Intra-interglacial climate variability: Model simulations of Marine Isotope Stages 1, 5, 11, 13, and 15
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Using the Community Climate System Model version 3 (CCSM3) including a dynamic global vegetation model a set of 13 time slice experiments was carried out to study global climate variability between and within the Quaternary interglacials of Marine Isotope Stages (MIS) 1, 5, 11, 13, and 15. The selection of interglacial time slices was based on different aspects of inter- and intra-interglacial variability and associated astronomical forcing. The different effects of obliquity, precession and greenhouse gas (GHG) forcing on global surface temperature and precipitation fields are illuminated. In most regions seasonal surface temperature anomalies can largely be explained by local insolation anomalies induced by the astronomical forcing. Climate feedbacks, however, may modify the surface temperature response in specific regions, most pronounced in the monsoon domains and the polar oceans. GHG forcing may also play an important role for seasonal temperature anomalies, especially in high latitudes and early Brunhes interglacials (MIS 13 and 15) when GHG concentrations were much lower than during the later interglacials. High-versus-low obliquity climates are generally characterized by strong warming over the Northern Hemisphere extratropics and slight cooling in the tropics during boreal summer. During boreal winter, a moderate cooling over large portions of the Northern Hemisphere continents and a strong warming at high southern latitudes is found. Besides the well-known role of precession, a significant role of obliquity in forcing the West African monsoon is identified. Other regional monsoon systems are less sensitive or not sensitive at all to obliquity variations during interglacials.

Moreover, based on two specific time slices (394 and 615 kyr BP) it is explicitly shown that the West African and Indian monsoon systems do not always vary in concert, challenging the concept of a global monsoon system at astronomical timescales. High obliquity can also explain relatively warm Northern Hemisphere high-latitude summer temperatures despite maximum precession around 495 kyr BP (MIS 13). It is hypothesized that this obliquity-induced high-latitude warming may have prevented a glacial inception at that time.

1 Introduction

The Quaternary period is characterized by the cyclic growth and decay of continental ice sheets associated with global environmental changes (e.g., Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005; Tzedakis et al., 2006; Jouzel et al., 2007; Lang and Wolff, 2011). While it is commonly accepted that the transitions between glacial and interglacial stages are ultimately triggered by varying astronomical insolation forcing (Hays et al., 1976), climate research is just beginning to understand the internal climate feedbacks that are required to shift the Earth system from one state to the other (e.g., van Nes et al., 2015). The astronomical forcing, with its characteristic periods of ca. 400 and 100 kyr (eccentricity), 41 kyr (obliquity), and ca. 19 and 23 kyr (precession) as in Berger (1978), also acts as an external driver for long-term climate change within the interglacials (i.e. the long-term intra-interglacial climate variability) and likely contributes to interglacial diversity since the evolution of astronomical parameters differs between all Quaternary interglacial stages (cf. Tzedakis et al., 2009; Yin and Berger, 2015). Understanding both interglacial climate diversity and intra-interglacial variability helps to estimate the sensitivity of the Earth system to different forcings and to assess the rate and magnitude of current climate change relative to natural variability.

Numerous interglacial climate simulations have been performed in previous studies using Earth system models of intermediate complexity (e.g., Kubatzki et al., 2000; Crucifix and Loutre, 2002; Loutre and Berger, 2003; Yin and Berger, 2012, 2015). While the present and the last interglacial have also been extensively investigated with fully coupled atmosphere-ocean general circulation models (e.g., Braconnot et al., 2007; Lunt et al., 2013), earlier interglacial periods have received much less attention by climate modellers. Coupled general circulation model (CGCM) studies of ear-
Hier interglacial climates have recently been performed for Marine Isootope Stage (MIS) 11 (Milkereit et al., 2013; Kleinen et al., 2014) and MIS 13 (Muri et al., 2013). Using the CGCM CCSM3 (Community Climate System Model version 3), Herold et al. (2012) presented a set of interglacial climate simulations comprising the interglaciations of MIS 1, 5, 9, 11 and 19. Their study, however, focussed on peak interglacial forcing (i.e. Northern Hemisphere summer occurring at perihelion) and intercomparison of interglacials (i.e. interglacial diversity) only. In particular, they found that, compared to the other interglacials, MIS 11 exhibits the closest resemblance to the present interglacial, especially during boreal summer.

