Evidence of a prolonged drought ca. 4200 yr BP correlated with prehistoric settlement abandonment from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave, N-Algeria
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Abstract

Middle Holocene cultures have been widely studied round the E-Mediterranean basin in the last 30 years and past cultural activities have been commonly linked with regional climate changes. However, in many cases such linkage is equivocal, in part due to existing climatic evidence that has been derived from areas outside the distribution of ancient settlements, leading to uncertainty from complex spatial heterogeneity in both climate and demography. A few high-resolution well-dated paleoclimate records were recently established using speleothems in the Central and E-Mediterranean basin, however, the scarcity of such records in the western part of the Mediterranean prevents us from correlating past climate evolutions across the basin and deciphering climate–culture relation at fine time scales.

Here we report the first decadal-resolved Mid-Holocene climate proxy records from the W-Mediterranean basin based on the stable carbon and oxygen isotopes analyses of two U/Th dated stalagmites from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave in N-Algeria. Comparison of our records with those from Italy and Israel reveals synchronous (multi) centennial dry phases centered at ca. 5600, ca. 5200 and ca. 4200 yrBP across the Mediterranean basin. New calibrated radiocarbon dating constrains reasonably well the age of rich anthropogenic deposits (e.g., faunal remains, pottery, charcoal) excavated inside the cave, which allows the comparison between in situ evidence of human occupation and of climate change. This approach shows that the timing of a prolonged drought at ca. 4400–3800 yrBP blankets the onset of cave abandonment shortly after ca. 4403 cal yrBP, supporting the hypothesis that a climate anomaly may have played a role in this cultural disruption.

1 Introduction

As drought in NW-Africa is a recurring phenomenon and prolonged dry conditions exert a significant impact on local social systems, it becomes important to accurately docu-
ment the role of drought conditions on the area. For instance, the most recent drought in Algeria began in 1998, as part of a widespread pattern of drying in the N-Hemisphere, and brought considerable loss in regards to water resource and agricultural yields (Hoerling and Kumar, 2003). Increasingly dry sub-tropical conditions are predicted as one potential consequence of anthropogenic climate change, but current general circulation models do not completely capture the magnitude and spatial extent of observed drought conditions (Seager et al., 2007). To help understand recent climate anomalies, paleoclimate studies are crucial to characterize the range of potential natural variability in the past and to improve our understanding of the links between regional drought and large scale forcing. Instrumental data from weather stations in NW-Africa report less than one hundred years. Tree ring based drought reconstructions in Algeria and Tunisia have been extended back to the last nine centuries, which reveals large spatial heterogeneity of past climate evolutions in NW-Africa and concludes that the climate anomaly 1998–2002 appears to be the most severe in the last millennium (Touchan et al., 2008, 2011). Holocene paleoclimate studies in other regions, however, have suggested larger oscillations at centennial to millennial time scales highlighting the need for new records from this area (Mayewski et al., 2004; Wanner et al., 2008).

A significant climate excursion ca. 4200 yr BP has been widely reported and is considered as an ideal case to study the causes and effects of a large-scale climate anomaly that occurred against background conditions similar to those of today (Berkelhammer et al., 2013; Booth et al., 2005; Roland, 2012). The climatic expression of the 4200 yr BP event differs around the world. For example, it has been documented as droughts in much of mid-to-low latitudes, across Africa, Asia and N-America, wet and stormy in N-Europe and cooler in N-Atlantic (Booth et al., 2005; Roland, 2012). More recently, this climatic anomaly was characterized by extreme dry conditions on high-resolved speleothem isotope records from the Central (Drysdale et al., 2006; Zanchetta et al., 2014) and E-Mediterranean basin (Bar-Matthews and Ayalon, 2011), but, until now, such records have not available in the W-Mediterranean which prevents us the correlation of past climate anomalies across the basin.
Aside from its climatic interest, such an episode likely influenced numerous human cultures. Major societal changes have been observed across the Mediterranean basin during the Mid-Holocene, and in particular, a catastrophic desiccation ca. 4200 yr BP has been suggested to trigger the collapse of the Akkadian Empire in Mesopotamia, the Old Kingdom in Egypt and the Early Bronze Age civilizations of Greece and Crete (Weiss and Bradley, 2000; Weiss et al., 1993; Wiener, 2014). These studies have been stimulating an increasing number of debates on climate–culture relationship (e.g., Coombes and Barber, 2005). Uncertainty regarding the societal impact of such an event is still large, due in part that climatic evidence, in many cases, has been derived from regions far from the distribution of ancient settlements (e.g., Cullen et al., 2000). Although the 4200 yr BP dry event has been observed in several mid latitude sites, the database remains incomplete and conflicting observations of climatic conditions between seemingly adjacent regions exist (Magny et al., 2013; Staubwasser and Weiss, 2006). Additionally, a recent study demonstrated that the climatic impact on many agricultural settlements in ancient Near East was diverse even within spatially limited cultural units (Riehla et al., 2014).

