The effect of low ancient greenhouse climate temperature gradients on the ocean’s overturning circulation
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Abstract

We examine whether the reduced meridional temperature gradients of past greenhouse climates might have reduced oceanic overturning, leading to a more quiescent subsurface ocean. A substantial reduction of the pole to equator temperature difference is achieved in a coupled climate model via an altered radiative balance in the atmosphere. Contrary to expectations, we find that the meridional overturning circulation and deep ocean kinetic energy remain relatively unaffected. Reducing the wind strength also has remarkably little effect on the overturning. Instead, overturning strength depends on deep ocean density gradients, which remain relatively unaffected by the surface changes, despite an overall decrease in ocean density. Ocean poleward heat transport is significantly reduced only in the Northern Hemisphere, as now the circulation operates across a reduced temperature gradient, suggesting the overturning circulation dominates heat transport in greenhouse climates. These results indicate that climate models of the greenhouse climate during the Cretaceous and early Paleogene may yield a reasonable overturning circulation, despite failing to fully reproduce the extremely reduced temperature gradients of those time periods.

1 Introduction

The polar warmth of the greenhouse climates in the Earth’s past represent a fundamentally different climate state to that of today, with a strongly reduced temperature difference between the equator and the poles (e.g. Greenwood and Wing, 1995). Rose and Ferreira (2013) show that oceanic poleward heat transport reduces the meridional temperature gradient by causing an enhanced greenhouse effect in extratropical latitudes. The ocean’s meridional overturning circulation (MOC) redistributes heat across the global, constituting a prime driver for oceanic poleward heat transport. The goal of this study is to examine the MOC in a past greenhouse climate characterised by
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Greenhouse conditions prevailed during the Cretaceous (146–66 Ma), with significantly warmer temperatures than present (Huber et al., 2001), especially at high latitudes (Barron, 1983). Littler et al. (2011) find that the earliest Cretaceous climate was stable and warm, with a significantly lower meridional temperature gradient than today. Global warmth increased during Cenomanian (94–101 Ma) to Turonian (90–94 Ma) times and reaching its maximum during the Late Turonian (Clarke and Jenkyns, 1999; Wilson et al., 2002) Oxygen isotope data point to high tropical temperatures of 33–34°C (Norris et al., 2002; Schouten et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2002) and southern subpolar Atlantic Ocean temperatures of 30–32°C (Bice et al., 2003), suggesting extreme polar warmth. Deep and bottom ocean temperatures exceeded 10°C (Brass et al., 1982) at this time, indicating high polar winter temperatures for this period as deepwater formation takes place during winter.

Greenhouse conditions extend beyond the Mesozoic, persisting over many millions of years and ending with the initiation of the Antarctic ice cap at the Eocene/Oligocene transition (EOT, 33 Ma) (e.g. Zachos et al., 2001a). The early Eocene (56–48 Ma) saw particularly reduced meridional temperature gradients (Barron, 1987; Wolfe, 1995; Greenwood and Wing, 1995). High latitude winter warmth is reflected also in Eocene deep ocean temperatures, thought to have been around 10°C higher than today (Miller et al., 1987; Lear et al., 2000; Zachos et al., 2001a). The low meridional temperature gradients of the past greenhouse climates pose a significant problem to our general understanding of how the climate works, as climate models fail to simulate past polar amplification of the greenhouse effect, and the responsible climate feedbacks remain unclear (Valdes, 2011).

The phenomenon of polar amplification of global climatic change is present in model projections of future climate (e.g. Holland and Bitz, 2003). One immediately obvious mechanism is the surface albedo feedback, where warming leads to snow and ice melt and thus greater solar energy absorption. However, GCM studies (Alexeev, 2003,
2005) also find feedbacks involving increased longwave forcing and latent heat transport (Langen and Alexeev, 2007; Caballero and Langen, 2005) important in amplifying polar climate change in the present-day. During the past greenhouse climates, feedbacks related to the cryosphere were absent, and these atmospheric factors must be even more important in causing polar warmth.