Here, we present a different and complementary CGCM (CCSM3) study which takes intra-interglacial climate variability into account by simulating two or more time slices for each interglacial stage of MIS 1, 5, 11, 13, and 15. For the interglacial of MIS 5 (Last Interglacial, MIS 5e; ca. 130, 115 kyr ago), proxy data suggest a peak global mean temperature of about 1°C higher than during the pre-industrial period (e.g., Otto-Bliesner et al., 2013; Dutton et al., 2015). The maximum global mean sea-level has been estimated to 6-9 m above the present-day level (Kopp et al., 2009; Dutton and Lambeck, 2012; Dutton et al., 2015). The interglacial of MIS 11 was unusually long, about 30,000 years (ca. 425-395 kyr ago). Global average temperatures of MIS 11 are highly uncertain, but a peak global mean temperature of up to 2°C relative to pre-industrial cannot be ruled out (Lang and Wolff, 2011; Dutton et al., 2015). Maximum global mean sea-level may have been 6-13 m higher than today (Raymo and Mitrovica, 2012; Dutton et al., 2015). Interglacials before MIS 11 (early Brunhes interglacials), like MIS 13 and 15, are generally characterized by lower global mean temperatures, larger continental ice-sheets, lower global sea level and lower atmospheric greenhouse gas (GHG) concentrations relative to the more recent interglacials (e.g., Yin and Berger, 2010; Lang and Wolff, 2011; Dutton et al., 2015).

The goal of this study is to disentangle the effects of obliquity, precession and GHG on global surface climate. Our selection of interglacial time slices takes into account different aspects of inter- and intra-interglacial variability and associated astronomical forcing. As such, our approach differs from and complements previous model studies that focussed on peak interglacial forcing and intercomparison of interglacials (Yin and Berger, 2012; Herold et al., 2012). The selection of the time slices is described in detail in Section 2.3.

In contrast to previously performed climate model experiments with idealized astronomical forcing, in which obliquity and precession have usually been set to extreme values (e.g., Tuenter et al., 2003; Mantsis et al., 2011, 2014; Erb et al., 2013; Bosmans et al., 2015), our analyzes are based on realistic astronomical configurations. We note that realistic and idealized forcing experiments are equally important and complementary. Idealized experiments provide important insight into the climate system’s response to astronomical forcing. However, since this response may be non-linear, using extreme values of astronomical parameters in idealized experiments may hide important aspects of astronomical forcing. Obviously, realistically forced experiments have a stronger potential for model-data comparison.

Special focus is on the sensitivity of the West African and Indian monsoon systems to obliquity and precession forcing. In particular, the applicability of the global monsoon concept (Trenberth et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2014) will be tested for astronomical timescales.

2 Experimental setup

2.1 Model description

We use the fully coupled climate model CCSM3 with the atmosphere, ocean, sea-ice and land-surface components interactively connected by a flux coupler (Collins et al., 2006). We apply the low-resolution version of the model (Yeager et al., 2006) which enables us to simulate a large set of time slices. In this version, the resolution of the atmosphere is given by T31 spectral truncation (3.75° transform grid) with 26 layers, while the ocean model has a nominal horizontal resolution of 3° (as has the sea-ice component) with 25 levels in the vertical. The land model shares the same horizontal grid with the atmosphere and includes components for biogeophysics, biogeochemistry, the hydrological cycle as well as a Dynamic Global Vegetation Model (DGVM) based on the Lund-Potsdam-Jena (LPJ)-DGVM (Sitch et al., 2003; Levis et al., 2004; Bonan and Levis, 2006). The DGVM predicts the distribution of 10 plant functional types (PFT) which are differentiated by physiological, morphological, phenological, bioclimatic, and fire-response attributes (Levis et al., 2004). In order to improve the simulation of land-surface hydrology and hence the vegetation cover, new parameterizations for canopy interception and soil evaporation were implemented into the land component (Oleson et al., 2008; Handiani et al., 2013; Rachmayani et al., 2015). PFT population densities are restored annually, while the land and atmosphere models are integrated with a 30 minutes time step.

2.2 Setup of experiments

To serve as a reference climatic state, a standard pre-industrial (PI) control simulation was carried out following PMIP (Paleoclimate Modelling Intercomparison Project) guidelines with respect to the forcing (e.g., Braconnot et al., 2007). The PI boundary conditions include astronomical parameters of 1950 AD, atmospheric trace gas concentrations from the 18th century (Table 1) as well as pre-industrial distributions of atmospheric ozone, sulfate aerosols, and carbonaceous aerosols (Otto-Bliesner et al., 2006). The solar
constant is set to 1365 Wm$^{-2}$. The PI control run was integrated for 1000 years starting from modern initial conditions, except for the vegetation which starts from bare soil.

In total, 13 interglacial time slice experiments were carried out, all branching off from year 600 of the PI spin-up run and running for 400 years each. Note that the present study only focusses on the surface climate, for which this spin-up time should be sufficient, whereas the deep ocean usually needs more time to adjust to changes in forcing (Renssen et al., 2006). Boundary conditions for the selected time slices which are spanning the last 615 kyr comprise astronomical parameters (Berger, 1978) and GHG concentrations as given in Table 1, spanning the last 615 kyr comprise astronomical parameters (Berger, 1978) and GHG concentrations as given in Table 1, but opposite extremes of obliquity (maximum at 416 kyrBP, minimum at 516 kyrBP, i.e. Northern Hemisphere summer occurs at aphelion causing weak insolation forcing (Yin et al., 2009). In addition, the 504 kyrBP time slice was picked because of peak Northern Hemisphere summer insolation forcing, while obliquity has an intermediate value (Fig. 1).