In N-Algeria, the extinction of large mammal species (e.g., S. antiquus) during the Mid-Holocene was correlated with regional climate aridity, likely due to the competition with pastoralists and livestock for increasingly scarce water (Faith, 2014). Similarly, the evidence of the aridity (i.e., the termination of the African Humid Period) that provoked this extinction has been derived from the Sahara and its surroundings (deMenocal et al., 2000), which is several hundred kilometres away, leaving this assertion ambiguous and stimulating the search for new high resolution paleoclimate records in the area.

In this study, we document the Mid-Holocene climate history in the Western Mediterranean by decadal-resolved stable carbon and oxygen isotopes analyses of two U/Th dated stalagmites from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave of N-Algeria. We compare the records with those established earlier in the Central and E-Mediterranean basin. In addition, we describe archaeological deposits layers inside the cave whose ages have
been reasonably well constrained due to new radiocarbon dating. Finally we test the links between cultural changes and climate anomalies with a particular emphasis on the 4200 yrBP event.

2 Samples and methods

2.1 Study site

Gueldaman GLD1 Cave is one of a series of karstic caves formed within the SE-ward slope of the Adrar Gueldaman ridge, western part of Babor mountains in N-Algeria (Kherbouche et al., 2014). It is located close to the large Soummam River, 5–6 km from the Akbou town, and approximately 65 km southern inland from the W-Mediterranean Sea (36°26′ N, 4°34′ E, 507 m a.s.l.) (Fig. 1). Gueldaman GLD1 is a relatively short cave (total extension of ~80 m) that developed in Jurassic limestone. The entrance, facing to the SE, is a semi-circular ~6 m large arch, leading to a dome-shaped ~10 m high and 6 m wide corridor which ends with the main chamber “Grande Salle” at a depth of 30–40 m. The area is covered by a thin layer (<10 cm) of soil derived from the limestone bedrock, wind-blown silicate dust, and organic matter from local vegetation such as Pistacia lentiscus, Quercus ilex, Buxus sempervirens, typical Mediterranean Garrigue type plant assemblage (C3 dominated).

Local climate is Mediterranean semi-arid type, characterized by hot-dry summers and mild-wetter winters. From the ERA-interim reanalysis data between 1979 and 2013 (http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/) the annual total rainfall is 516 mm, and the annual mean temperature is 17.2°C. Rainfall occurs rarely in the summer (37 mm) but relatively evenly through the autumn (155 mm), winter (178 mm) and spring (147 mm). Gueldaman GLD1 Cave is well ventilated with the outside atmosphere due to its larger opening and shorter extension. Hobo logger data at 10 min resolution from November 2013 to April 2015 shows significant variations in cave temperature ranging from...
13.7 to 19.5 °C. The relative humidity varies from 56 to 94%. Carbon dioxide has not been measured, but it is likely to be close to the atmospheric value.

2.2 Stalagmites analyses

Two stalagmites and three modern calcites samples were collected in 2012 and 2013 from the main chamber of Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. Stalagmite GLD1-stm2 is 350 mm long and 100–200 mm wide; GLD1-stm4 is 203 mm long with a diameter of 50–120 mm (Fig. 2). They were halved and polished along the longitudinal axis. Both stalagmites show well-marked laminae with several shifts in the drip apex of the lower parts (Fig. 2). Black bandings, with visible incorporations of charcoal particles, are found throughout both stalagmites profiles.