One promising recent line of research into explaining past polar and winter warmth comes from Abbot and Tziperman (2008), who propose that the Eocene high latitudes were kept warm by deep atmospheric convection. Unlike today, in the absence of the insulating sea-ice, more moisture and heat could enter the atmosphere. They proposed this destabilised the winter air column, initiating atmospheric convection and creating deep optically thick convective clouds, in sharp contrast to today’s stratified subpolar atmosphere. At high latitude, such cloud cover would have a net warming effect, creating a positive feedback on surface ocean temperature. This mechanism is most pronounced in winter, suggesting a clue to the mild winters of greenhouse climates.

Rose and Ferreira (2013) propose a mechanism where enhanced low latitude ocean heat transport can warm the mid- to high latitudes, without cooling the tropics (see also Enderton and Marshall, 2009; Herweijer et al., 2005). Here, extratropical ocean warming arising from enhanced heat transport triggers a convective adjustment of the troposphere. Enhanced greenhouse trapping associated with convective moistening of the upper troposphere in the midlatitude storm tracks leads to warming that extends to the poles by atmospheric processes. The enhanced ocean heat transport causing these effects could for instance arise from the altered geography at the time of the greenhouse climates (e.g. via a tropical circumpolar ocean Hotinski and Toggweiler, 2003).

The very low meridional temperature gradients of the past greenhouse climates pose a significant challenge to numerical climate models (Roberts et al., 2009; Huber and Caballero, 2003; Valdes, 2011; Sijp et al., 2014), particularly for the early Eocene. The modelling challenge here is that increased greenhouse gases may yield balmy simulated polar regions, but they also overheat the tropics. Huber and Caballero (2011),
finding extratropical cloud-related effects in their Eocene simulation, suggest a possible end to the low gradient problem, provided that very high CO₂ concentrations (4400 ppm) are prescribed. Lunt et al. (2012) find reasonable agreement between models and SST data for the Eocene at high CO₂ (2500–6500 ppm), with the important exception of the south-west Pacific and the Arctic. Abbot et al. (2009) show that the convective cloud feedback proposed by Abbot and Tziperman (2008) is active in an atmospheric GCM in modern configuration with an atmospheric CO₂ concentration of 2240 ppm and in a coupled GCM in Eocene configuration at 560 ppm.

In broad agreement with the work of Rose and Ferreira (2013) and Abbot and Tziperman (2008), recent advances in modelling the low-gradient greenhouse climates involve an enhanced extratropical radiative balance. Indeed, radiative feedbacks play an important role in amplifying the temperature effects of opening the Drake Passage in the model study of Yang et al. (2013). This indicates that, while theories involving such feedbacks may vary in detail, their broad predictions about the atmosphere’s radiative balance should be given serious consideration as a possible explanation of past greenhouse warmth. This motivates research on the effects of the extremely low temperature gradients ensuing from enhanced high latitude greenhouse warmth on the world ocean, which is the central focus of this paper.

Classical geostrophic scaling (Robinson and Stommel, 1959; Robinson, 1960) suggests a linear relationship between the meridional density gradient, and the ocean’s meridional overturning strength. Furthermore, a relationship of this kind is also maintained in subsequent friction-based scaling arguments (Gnanadesikan, 1999; Schewe and Levermann, 2010) and formulas (Sijp et al., 2011a), and has been found in ocean models (e.g. Rahmstorf, 1996). At higher temperatures, temperature is the dominant factor in setting density gradients. A reduced meridional temperature gradient could therefore be expected to yield a reduced density gradient, and therefore, based on the scaling arguments in the literature cited above, the more “sluggish” ocean overturning that is sometimes informally invoked for the past greenhouse climates. Here, by reduced overturning we mean an overall average reduction over longer timescales.
in a relatively steady climate and in the absence sharp perturbations on decadal to centennial timescales.

The possible effect of low temperature gradients on the ocean’s overturning strength is therefore important to study. Furthermore, modelling low-gradient greenhouse climates allows insight into how the overturning might behave in future climate scenarios. In this paper we simulate a Cretaceous climate under an enhanced extratropical greenhouse effect in an Earth System model. We choose a Cretaceous model geography as it represents a period deep within the greenhouse climate timeframe, yet similar to the Eocene geography in that the continents are recognizable and both characterized by closed or narrow Southern Ocean gateways. As such, our results are not confined to the Turonian, but serve to illustrate a wider timeframe of greenhouse climates. We find that the meridional overturning circulation remains surprisingly robust with respect to these changes, including a reduction of the oceanic wind stress.