Finally, two time slice experiments were performed for MIS 15 to assess the climatic response to minimum (579 kyrBP) and maximum (609 kyrBP) precession. Accordingly, Northern Hemisphere summer insolation is near maximum and minimum at 579 and 609 kyrBP, respectively. In addition, a third MIS 15 experiment was carried out (615 kyrBP) with insolation forcing in between the two others (Fig. 1). Moreover, the 615 kyrBP time slice has a very special seasonal insolation pattern as we will see in the next section. All three MIS 15 time slices coincide with minimum $\delta^{18}$O values (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005).

Table 1 summarizes the GHG forcing of all experiments with values based on Lüthi et al. (2008), Loulergue et al. (2008), and Schilt et al. (2010) using the EPICA Dome C timescale EDC3, except for the MIS 1 and MIS 5 experiments, where GHG values were chosen following the PMIP guidelines (see above). We note that due to the uneven distribution of methane sources and sinks over the latitudes, values of atmospheric CH$_4$ concentration derived from Antarctic ice cores present a lower estimate of global CH$_4$ concentration. We further note that some results from the MIS 1 (6 and 9 kyrBP), MIS 5 (125 kyrBP), and MIS 11 (394, 405, and 416 kyrBP) experiments were previously published (Lunt et al., 2013; Milker et al., 2013; Kleinen et al., 2014; Rachmayani et al., 2015).

2.4 Insolation anomalies

Annual cycles of the latitudinal distribution of insolation at the top of the atmosphere (as anomalies relative to PI) are shown in Fig. 2 for each experiment. The insolation patterns can be divided into three groups which differ in their seasonal distribution of incoming energy. Group I is characterized by high Northern Hemisphere summer insolation as exhibited for the 6 and 9 kyrBP (MIS 1), 125 kyrBP (MIS 5), 405 and 416 kyrBP (MIS 11) experiments. In most (but not all, see below) cases this is due to an astronomical configuration with northern summer solstice at or close to perihelion. Group II comprises anomalies with low boreal summer insolation as shown for 115 kyrBP (MIS 5), 495 and 516 kyrBP (MIS 13), and 609 kyrBP (MIS 15). In these cases, northern winter solstice is near perihelion. Group III is characterized by changes in the sign of the Northern Hemisphere insolation anom-
lies from spring to summer and consists of two dates (394 and 615 kyrBP). At 394 (615 kyrBP) the insolation anomaly spring-to-summer change is from positive (negative) to negative (positive). In these cases, spring equinox (394 kyrBP) or fall equinox (615 kyrBP) are close to perihelion.

3 Results

3.1 JJAS surface temperature anomalies

The response of boreal summer (June–July–August–September, JJAS) surface temperature to the combined effect of insolation and GHG in all individual climates (Fig. 2) shows warm conditions (relative to PI) over most parts of the continents in Group I (6, 9, 125, 405, 416, 504, and 609 kyrBP) with the three warmest anomalies at 9, 125, and 405 kyrBP. The warm surface conditions can largely be explained by the immediate effect of high summer insolation and a reduction of the Northern Hemisphere sea-ice area by about 15–20% (not shown) relative to PI. The large thermal capacity of the ocean explains a larger temperature response over land than over the ocean (Herold et al., 2012; Nikolova et al., 2013). Simulated cooling over North Africa (10–25°N) and India in the Group I experiments is caused by enhanced monsoonal rainfall in these regions, which is associated with increased cloud cover, i.e. reduced shortwave fluxes, and enhanced land surface evapotranspiration, i.e. greater latent cooling (e.g., Braconnot et al., 2002, 2004; Zheng and Braconnot, 2013). Cooling in some parts of the Southern Ocean in most Group I experiments is likely attributable to an austral summer remnant effect of local insolation (see below) as in Yin and Berger (2012). The 416 kyrBP time slice, however, differs from the other Group I members by anomalously cold conditions over the Southern Hemisphere continents. Again, this behaviour can be explained by the immediate effect of the insolation, which shows negative anomalies in the Southern Hemisphere during the JJAS season (Fig. 2). As such, the 416 kyrBP time slice must be considered a special case in Group I. While high Northern Hemisphere summer insolation is related to low precession in most Group I members, positive anomalies of Northern Hemisphere summer insolation at 416 kyrBP are attributable to a maximum in obliquity (Fig. 1), yielding the Northern-versus-Southern Hemisphere insolation contrast.

In contrast to Group I, Group II climates exhibit anomalously cold JJAS surface temperatures globally with the three coldest anomalies at 115, 516, and 609 kyrBP. Again, the temperature response can largely be explained by the direct response to insolation forcing, amplified in high latitudes by an increase of the sea-ice cover (about 5% in the Arctic compared to PI). Due to a particular combination of high precession and eccentricity with low obliquity the insolation forcing and surface temperature response is strongest for the 115 kyrBP time slice. Group II warming in the North African and Indian monsoon regions is associated with increased aridity and reduced cloudiness.