U/Th dating

Seventeen powder samples were drilled from the two stalagmites and dated by a multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer. The procedure to separate uranium and thorium were referred to Edwards et al. (1987) and Cheng et al. (2013). The dating work was carried out at the University of Minnesota (USA) and the Xi’an Jiaotong University (China). One dating from the base part of stalagmite GLD1-stm2, for the exploration of preliminary age frame, was done at the Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l’Environnement (LSCE, France). The U/Th dates were reported in years before 2000 AD. (Fig. 2, Table 1). The age model for both stalagmites was developed using the StalAge program (Scholz and Hoffmann, 2011) where a linear interpolation between depth and age is made through each progressive triplet of adjacent U/Th dates (Fig. 3). This procedure provides a quantitative estimate of age uncertainty continuously along the record despite having analytical constraints only at locations where the U/Th dates exist. Stalagmite growth rates were calculated based on the StalAge age model (Fig. 4).
Stable isotopes

Four hundred and thirty samples were drilled every 1 to 2 mm along the stalagmite central growth axis (Fig. 2). Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes compositions of both stalagmites and modern calcites were measured using a VG-OPTIMA mass spectrometer at the LSCE. For each analysis, 60 to 80 µg calcite powder is reacted with phosphoric acid at 90 °C, and the resultant CO$_2$ is measured relative to a reference gas that has been calibrated against a series of isotopic standards. Duplicates were run every 10 to 20 samples to check replicability. All values are reported in ‰ relative to the V-PDB (Fig. 4). The error is 0.08 ‰ for δ$^{18}$O and 0.05 ‰ for δ$^{13}$C.

2.3 Archaeological analyses

Archaeological excavations were carried out at two sectors S2 and S3 inside the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave during the 2010–2012 campaign (Fig. 1). This work consisted mainly in collecting, identifying, and referencing the archaeological materials found in stratigraphic layers (refer to Kherbouche et al. (2014) for details). More than 7000 anthropogenic remains were collected, consisting mainly of faunal remains, ceramic, and lithic and bone tools. Besides, all sediments were water screened through 1.5 and 4 mm mesh and subjected systematically to flotation with collection in a 250 µm mesh yielding a huge amount of charcoals. Initial radiocarbon dating of upper stratigraphic sequences from S2 and S3 gave the median ages ranging from ca. 6800 to 1500 cal yr BP (Kherbouche et al., 2014). In order to refine the chronology of these deposits, in this study, six new charcoal samples were collected from the key archaeological layers in excavation area MN 47/48 of S2. These samples were dated using the AMS radiocarbon method at the CEA Saclay (France). Detailed procedures of the chemical preparation and the dating in the lab were referred to Cottereau et al. (2007). The dates were calibrated using the IntCal13 dataset (Reimer et al., 2013) and reported in years before 2000 AD (Table 2).
3 Results

3.1 Stalagmites U/Th dates and growth rates

The uranium contents of measured stalagmites samples are relatively high ranging from 95 to 225 ppb (Table 1). The 2 sigma U/Th errors vary from 20 to 210 years with an average of 77 years (1.6 %). The U/Th date (5070 ± 194 yrBP) of sample GLD1-stm4-47 was detected as a major outlier by the StalAge program, thus, it was not used to calculate the final age model. Calculated StalAge age model for stalagmite GLD1-stm4 shows large errors up to 500 years during ca. 4900–4200 yrBP (Fig. 3). Based on individual StalAge age model, stalagmite GLD1-stm2 grew continuously from ca. 6200 to 4100 yrBP, whereas stalagmite GLD1-stm4 grew continuously from ca. 5800 to 3200 yrBP (Fig. 3).

Stalagmite GLD1-stm2 shows high and variable growth rates (mean = 180 µm yr\(^{-1}\)) with higher values ∼ 400 µm yr\(^{-1}\) at ca. 4800–4500 yrBP; whereas stalagmite GLD1-stm4 shows relatively lower and less variable growth rates (mean = 120 µm yr\(^{-1}\)) with higher values ∼ 200 µm yr\(^{-1}\) at ca. 3800–3200 yrBP (Fig. 4).

