused by reading this sentence. I guess you mean ‘charcoal influx’ which has the unit “particles cm-2 yr-1”.

393: a confusing situation here: because you write “Late Glacial” instead of “Lateglacial” (compare Pleniglacial), it seems that “Late” should be written here in lower case. However, “Late Glacial” is a geological interval of time and, therefore, correctly written with a cap. To be consequent with Pleniglacial, better to write “Lateglacial”. It should be kept in mind that each glacial of the Pleistocene has a ‘late glacial’ (not “Late Glacial”) interval, but not a “Lateglacial” as the latter is restricted to the interval of ca. 15,000-11,500 cal yr BP!

- The list of references shows many mistakes, and inconsequent use of issue numbers of journals. In the current electronic era, issue numbers are not relevant any more. A book reference always should mention: publisher, city, and country.

1028: Indeed Dutch names such as “T. van der Hammen” may cause problems. Always insert the author under “V”, not under “H”. According to the rules of Dutch language the correct spelling is “van der Hammen, T”. However, to serve the foreign reader I always write “Van der Hammen, T.” to make clear that the family name should not be split up. Thus, in line 1028 “. . . and Drecht, G. Van” should read as “. . . and Van Drecht, G”.

Table 1: give the last column a unit.

Fig. 2: along the X-axis ‘cal BP’ = ‘cal yr BP’ Delete all “cal yr BP” along the curve.
Fig. 3: Give the X-axis a unit.

Fig. 4 Show the unit above the column of ages, and delete the unit after each dated sample. Records c, d, and e are missing the unit in which values are expressed.

Fig. 5: Curve (a): D13C = δ13C

Fig. 6: figure caption and on top figure: charcoal accumulation = charcoal influx. at curves a) and c): Particle/cm2/yr = particles cm-2 yr -1 at curve e) Fire Episodes per 1000years-1 = Fire episodes 1000 yr-1 (mind that “per” is equivalent to “-1 ”) Fig. 7: same corrections needed as in previous figures Curve J showing insolation: W/M2 = W m-2

In summary, only a large number of corrections are needed. The paper is exiting and deserves publication.

Henry Hooghiemstra, Amsterdam, 18 Feb 2015.

Please also note the supplement to this comment:

Interactive comment on Clim. Past Discuss., 11, 135, 2015.