Group III climates (394 and 615 kyrBP) show rather complex temperature anomaly patterns, especially in the tropics. In the 394 kyrBP time slice, however, northern continental regions show a distinct cooling, whereas continental regions exhibit an overall warming in the Southern Hemisphere (except for Antarctica). To a large extent, the 394 kyrBP time slice shows a reversed JJAS temperature anomaly pattern compared to the 416 kyrBP simulation over the continental regions, except for Antarctica.

3.2 DJF surface temperature anomalies

Boreal winter (December–January–February, DJF) surface temperature anomalies are presented in Fig. 4. Generally low DJF insolation in Group I time slices (Fig. 2) results in anomalously cold surface conditions over most of the globe, particularly strong in the 579 kyrBP (MIS 15) time slice. However, anomalously warm conditions in the Arctic stand...
in contrast to the global DIF cooling at 6, 9, 125, 405, and 416 kyrBP. The Arctic warming is due to the remnant effect of the solar summer insolation through ocean–sea ice feedbacks (Fischer and Jungclaus, 2010; Herold et al., 2012; Yin and Berger, 2012; Klein et al., 2014). Anomalous short-wave radiation during the Arctic summer leads to enhanced melting of sea ice and warming of the upper polar ocean. The additional heat received by the upper ocean delays the formation of winter sea ice, reduces its thickness and finally leads to a warming of the winter atmosphere through ocean heat release (Yin and Berger, 2012). Arctic winter warming is not present in the mis 13 and 579 kyrBP (mis 15) time slices in Group I, where the summer remnant effect in the Arctic is probably masked by a global cooling that is induced by low GHG concentrations typical for early Brunhes (mis 13 and before) interglacials.

To a large extent, DJF surface temperature anomaly patterns are reversed in Group II with warming over most continental regions. Moreover, the summer remnant effect reverses to a substantial cooling in the Arctic region. Temperature anomaly patterns in Group III are, again, rather complex. Interestingly, most Northern Hemisphere continental regions remain relatively cold during boreal winter (as in summer) in the 394 kyrBP simulation. Relatively low GHG concentrations, especially CH4, contribute to the year-round extratropical cooling in this time slice.

3.4 Net Primary Production (NPP) anomalies

Vegetation responds to changes in surface temperature and precipitation and, in certain regions, may feedback to the climate (cf. Rachmayani et al., 2015). Figure 6 shows the simulated changes in NPP, reflecting increase/decrease and expansion/recession of vegetation covers, relative to PI. In high Arctic latitudes, NPP increases in the Group I simulations, except for 405 kyrBP where temperature changes are probably too small to substantially affect the vegetation. By contrast, Arctic NPP declines in the Group II experiments, albeit only in the easternmost part of Siberia in the 495 kyrBP experiment. A substantial decline of Arctic NPP is also simulated for 394 kyrBP (Group III). In the tropical regions, vegetation changes are mostly governed by precipitation. Consequently, enhanced rainfall results in increased NPP over North Africa, the Arabian Peninsula and India in all Group I experiments. In North Africa increased NPP is associated with a northward shift of the Sahel–Sahara boundary. The largest shifts are simulated for 125 and 579 kyrBP in accordance with maximum North African rainfall anomalies. In these experiments, a complete greening of the African Desert is simulated. Opposite NPP anomalies in the tropical monsoon regions are simulated in the Group II experiments. In Group III, NPP increases result from anomalously high rainfall in North Africa (615 kyrBP) or India (394 kyrBP).

3.5 Climatic effects of obliquity variations during MIS 11 and MIS 13

The MIS 11 time slices 394 and 416 kyrBP show opposite obliquity extremes (at similar precession), as do the MIS 13 time slices 495 and 516 kyrBP (Fig. 1). Insolation differences between the high obliquity (416, 495 kyrBP) and low obliquity (394, 516 kyrBP) cases (i.e. 416 minus 394 and 495 minus 516 kyrBP) are displayed in Fig. 7. The effect of high obliquity is to strengthen the seasonal insolation cycle. At low latitudes, the effect of obliquity on insolation is small. For the maximum obliquity time slices (416 and 495 kyrBP) relatively high boreal summer insolation directly translates into positive surface temperature anomalies over Northern Hemisphere continents, except for the low latitudes where reduced local insolation (especially in the MIS 13 case) and higher monsoon rainfall (especially in the MIS 11 case, see below) lead to surface cooling (Fig. 8a,b). By contrast, receiving anomalously low insolation during austral winter, Southern Hemisphere continents exhibit anomalously cold surface temperatures. For the 416–394 kyrBP case, however, the Antarctic continent and the Southern Ocean show large-scale warming during the JAS season, which can be attributed to a south polar summer remnant effect as the austral summer insolation anomaly is extremely high in this experiment (Fig. 7a). Higher GHG concentrations at 416 compared to 394 kyrBP may add to this warming. Owing to a smaller south polar summer insolation anomaly (Fig. 7).
the summer remnant effect is smaller in the 495–516 kyrBP case and even surpassed by anomalously low GHG forcing in the 495 kyrBP time slice, leading to negative austral winter temperature anomalies in the Southern Ocean and Antarctica (Fig. 7b).