3.2 Stable carbon and oxygen isotopes

The isotopic compositions of modern calcite vary from −5.40 to −5.56 ‰ for the \(\delta^{18}O\) and from −8.43 to −10.34 ‰ for the \(\delta^{13}C\). The \(\delta^{18}O\) values from stalagmites GLD1-stm2 and GLD1-stm4 range from −7.8 to −2.8 ‰ and from −7.3 to −0.6 ‰, respectively; the \(\delta^{13}C\) values range from −10.6 to −3.3 ‰ and from −11.9 to −0.6 ‰, respectively. The \(\delta^{18}O\) and \(\delta^{13}C\) significantly correlate in both stalagmites: \(R = 0.87, P < 0.01\) for GLD1-stm2 and \(R = 0.92, P < 0.01\) for GLD1-stm4. Albeit the different amplitudes, the isotopic profiles of the two stalagmites show similarities during their common development of ca. 5800–4100 yrBP: relatively elevated isotope values are found at ca. 5700–5400, ca. 5200, and ca. 4500 yrBP (Fig. 4). Two other isotopically enriched periods in stalagmite GLD-stm2 are found at ca. 6200 and ca. 4900 yrBP (Fig. 4). There
is a common isotopic enrichment trend since ca. 4800–4600 yr BP (depending on individual age model; abrupt in stalagmite GLD1-stm4 whereas more gradual in GLD1-stm2). Toward the end of this trend, the most prominent anomaly occurs in stalagmite GLD1-stm4 at ca. 4400–3800 yr BP during which the $\delta^{18}O$ values are enriched by approximately 3.5‰ relative to the background values of that time as well as the modern calcite values for a period of ~ 500 years (Fig. 4). Specifically within this anomalous period, there is a mild depletion of $\delta^{18}O$ at ca. 4200–4000 yr BP, followed by a second enrichment toward its end during which stalagmite GLD1-stm2 stops growing (Fig. 4). The last stage, ca. 3800–3200 yr BP, of GLD1-stm4, is characterized by a $\delta^{18}O$ recovery of about −3‰, synchronous with increased growth rates (Fig. 4).

### 3.3 Anthropogenic deposits and $^{14}$C dates

Excavations inside Gueldaman GLD1 Cave revealed a large variety of archeological remains and, among them, are numerous precious macro charcoals that have been used for establishing the chronology of the deposits. In the ~ 7 m$^2$ total excavated area of S2, more than 7000 archaeological objects were identified and consisted of faunal remains, lithic artifacts and grinding equipment, potteries, bone tools, ornaments, and ochre. In addition, a fragment of a human mandible and two isolated teeth were found during the excavation 2010–2012 in Gueldaman GLD1 Cave (Kherbouche et al., 2014). These deposits belong mainly to the Neolithic; only the top level of the sequence contains potsherds of the historic period. In the lower Neolithic levels, identified domestic species (i.e. sheep and goats) represented ~ 25% of total faunal assemblages ($N = 2378$) suggesting a partly pastoral based economy. The potteries ($N = 825$) are mostly related to cooking vessels of 25–40 cm rim diameter. Hundreds of black charcoals (> 1 cm) were found and always associated with ceramic concentrations suggesting evidence of cooking activities.

Determined radiocarbon dates give the median ages of the sequence between 7002 and 1482 cal yr BP, with their 2σ-error intervals varying from 132 to 374 years (Table 2). These dates provide a first chronology for the archaeological deposits excavated from
sector S2 (Fig. 6) (Kherbouche et al., 2014): anthropogenic remains (i.e. charcoals, bones, teeth and potteries) are numerous during ca. 7002–6003 cal yr BP (depths of ~150–120 cm), decreased at ca. 6003–4918 cal yr BP (depths of ~120–105 cm), most abundant in the period of ca. 4918–4403 cal yr BP (depths of 105–75 cm), significantly diminished during the long interval of ca. 4403–1484 cal yr BP (depths of 75–60 cm), and finally, numerous again from ca. 1484 cal yr BP (depths of ~60–50 cm). With an overall decrease in archeological materials, there are two levels clearly marked by their poverty in charcoal and pottery during the periods of ca. 6003–4918 cal yr BP and ca. 4403–1484 cal yr BP (Fig. 6).