2 Model and experimental design

We use a modified version of the intermediate complexity coupled model described in detail in Weaver et al. (2001), the so-called UVic model. This model allows a process-based approach, allowing simulations over thousands of model years. Furthermore, by controlling the atmospheric responses, it allows us to tease out the oceanic response to an altered atmospheric radiative balance associated with an enhanced extratropical greenhouse effect.

The model consists of an ocean general circulation model (GFDL MOM Version 2.2 Pacanowski, 1995) coupled to a simplified one-layer energy-moisture balance model for the atmosphere and a dynamic-thermodynamic sea-ice model based on that of Albert (1976). Air-sea heat and freshwater fluxes evolve freely in the model, while a non-interactive wind field is employed. The turbulent kinetic energy scheme of Blanke and Delecluse (1993) based on Gaspar et al. (1990) represents vertical mixing due to wind and vertical velocity shear, as well as buoyancy. More information about the techni-
cal modifications to the model can be found in Sijp et al. (2011b). The model is the Cretaceous UVic model used in Sijp et al. (2014), where geography represents a time slice around 90 Ma of the Cretaceous Turonian period (90–94 Ma), as shown in Fig. 1. Wind stress is taken from a GENESIS model simulation described in Floegel et al. (2005), with identical continental configuration and elevated CO$_2$. The present model differs from that in Sijp et al. (2014) in terms of the cloud albedo. This prescribed field is shown in Fig. 4a, and has been made symmetrical around the equator to remove the present-day bias that exists in the standard UVic model, and has been smoothed.

The standard simulation, named CNTRL, is similar to the Cretaceous UVic model described in Sijp et al. (2014). To examine the effect of a strongly reduced meridional temperature gradient arising from a polar enhancement of the greenhouse effect, we have run a modified version of the control case to equilibrium, LOWGRAD, where the outgoing longwave radiation has been scaled so as to yield an enhanced meridional profile, as shown in Fig 4b. This effectively models an enhanced greenhouse effect at high latitudes, where outgoing longwave radiation from the top of the atmosphere is reduced, thus appearing colder from space. Vice versa, the increased outgoing longwave radiation at low latitudes implies a reduced greenhouse effect there. This is to achieve a low meridional temperature gradient, to examine its effect on the global ocean. The third simulation, LOWGRADWIND, is identical to LOWGRAD, but with oceanic wind stress reduced by a factor half. Table 1 lists the three simulations. All simulations have been run in excess of 6000 model years to reach a stable climate equilibrium.

3 Results

Figure 1 shows boreal winter (Fig. 1a) and summer (Fig. 1b) sea surface temperatures in our modified simulation LOWGRAD. Arctic ocean temperatures remain above 12 °C in winter and above 16 °C in summer. Summer SST on the order of 20–24 °C are attained at the Antarctic margin, with a localised drop to 18 °C in the proto-Weddell Sea. Winter temperatures are generally around 16–18 °C, and lowest temperatures are at-
tained near the Antarctic margin in the proto-Weddell sea, just east of the southern tip of South America. Here, winter temperatures drop to close to 14 °C. In contrast, we will see that deep ocean temperatures are around 17 °C, and therefore deep sinking must take place elsewhere. Indeed, analysis of ocean convection shows that the deep sinking site is located at the Antarctic margin in the Pacific sector of the Southern Ocean (Fig. 2). The deep ocean temperature is consistent with the winter surface temperature of this region (Fig. 1b).

The MOC in the CNTRL simulation is very similar to that discussed in Sijp et al. (2014), with a strong deep global overturning cell originating at the Antarctic surface of 38.6 Sv (Sverdrup, one Sv is 10⁶ m³ s⁻¹) and weak shallow overturning in the Northern Hemisphere of 10.1 Sv, as shown in Figure 3a. This overturning remains remarkably similar in LOWGRAD (Fig. 3b), with a small increase in southern sinking strength of 43.1 Sv, and a reduction in the shallow northern cell, despite the significant reduction in meridional density gradient (Fig. 4f). Again, the southern sinking cell in LOWGRAD-WIND is stronger than in CNTRL, 41.2 Sv, although now the northern cell is eliminated (Fig. 3c). This suggests that the shallow northern overturning cell in CNTRL is driven by both the wind and meridional density gradients.