During boreal winter, Northern Hemisphere continents show large-scale cooling in response to high obliquity (and hence relatively low insolation), except for the Arctic realm, where the summer remnant effect results in substantial positive surface temperature anomalies (Fig. 8c and d). During the same season (DJF) anomalously high insolation causes surface warming in the Southern Hemisphere in response to boreal summer high obliquity forcing causes anomalous surface warming at high latitudes and surface cooling at low latitudes caused by seasonal and annual insolation anomalies in combination with climate feedbacks like the polar summer remnant effect and monsoon rainfall.

Despite the weak insolation signal at low latitudes, substantial obliquity-induced changes in tropical precipitation are simulated (Fig. 8e and f). The strongest signal is found in the North African monsoon region in the MIS 11 experiments, where greater JIAS precipitation occurs during maximum obliquity at 416 kyrBP than during the obliquity minimum at 394 kyrBP. A positive Sahel rainfall anomaly is also found in the MIS 13 experiments (495–516 kyrBP), but much weaker than in the MIS 11 case (416–394 kyrBP). We suppose that the obliquity-induced increase in North African monsoonal rainfall is counteracted by the high precession at 495 kyrBP that tends to weaken the monsoon. Considering the spatiotemporal insolation patterns (Fig. 7) the Northern Hemisphere tropical summer insolation anomaly is less negative and the meridional summer insolation gradient anomalies are generally greater in the 416–394 kyrBP case compared to the 495–516 kyrBP case. Both features of the insolation anomaly favor a strong North African monsoon (see Discussion).

### 3.6 Evaluating the climatic effects of astronomical and GHG forcings through correlation maps

In order to evaluate the climatic effects of obliquity, precession and GHG concentrations, linear correlations between the individual forcing parameters and climatic fields (surface temperature, precipitation) were calculated from the 14 time slice experiments (13 interglacial time slices plus PI). To this end, each climate variable (temperature, precipitation) was averaged over the last 100 years of each experiment. Linear correlation coefficients between a climatological variable and a forcing parameter (obliquity, precession, GHG radiative forcing) were calculated at each grid point. Significance of correlations was tested by a two-sided Student’s t test with 95% confidence level. Total radiative forcing from CO2, CH4, and N2O in each experiment was calculated based on a simplified expression given in Table 3 (IPCC, 2001).

Figure 9 shows the corresponding correlation maps for annual mean, boreal summer, and boreal winter surface temperature. As expected, GHG forcing is positively correlated with surface temperature over most regions of the globe (Fig. 9a), which is particularly pronounced in the annual mean. For the seasonal correlation maps (boreal summer and winter) the correlation coefficients are smaller because of the dominant impact of obliquity and precession forcing.

As already described in the previous subsection, the general surface temperature pattern of high obliquity forcing is warming at high latitudes and cooling at low latitudes (Fig. 9b). High precession (northern solstice near aphelion) leads to boreal summer surface cooling over most extratropical regions (Fig. 9c). However, surface warming occurs in some tropical regions as a response to weaker monsoons. During boreal winter, anomalously high insolation causes anomalous summer remnant effect, leading to negative austral winter temperature anomalies in the Southern Ocean and Antarctica (Fig. 7b).

### Table 2. Summer (JIAS) precipitation over North Africa ($20^\circ$W–30$^\circ$E and 10–25$^\circ$N) and over India ($70^\circ$E–100$^\circ$E and 10–30$^\circ$N) along with anomalies relative to PI. Absolute precipitation values are given with standard error ($2\sigma$) based on 100 simulation years of each experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Time slice</th>
<th>North Africa (mm day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>North Africa Anomaly (mm day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>India (mm day$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>India Anomaly (mm day$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MIS 1</td>
<td>0 ka</td>
<td>2.44±0.04</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>6.59±0.12</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6 ka</td>
<td>3.41±0.04</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>6.91±0.10</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9 ka</td>
<td>3.71±0.04</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>7.36±0.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 5</td>
<td>115 ka</td>
<td>1.59±0.02</td>
<td>-0.85</td>
<td>5.90±0.15</td>
<td>-0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125 ka</td>
<td>3.79±0.04</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>7.26±0.07</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 11</td>
<td>394 ka</td>
<td>2.37±0.04</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td>6.92±0.12</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>405 ka</td>
<td>3.20±0.04</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>6.95±0.11</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>416 ka</td>
<td>3.06±0.04</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>7.13±0.12</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 13</td>
<td>495 ka</td>
<td>1.91±0.04</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
<td>6.11±0.13</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>504 ka</td>
<td>3.72±0.04</td>
<td>1.28</td>
<td>7.11±0.08</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>516 ka</td>
<td>1.88±0.04</td>
<td>-0.56</td>
<td>6.22±0.13</td>
<td>-0.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIS 15</td>
<td>579 ka</td>
<td>3.77±0.04</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>7.72±0.07</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>609 ka</td>
<td>1.49±0.02</td>
<td>-0.95</td>
<td>6.10±0.13</td>
<td>-0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>615 ka</td>
<td>3.21±0.04</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>6.27±0.13</td>
<td>-0.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Climate of the Past
Table 3. Simplified expressions for calculation of radiative forcing due to CO₂, CH₄, N₂O. C is CO₂ in ppmv, M is CH₄ in ppbv, N is N₂O in ppbv. The subscript 0 denotes the unperturbed GHG concentration of PI.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace gas</th>
<th>Simplified expression</th>
<th>Radiative forcing, ΔF (Wm⁻²)</th>
<th>Constants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| CO₂       | \[
\Delta F = \alpha (g(C) - g(C₀))
\] |
|           | where \( g(C) = \ln(1 + 1.2C + 0.005C^2 + 1.4 \times 10^{-6}C^3) \) | \( \alpha = 3.35 \) | |
| CH₄       | \[
\Delta F = \alpha \frac{M(N)}{\sqrt{M(N)}}
\] |
|           | where \( f(M,N) = 0.47 \ln[1 + 2.01 \times 10^{-5}(MN)^{0.75} + 5.31 \times 10^{-15}(MN)^{1.52}] \) | \( \alpha = 0.036 \) | |
| N₂O       | \[
\Delta F = \alpha \frac{N_0}{\sqrt{N_0}}
\] |
|           | where \( f(M,N) = 0.47 \ln[1 + 2.01 \times 10^{-5}(MN)^{0.75} + 5.31 \times 10^{-15}(MN)^{1.52}] \) | \( \alpha = 0.12 \) | |