4 Discussions

4.1 Climatic significance of stalagmites proxies

Stalagmite growth requires humid climates allowing sufficient water infiltration into the cave. In arid and semi-arid areas, water availability is an essential controlling factor for stalagmite growth, as well shown by Vaks et al. (2013) who correlated growth periods with periods of effective rainfall regimes. The growth cessation of stalagmite GLD1-stm2 by ca. 4100 yr BP may suggest a phase of increased aridity, which is consistent with the extreme dry condition inferred from the most elevated isotope values in stalagmite GLD1-stm4 (see discussion in the following paragraphs) (Fig. 4). The fact that stalagmite GLD1-stm4 does not stop growing at that time may be attributed to a different sensitiveness of the reservoir feeding the two speleothems (Fairchild and Baker, 2012). Moreover, fast stalagmite growths together with wide diameters are usually associated with high drip rates suggesting humid conditions. A wetter period ca. 4800–4500 yr BP is indicated by the high growth rates of stalagmite GLD1-stm2. The fact that it is not seen in the growth rate change of stalagmite GLD1-stm4 is probably due to the lack of dating between 5023 and 4197 yr BP (Fig. 4). Another wetter period ca. 3800–3200 yr BP is suggested by fast growths of stalagmite GLD1-stm4 (Fig. 4).
Under isotopic equilibrium precipitation, stalagmite calcite $\delta^{18}O$ depends mainly on the temperature of calcite-water fractionation and on the $\delta^{18}O$ of drip water that is controlled by local rainfall $\delta^{18}O$ (Genty et al., 2014). Observations from the IAEA network show that the rainfall $\delta^{18}O$ at many Mediterranean stations (including one in Algiers, Algeria) are partly controlled by the amount of rainfall (IAEA, 2005), which is coherent with previous studies that most stalagmite $\delta^{18}O$ records from the Mediterranean regions were interpreted to primarily reflect changes in rainfall amount (e.g., Bar-Matthews and Ayalon, 2011; Bar-Matthews et al., 1997, 2003; Drysdale et al., 2004, 2006; Zanchetta et al., 2014). The temperature effect on calcite-water fractionation, on the other hand, is partly counteracted by the condensation temperature effect on rainfall $\delta^{18}O$ (Drysdale et al., 2006). We note that this interpretation may particularly hold true for the present study because the regional temperature seems to has been relatively constant since the Mid-Holocene (Martrat et al., 2004).

The rainfall signal imprinted in the Gueldaman GLD1 stalagmite $\delta^{18}O$ is probably enhanced by two other processes - evaporation and disequilibrium isotopic fractionation (Mickler, 2006), partly due to the large cave entrance. It has been recently shown that evaporation in semiarid caves could cause 4–5‰ $\delta^{18}O$ enrichments of a wide range of drip waters (Cuthbert et al., 2014). The Hendy test (i.e. studying the isotopic variation in contemporaneous layer, Hendy, 1971) made on three different levels in stalagmite GLD1-stm2 show that the $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ correlate ($R = 0.86, 0.89, \text{and } 0.93$) and increase by up to $\sim 1\%$ from the center toward the edge, suggesting that stalagmite calcites precipitate out of isotopic equilibrium. These two processes may partly explain the significant correlation of $\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$ profiles ($R = 0.87$ for GLD-stm2 and $R = 0.92$ for GLD1-stm4). Moreover, the larger amplitudes of isotopic variations in stalagmite GLD1-stm4 (Fig. 4) can be explained by the likelihood of suffering from more evaporative and non-equilibrium enrichments due to lower drip rates, being indicated by its smaller diameters (Fig. 2).

Stalagmite $\delta^{13}C$ variations have several potential causes, the most likely, considering the studied location and time interval, being variations in soil CO$_2$ input and water
flow rate (Genty et al., 2001; McDermott, 2004). Despite the fact that soil biogenic CO₂ production varies according to both temperature and moisture level, moisture is likely to be a major controlling factor due to low temperature variability of the considered time interval and limited water availability under semiarid climates. Moisture also influences water flow rate and thus the CO₂ loss during the prior calcite precipitation (Fairchild et al., 2000). Low flow rate under diminished moisture condition enhances CO₂ loss due to longer travel time and preferential ¹²C removing from solution, causing enrichments in stalagmite δ¹³C (Johnson et al., 2006; Mickler, 2004). Eventually, atmospheric rainfall largely determines the moisture level and controls the δ¹³C variations. Therefore, the significant correlation between δ¹³C and δ¹⁸O suggest not only a disequilibrium fractionation but a common control of rainfall.

Consequently, synchronous variations in two isotopes can be interpreted in terms of water balance change: a prolonged severe drought can be inferred using the most elevated δ¹⁸O and δ¹³C values during ca. 4400–3800 yr BP together with drier events at ca. 6200, ca. 5700–5400, ca. 5200, and ca. 4500 yr BP (Fig. 4). The average δ¹⁸O value during ca. 4400–3800 yr BP is enriched by about 3.5 ‰ relative to the modern calcite values, suggesting that the climatic conditions were likely drier during the Mid-Holocene than at present. Thus, the current climate in N-Algeria appears to be within the range of natural variability and the 4400–3800 yr BP climate anomaly may be considered analogous to end numbers of the most recent and ongoing drying.