Figure 4d shows that the meridional SST gradient is significantly reduced in the model upon the enhancement of the greenhouse effect at high latitudes in LOWGRAD compared to the control case. Annually averaged SST of around 20 °C is now attained around Antarctica, and the coldest annually and zonally averaged Arctic temperatures are around 15 °C. Tropical SST remains around 32–33 °C, due to the prescribed increase in outgoing longwave radiation there, in accordance with our aim of achieving a lower temperature gradient and not overheating the tropics. The reduced wind stress simulation LOWGRADWIND yields a very similar temperature profile to LOWGRAD. In contrast to SST, Sea Surface Salinity (SSS) remains very similar for CNTRL and LOWGRAD, whereas reducing the winds in LOWGRADWIND yields overall fresher values, particularly in the Northern Hemisphere (Fig. 4e). As can be expected from the reduced SST gradient and the relatively unchanged SSS gradients, sea surface density...
is significantly reduced in LOWGRAD, and LOWGRADWIND, compared to CNTRL. With SSS gradients relatively unchanged, this is due to the reduced SST gradient in the LOWGRAD and LOWGRADWIND experiments.

The reduced meridional temperature gradient in LOWGRAD leads to a significant reduction in oceanic poleward heat transport (PHT, Fig. 4c) compared to CNTRL in both hemispheres. This is to be expected, as now the gyre circulation and the overturning circulation work across a lower temperature gradient. This is because the heat transport should be proportional to the gyre strength and the temperature difference between the cool and warm branches of these circulation patterns. Reduction in wind stress in LOWGRADWIND leads to a further significant reduction in oceanic poleward heat transport (compared to LOWGRAD) only in the Northern Hemisphere, where values now become very small compared to the Southern Hemisphere. This is because here the gyre strength is significantly reduced, further reducing heat transport. Furthermore, the collapse of the weak shallow Northern Hemisphere overturning cell that is driven by density gradients and winds (as discussed above, Fig. 3) also contributes to reduced heat transport. The Southern Hemisphere is significantly less sensitive to the effects of reducing the meridional temperature gradient and the wind stress because heat transport there is dominated by the large Southern Hemisphere sinking cell, and this cell is insensitive to the changes in wind and temperature. This suggests that poleward heat transport in past greenhouse climates was dominated by the ocean’s overturning circulation.

Figure 5a shows that the vertical ocean potential temperature gradient is significantly smaller in the model under the enhanced greenhouse effect at high latitudes in LOWGRAD compared to the control case CNTRL. This illustrates how the meridional surface temperature gradient maps into the deep ocean. Deep ocean temperatures are around 9 °C in CNTRL, whereas they are around 17 °C in LOWGRAD, corresponding to the very mild winter temperatures at the simulated deep sinking regions at the Pacific sector of the Antarctic coast. The warm deep ocean temperatures in the LOWGRAD
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The overturning circulation pattern can also be recognised in the global vertical profile of the meridional velocity south of 30° N, shown in Fig. 6a. The velocity profile is very similar for CNTRL and LOWGRAD, yet differs near the surface in LOWGRADWIND where the average velocity is somewhat more southward. Examination of the overturning streamfunction anomaly in Fig. 3c (colour background) indicates that this is related to the reduction in the shallow northern cell. Meridional velocities cancel around 2000 m depth in all three simulations. This depth lies in between an upper southward moving branch and a lower northward moving branch, in agreement with the meridional overturning streamfunction (Fig. 3). This depth range coincides with a local minimum in kinetic energy (Fig. 6b). Kinetic energy does not assume values close to zero at this minimum, indicating that the cancellation of meridional velocities in the horizontal average in part masks a horizontal gyre circulation.