4 Discussion

While most time slices presented in this study were simulated for the first time using a comprehensive CGCM, the 6, 115 and 125 kyrBP time slices have been studied extensively in previous model studies. In general, the CCSM3 results are in line with those previous studies in terms of large-scale temperature and precipitation patterns. Warm boreal summer conditions (relative to PI) over most parts of the continents and the Arctic are a general feature in paleoclimatic simulations of the mid-Holocene (6 kyrBP), while the North African and South Asian monsoon regions are anomalously cold due to enhanced rainfall (Braconnot et al., 2007). Though evidenced by proxy records (e.g., Mc-Clure, 1976; Hoelzmann et al., 1998; Fleitmann et al., 2003), several models fail to simulate wetter mid-Holocene conditions over the Arabian Peninsula (cf. https://pmpi3.lsc.nilsson.fr/database/maps/), while CCSM3 simulates not only enhanced rainfall but also greening of the Arabian Desert. The 125 kyrBP surface temperature pattern shows similar features than the 6 kyrBP pattern, but much more pronounced due to the larger eccentricity and hence stronger precessional forcing. However, compared to other simulations of the last interglaciation, our CCSM3 simulations produce a relatively cold MIS 5e surface climate as shown by Lunt et al. (2013). At 115 kyrBP, surface temperature anomalies show the opposite sign with dramatic cooling over the Arctic and the northern continental regions providing ideal conditions for glacial inception (e.g., Khodri et al., 2005; Kaspar and Cubasch, 2007; Jochum et al., 2012). A retreat of the vegetation at high northern latitudes tends to amplify the insolation-induced cooling (cf. Gallimore and Kutzbach, 1996; Meissner et al., 2003).

A recent simulation of the MIS 13 time slice at 506 kyrBP using the CGCM HadCM3 (Muri et al., 2013) can be compared to our 504 kyrBP time slice using CCSM3. Global patterns of surface temperature anomalies (relative to PI) are remarkably similar in the two different simulations with warm anomalies over all continents (except for the North African and South Asian monsoon regions) in boreal summer and worldwide cold anomalies during boreal winter. Moreover, both simulations show anomalously high boreal summer precipitation over northern South America, North and central Africa as well as the South Asian monsoon region. However, our CCSM3 results show general agreement with other model studies, the validation of model results with data is usually not straightforward. The reader is referred to previous work where our CCSM3 simulation of 125 kyrBP (Lunt et al., 2013) as well as the MIS 11 simulations have been extensively compared to proxy data (Milker et al., 2013; Kleinen et al., 2014). Taken together, these and other studies (e.g., Lohmann et al., 2013) indicate that CGCMs tend to produce generally smaller interglacial temperature anomalies than suggested by the proxy records. So far, the reason for these discrepancies is unsolved (cf. Liu et al., 2014), but Hessler et al. (2014) pointed out that uncertainties associated with sea surface temperature reconstructions are generally
larger than interglacial temperature anomalies. Thus, currently available surface temperature proxy data cannot serve as a target for benchmarking interglacial model simulations.