4.2 Mid-Holocene climate anomalies across the Mediterranean basin and their dynamic implications

High-resolution absolute-dated Mid-Holocene climate records are rare in the W-Mediterranean basin, however, there are a number of paleoenvironment studies using sediment cores that documented large oscillations in vegetation ecology and indicated climate anomalies during the Mid-Holocene. A drying trend from ca. 4600 cal yr BP onwards was inferred, based on the decreasing pollen ratio of deciduous broad-leaf vs. evergreen sclerophyllous taxa at Capestang in the Mediterranean S-France (Jalut et al., 1996; 2000).
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At a nearby site in NE-Spain, the Mid-Holocene most arid condition at ca. 4800–4000 cal yr BP was interpreted using maximum salinity values, more positive organic carbon isotope values, and decreased algal productivity in Estanya Lake (Morrellon et al., 2009). In the Mediterranean S-Spain, desertification phases at ca. 5200 cal yr BP and ca. 4100 cal yr BP were inferred using multiple palaeoecological indicators including pollen, microcharcoal, spores of terrestrial plants, fungi, non-siliceous algae, and other microfossils in Siles Lake (Carrión, 2002). Similar environment changes have also been observed in the Central Mediterranean basin, as shown by a synthesis study of lacustrine palynological data which suggested a dryness peaking at ca. 4000 cal yr BP (Sadori et al., 2011). Increasing aridity at ca. 5000–4000 cal yr BP was suggested to explain the increases in non-tree pollen percentage and micro charcoal content in the Lago di Pergusa Lake, Sicily (Roberts et al., 2011; Sadori and Giardini, 2007; Sadori and Narcisi, 2001). Close to our site, a study at Preola Lake, in E-Sicily, documented a significant low stand lake level at ca. 4500–4000 cal yr BP suggesting extreme aridity (Magny et al., 2011). Although the sampling and dating resolutions in most of the above studies are low, they are in good agreement with the present study regarding the 5200 yr BP dry event, the drying trend from 4800–4600 yr BP onward, and the 4400–3800 yr BP drought.

Recently, evidence of detailed Mid-Holocene climate change has been shown in high-resolution U/Th-dated speleothem records from the Central and E-Mediterranean basin. Drysdale et al. (2006) demonstrated a severe Mid-Holocene drought through multiproxy analysis on a flow stone from Renella Cave, Central Italy. The following work at nearby Corchia Cave by Zanchetta et al. (2007) revealed similar climatic condition during the Mid-Holocene (Fig. 5). In the E-Mediterranean, Bar-Matthews and Ayalon (2011) explicitly discussed the Mid-Holocene climate by high-resolution dating and isotopic analysis on speleothems from Soreq Cave in Israel (Fig. 5). Zanchetta et al. (2014) made comparisons between records from the Central and E-Mediterranean; they identified coeval dry events at ca. 5600 and ca. 5200 yr BP based on comparable enrichments in speleothem $\delta^{18}O$ from Corchia Cave and Soreq Cave.
Detailed comparisons of these speleothem records with the Gueldaman GLD1 stalagmite records reveal similar variations. In particular, elevated $\delta^{18}O$ values in the Gueldaman GLD1 stalagmites at ca. 5700–5400, ca. 5200 and ca. 4400–3800 yr BP are all identified in speleothems from the Renella, Corchia and Soreq suggesting that anomalous dry conditions synchronously developed across the Mediterranean basin (Fig. 5). These observations indicate that climates across the Mediterranean might have been under an identical regional scale climate regime during the Mid-Holocene.