4 Discussion and conclusion

Schewe and Levermann (2010) showed that the deep ocean meridional density gradients at the western boundaries determine the meridional flow in the deep western boundary current responsible for the main meridional volume transport of each ocean simulation are in good agreement with the early Turonian deep water temperature estimates of 19 °C of Huber et al. (2001).

Reducing the wind stress, as in LOWGRADWIND, does not lead to a significant change in temperature profile. The vertical salinity gradients are somewhat reduced in LOWGRAD compared to CNTRL (Fig. 5b), and more strongly reduced in LOWGRADWIND. This indicates that the overall fresher SSS values in LOWGRADWIND (Fig. 4e) arise from a general relocation of salt from the shallow ocean to the deep ocean there. The vertical density gradient is also reduced in LOWGRAD with respect to CNTRL (Fig. 5c), with a vertical density difference between the top and bottom layer of 4.4 kg m⁻³ in CNTRL, and 3.7 kg m⁻³ in LOWGRAD. This indicates an overall less stratified ocean when meridional temperature gradients are reduced.
The depth of the density gradient is taken inside the depth range of the deep branch of the meridional overturning cell. Sijp et al. (2011a) build on this finding, showing that the volume transport in the Atlantic meridional overturning cell that crosses the equator depends on the deep buoyancy distribution, and provide a theoretical underpinning based on a level of no motion between the upper and lower overturning branches. Namely, meridional flow in the deep western boundary current of the North Atlantic Deep Water outflow depends only on meridional density gradients below the intermediate depth interface between the North Atlantic Deep Water and the Antarctic Intermediate Water masses, and not above, due to vanishing horizontal pressure gradients near the interface.

The analogue to the quiescent zone inside the overturning cell in our Cretaceous model is the interface between the upper and lower branches of the large southern sinking cell, at around 2000 m depth. Although meridional density gradients (Fig. 6b) differ between CNTRL and LOWGRAD at the surface (see also Fig. 4f), they are remarkably similar below 500 m depth. According to Schewe and Levermann (2010) and Sijp et al. (2011a), it is the deep density structure that sets the strength of the deep overturning branch, and therefore the southern sinking cell. As a result, the overturning circulation (Fig. 3) and the oceanic meridional velocity (Fig. 6a) remain remarkably similar when the meridional SST gradient is strongly reduced due to an extratropical enhancement of the greenhouse effect. In contrast, the upper branch of the MOC is not primarily driven by the density gradients, as surface steric height gradients become important there. Therefore, we do not link changes in the upper branch of the MOC to changes in density gradients. Of course in the hypothetical case where the SST gradient is entirely absent and salinity effects are weak, overturning is expected to be absent. Nonetheless, the SST gradients appropriate for the past greenhouse climates are not sufficiently low to yield reduced overturning.

In today’s ocean, the sub thermocline ocean is filled with water sourced from three climatically distinct regions: namely, the North Atlantic Deep Water, the Antarctic Intermediate Water and the Antarctic Bottom Water formation regions. This yields three
corresponding vertically stacked water masses that can be found across the globe, providing the deep ocean density differences that drive flow there. Indeed, Sijp et al. (2011a) showed that it is the density difference between Antarctic Intermediate Water and the underlying North Atlantic Deep Water in the tropical Atlantic that drives the conveyor belt circulation. In sharp contrast, the deep ocean is filled from only one source in the Southern Ocean in our Cretaceous experiments. This is similar to the simulation of Sijp and England (2004) where the Drake Passage is closed in the present day geography, and a large Southern Hemisphere cell fills the deep ocean, leading to a sharp vertical density gradient in the thermocline, and a more homogeneous deep ocean. In our experiments here, we also find that the single confined surface origin of deep water leads to relatively homogeneous body of water masses below the thermocline. This is apparent from the vertical density profile (Fig. 5c), and the vertical profile of the buoyancy frequency \( N = \sqrt{-\frac{g}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}} \) shown in Fig. 6c. Only diapycnal diffusivity leads to small density gradients within this water mass, driving the ocean currents. As a result, the deep ocean density gradients remain remarkably similar across the experiments, as shown by the vertical profiles of the meridional density gradient (Fig. 6b) and the buoyancy frequency (Fig. 6c). This is because the deep water source region warms, and this leads to a uniform warming of the deep ocean as there are no other source regions of note, and density gradients remain relatively unchanged as they depend on isopycnal diffusivity.