Two time slices of MIS 11 (394 vs. 416 kyr BP) and two time slices of MIS 13 (495 vs. 516 kyr BP) allow the investigation of (almost pure) obliquity effects on global climate, although the GHG and precession are not exactly the same between the time slices. As such, the results from these simulations can be compared to previously performed idealized model experiments in which obliquity has been changed from maximum to minimum values (Tuenter et al., 2003; 2007; Mantis et al., 2011; Erb et al., 2013; Bosmans et al., 2015). The common results of those idealized and our experiments can be summarized as follows. High-versus-low obliquity climates are characterized by strong warming over the Northern Hemisphere extratropics and slight cooling in the tropics during boreal summer. During boreal winter, a moderate cooling over large portions of the Northern Hemisphere continents and a strong warming at high southern latitudes is found. The obliquity-induced Northern Hemisphere summer warming appears to be of particular interest for the MIS 13 climate evolution. At 495 kyr BP, precession is at maximum, but the global benthic δ18O stack by Lisiecki and Raymo (2005) does not show the expected increase towards heavier values which would indicate colder conditions and Northern Hemisphere cryosphere expansion (Fig. 1). In fact, despite high precession, the 495 kyr BP simulation exhibits the warmest Northern Hemisphere summer temperatures from all Group II experiments (Fig. 3), which can be attributed to concomitant high obliquity. We therefore hypothesize that the Northern Hemisphere summer climate at 495 kyr BP was not cold enough for ice sheets to grow and global ocean δ18O values to increase. We note, however, that the benthic δ18O stack is subject to age model uncertainties of a few thousand years.

Moreover, our CCSM3 results as well as the studies by Tuenter et al. (2003) and Bosmans et al. (2015) suggest a significant effect of obliquity on West African monsoon rainfall despite the weak insolation signal at low latitudes. Bosmans et al. (2015) have shown that obliquity-induced changes in moisture transport towards North Africa result from changes in the meridional insolation gradient (Davis and Brewer, 2009). However, the impact of obliquity on the monsoon also depends on precession. In the 495–516 kyr BP experiment, the obliquity-effect on the West African monsoon is minor, as both time slices (495 and 516 kyr BP) are characterized by precession maxima leading to extremely weak monsoonal circulation and rainfall in both cases. The existence of a ~ 41 kyr cyclicity (in addition to astronomical-related ~ 100 and 19–23 kyr cycles) in reconstructions of North African aridity has usually been attributed to obliquity-forced Northern Hemisphere cryosphere effects on the monsoon climate (e.g., Bloemendal and deMenocal, 1989; deMenocal et al., 1993; Tiedemann et al., 1994; deMenocal, 1995; Kroon et al., 1998). Our model results along with the studies by Tuenter et al. (2003) and Bosmans et al. (2015) complement this picture, showing that the direct insolation-gradient forcing associated with obliquity can contribute to West African monsoon changes without involving high-latitude remote climate forcing associated with Northern Hemisphere ice sheets.

According to the CCSM3 results, the Indian monsoon is less sensitive to direct obliquity (insolation gradient) forcing than the West African monsoon. This finding is consistent with proxy records from the Arabian Sea that show substantial 41 kyr (obliquity) periodicity only after the onset of Quaternary glacial cycles when waxing and waning of northern ice sheets could have worked as an agent for the transfer of obliquity forcing to the Indian monsoon region (Bloemendal and deMenocal, 1989). In general, it is found that the two monsoon systems do not always vary in concert. This is particularly evident in the Group III experiments (394 and 615 kyr BP) where the precipitation anomalies over North Africa and India have opposite signs (Table 2). Considering the annual insolation maps of the 394 and 615 kyr experiments (Fig. 2), West African monsoon rainfall turns out to be most sensitive to changes in summer insolation, whereas spring/early summer insolation is more important for monsoon rainfall over India. Similar results have been found by Braconnot et al. (2008). It has been argued that the reason is a resonant response of the Indian monsoon to the insolation forcing when maximum insolation anomalies occur near the summer solstice and a resonant response of the African monsoon – which has its rainfall maximum one month later in the annual cycle than the Indian monsoon – when the maximum insolation change is delayed after the summer solstice. The different responses to specific forcings and the sometimes out-of-phase behaviour of the African and Indian monsoon systems challenge the global monsoon concept – according to which all regional monsoon systems are part of one seasonally varying global-scale atmospheric overturning circulation in the tropics (Trenberth et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2014) – at astronomical timescales.

Another important result of our study is associated with obliquity forcing of high-latitude precipitation anomalies. As obliquity increases, high latitudes become warmer and the gradient in solar heating between high and low latitudes decreases, while precipitation over high-latitude continental regions increases (Fig. 10b). This result clearly contradicts the "gradient hypothesis" by Raymo and Nisancioglu (2003) according to which low obliquity would favour polar ice-sheet growth through enhanced delivery of moisture owing to an increased meridional solar heating gradient.

Since CO2 and other GHG variations are relatively small during the interglacials, the effects of astronomical forcing on the monsoons are substantially larger. Hence, GHG forcing shows a clear response in precipitation only for the high latitudes where the hydrologic cycle accelerates with higher GHG concentrations. In the monsoon regions, interglacial rainfall variations are almost entirely controlled by astronomical forcing.
The use of a modern ice-sheet configuration for all interglacial time slice experiments, however, must be considered a limitation of the present study. Future studies should include the effects of changing ice sheets and associated meltwater fluxes in shaping interglacial climates. Large Northern Hemisphere ice sheets might have played an important role for regional and global climates especially during early Brunhes interglacials (MIS 13 and before) as suggested by, e.g., Yin et al. (2008) and Muri et al. (2013). But also during late Brunhes interglacial stages, like the Holocene, model studies suggest an influence of changing land ice on the interglacial climate evolution (Renssen et al., 2009; Marzin et al., 2013). The tremendous uncertainties regarding ice-sheet reconstructions beyond the present interglacial could be taken into account by performing sensitivity experiments.