Rose and Ferreira (2013) note the contradiction of weak meridional temperature gradients demanding increased poleward HT, while simultaneously implying weak poleward HT. They show this to be a mere paradox, as extratropical radiative balance responses to heat transport from low latitudes may warm the high latitudes without cooling the tropics. In our experiments, we do not examine the mechanisms behind the altered radiative balance, and do not distinguish between the mechanisms of Rose and Ferreira (2013) or Abbot and Tziperman (2008), or the warming-enhancing cloud mechanism at play in the simulations of Yang et al. (2013). Instead, we have prescribed an altered radiative balance, of a similar nature to that implied via these mechanisms,
by enhancing the extratropical greenhouse effect, and reducing it in the tropics. As a result, heat transport is reduced while the meridional temperature gradient is also smaller, illustrating the paradox of Rose and Ferreira (2013).

In summary, a substantial reduction of the pole to equator temperature difference leaves the meridional overturning circulation relatively unaffected in our Cretaceous model. Reducing the wind strength also has remarkably little effect on the overturning. This is because overturning strength depends on deep ocean density gradients, which remain relatively unaffected by the applied radiative changes, despite an overall decrease in ocean density. Ocean poleward heat transport is reduced, as now the circulation operates across a reduced temperature gradient. These results indicate that models of the greenhouse climate during the Cretaceous and early Paleogene may yield a reasonable overturning circulation, despite failing to fully reproduce the extremely reduced temperature gradients of those time periods.
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Table 1. Description of numerical equilibrium simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CNTRL</td>
<td>The control simulation.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOWGRAD</td>
<td>Enhanced polar greenhouse effect, as shown in Fig 4a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOWGRADWIND</td>
<td>As LOWGRAD, but with ocean wind stress reduced by half.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. Average Sea Surface Temperature (SST, °C) for the simulation with altered radiative balance, LOWGRAD, in (a) January, February, March, (b) June, July, August and (c) SST difference LOWGRAD – CNTRL. Model geography is that of the Turonian period (90–94 Ma) of the Cretaceous.
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Figure 2. Maximum convection depth in km in (a) CNTRL and (b) LOWGRAD.
Figure 3. Global meridional overturning circulation streamfunction for (a) the control case CNTRL, with color plot representing the streamfunction (b) the low temperature gradient case, LOWGRAD, with color plot representing LOWGRAD - CNTRL streamfunction difference and (c) the low temperature and weak wind case, LOWGRADWIND, with color plot representing LOWGRADWIND - CNTRL streamfunction difference. Values are given in Sv (1 Sv = 10^6 m^3 s^-1). The strength of the Southern Hemisphere overturning cell is given in brackets in the captions.
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Figure 4. Global zonal mean of (a) atmospheric albedo (fraction) (b) outgoing longwave radiation (W m\(^{-2}\)), (c) oceanic poleward heat transport (petawatts), (d) sea surface temperature (SST, °C), (e) sea surface salinity (SSS, kg m\(^{-3}\)) and (f) ocean potential density (kg m\(^{-3}\)) for the control case CNTRL (blue), the low temperature gradient case, LOWGRAD (green) and the low temperature and weak wind case, LOWGRADWIND (red).
Figure 5. Vertical profiles of global horizontal mean in ocean of (a) temperature (°C), (b) salinity (kg m\(^{-3}\)) and (c) ocean potential density (kg m\(^{-3}\)) for the control case CNTRL (blue), the low temperature gradient case, LOWGRAD (green) and the low temperature and weak wind case, LOWGRADWIND (red).
Figure 6. Vertical depth profiles of horizontal mean (south of 30° N) of (a) meridional velocity $u$ ($10^{-4}$ m s$^{-1}$), (b) latitudinal ($y$) derivative of density $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y}$ (kg m$^{-4}$) and (c) the buoyancy frequency $N = \sqrt{-\frac{g}{\rho_0} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial z}}$ (in units s$^{-1}$) for the control case CNTRL (blue), the low temperature gradient case, LOWGRAD (green) and the low temperature and weak wind case, LOWGRADWIND (red).