5 Conclusions

Using CCSM3-DGVM, 13 interglacial time slice experiments were carried out to study global climate variability between and within Quaternary interglacials. The selection of interglacial time slices was based on different aspects of inter- and intra-interglacial variability and associated astronomical forcing. As such, our approach is complementary to both idealized astronomical forcing experiments (e.g., Tuenter et al., 2003; Mantsis et al., 2011, 2014; Erb et al., 2013; Bosmans et al., 2015) and climate simulations that focused on peak interglacial forcing (Herold et al., 2012; Yin and Berger, 2012).

In this study, the different roles of obliquity, precession and GHG forcing on surface temperature and precipitation patterns have been disentangled. In most regions seasonal surface temperature anomalies could largely be explained by local insolation anomalies induced by the astronomical forcing. Climate feedbacks modify the surface temperature response in specific regions, particularly in the monsoon domains and the polar oceans. GHG forcing may also play a role for seasonal temperature anomalies, especially in high latitudes and the early Brunhes interglacials MIS 13 and 15 when GHG concentrations were much lower than during the later interglacials.

A significant role of obliquity in forcing the West African monsoon was found, whereas the Indian monsoon – as well as the other regional monsoon systems – appear to be less sensitive (or not sensitive at all) to obliquity changes during interglacial stages. Despite this important role of obliquity in West African monsoon variability, the response to precession is still stronger. Different responses to specific forcings and the obvious anti-phase behaviour of the African and Indian monsoon systems in the 394 and 615 kyrBP experiments, where the North African rainfall anomaly has opposite sign compared to the Indian anomaly, clearly point to the fact that the two regional monsoon systems do not always vary in concert and challenge the global monsoon concept at the astronomical timescale.

As a general pattern in the annual mean, maximum-minus-minimum obliquity forcing causes anomalous surface warming at high latitudes and surface cooling at low latitudes caused by seasonal and annual insolation anomalies in combination with climate feedbacks like the solar summer remnant effect and monsoon rainfall. High obliquity may also explain relatively warm Northern Hemisphere high-latitude summer temperatures despite maximum precession around 495 kyrBP (MIS 13). We hypothesize that this obliquity-induced high-latitude warming may have prevented a glacial inception at that time. Moreover, our results suggest high-latitude precipitation increase with increasing obliquity, contradicting the “gradient hypothesis” by (Raymo and Nisancioglu, 2003) according to which low obliquity would favour polar ice-sheet growth through enhanced delivery of moisture owing to an increased meridional solar heating gradient.

Future studies should include the effects of changing ice sheets and associated meltwater fluxes in shaping interglacial climates. With increasing computer power long-term transient simulations of interglacial climates will become more common. So far, transient CGCM simulations have been performed for the present (e.g., Lorenz and Lohmann, 2004; Varma et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2014) and the last interglacial (e.g., Bakker et al., 2013; Govin et al., 2014). More transient simulations of earlier interglacials, ideally with coupled interactive ice-sheet models, will help to develop a significantly deeper understanding of interglacial climate dynamics.
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Figure 1. Benthic $\delta^{18}O$ stack (Lisiecki and Raymo, 2005), climatic precession, obliquity, and insolation at July, 65° N (Berger, 1978) for the different interglacials. The points mark the time slices simulated in this study.
Figure 2. Insolation anomalies (relative to PI) for the time slices simulated in this study. Patterns of insolation anomaly are classified into Groups I, II, and III (see text). The calculation assumes a fixed present-day calendar with vernal equinox at 21 March.
Figure 3. Boreal summer surface temperature anomalies (relative to PI) for the different interglacial time slices. Classification into Groups I, II, and III (see text) is indicated.
Figure 4. As in Fig. 3, but for boreal winter.
Figure 5. As in Fig. 3, but for boreal summer precipitation.
Figure 6. As in Fig. 3, but for annual net primary production.
Figure 7. Differences in the seasonal and latitudinal distribution of insolation for (A) 416–394 ka BP, (B) 495–516 ka BP.
Figure 8. Differences in seasonal surface temperature (A)-(D) and boreal summer precipitation (E)-(F) for 416-394 ka BP (left) and 495-516 ka BP (right).
Figure 9. Linear correlation maps between surface temperature and GHG radiative forcing (A), obliquity (B), and climatic precession (C) as calculated from the entire set of experiments. Summer refers to JJAS, winter to DJF. Only significant values are shown according to a two-sided Student’s t-test at 95% confidence level.
Figure 10. As in Fig. 9, but for precipitation.