It has been suggested that climate change in mid-latitude Europe and Mediterranean might arise from a perturbation of the Westerlies from a high-latitude trigger (i.e. the North Atlantic) (Bond et al., 2001; Drysdale et al., 2006; Zanchetta et al., 2014) or from dynamics within the tropics (Booth et al., 2005; Hoerling and Kumar, 2003). The three dry periods in the Mediterranean are broadly in phase with the ice rafting events in the subpolar North Atlantic (Bond et al., 2001), which suggests some links with the N-Atlantic circulation. Based on the coincidence with the elevated wind strength in Iceland (Jackson et al., 2005), Zanchetta et al. (2014) argued that the dry events at ca. 5700–5400 and ca. 5200 yr BP might be caused by reduction of vapor advection into the Mediterranean, due to the intensification and northward displacement of the N-Atlantic Westerlies. However, lacking evidence of strengthened wind in the fourth millennium BP argues for a different forcing of the 4400–3800 yr BP drought. The considerably lower amplitude of the Bond ice rafting event at ca. 4200 yr BP than at the fifth millennium BP also indicates a varied ocean–atmosphere circulation state. The modern mid-latitude droughts (1998–2002) have been linked to the increased warmth in equatorial oceans (Booth et al., 2005). During this event, SST changes lead to persistent high pressure over the Northern Hemisphere's mid-latitudes, causing widespread synchronous drought (Hoerling and Kumar, 2003). However, the challenge in applying the dynamics under the 1988–2002 drought toward an understanding of the 440–3800 yr BP climate anomaly is that while the mechanism operate effectively on short time scales, it has never been tested as to whether they could produce an anomalous climate mode for several centuries (Berkelhammer et al., 2013). General circulation
model simulations that begin with realistic boundary conditions and are perturbed with a variety of forcings have been successfully undertaken to understand potential mechanisms that lead to the 8200 yr BP event (Tindall and Valdes, 2011). Similar efforts would be a useful starting point to produce hypotheses for the dynamical underpinnings of the 4200 yr BP event.

4.3 Possible relations between climate anomaly and cultural change

A regional drought ca. 4200 yr BP has been widely linked to ancient cultural changes in the E-Mediterranean and the Asia (Staubwasser and Weiss, 2006), though, in many cases, climatic inferences have been derived from sites that are distant to these human settlements. For instance, evidence of reduced precipitation from elevated $\delta^{18}O$ of Irish stalagmites and increased dust input into the Gulf of Oman sediment core has been suggested to contribute to the collapse of the Akkadian imperial in Mesopotamia (Bar-Matthews and Ayalon, 2011; Cullen et al., 2000; Weiss et al., 1993). Similarly, a dry period inferred from reduced discharge of the Indus river and elevated $\delta^{18}O$ of a NE-Indian stalagmite has been linked with the Indus Valley de-urbanization (Berkelhammer et al., 2013; Staubwasser et al., 2003; Staubwasser and Weiss, 2006). A recent study in ancient Near East, however, revealed that the regional impact of the drought on ancient civilizations, being influenced by geographic factors and human technology, were highly diverse even within spatially limited cultural units (Riehla et al., 2014). This highlights the need for caution when linking human activities from a site to the evidence of climate oscillations from another one.

The present study in the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave provides an opportunity to test climate–culture relations by comparing in situ archeological sequences and high resolution paleoclimate records, thereby avoiding the uncertainty of inter-site correlation arising from complex spatial heterogeneity in climate and demography.

To facilitate the comparison, stalagmite-inferred climate changes at the cave site during ca. 6200–3200 yr BP are separated into four stages 1–4 (Table 3):
Stage 1 (~6200–5100 yr BP): wet, superimposed by several centennial-scale drier events;

Stage 2 (~5100–4400 yr BP): wettest, ending with a ~200-yr-long shift from the wettest to extreme dry conditions;

Stage 3 (~4400–3800 yr BP): a drought-like climatic anomaly;

Stage 4 (~3800–3200 yr BP): relatively wet.

In parallel, from the abundance of archaeological remains (especially bone, charcoal and pottery) (Fig. 6), the temporal evolution of past cave occupations can be separated into five phases 0–4 (Table 3):

Phase 0 (~7002–6003 cal yr BP): permanent and intensive occupation;

Phase 1 (~6003–4918 cal yr BP): permanent but less intensive occupation;

Phase 2 (~4918–4403 cal yr BP): permanent and most intensive occupation;

Phase 3 (~4403–1484 cal yr BP): abandonment of the cave/occasional visits

Phase 4 (~1484 cal yr BP–): re-occupation of the cave

Correlations can be identified when comparing the climatic and archaeological records, though this does not necessarily mean that occupation of the cave depends merely on climate (Table 3; Fig. 7). When the climate was wet and variable ca. 6200–5100 yr BP (Stage 1), the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave preserved a few bones and rare charcoals and potteries (Phase 1) (Fig. 7). When the climate was wettest ca. 5100–4400 yr BP (Stage 2), the most abundance of bones, charcoals and potteries suggests a permanent and more intensive occupation of the cave (Phase 2) (Fig. 7). More striking is the drought-like climate anomaly that has been establishing ca. 4400–3800 yr BP (Stage 3), in which the cave was abandoned for ca. 3000 years (indicated by a dramatic decrease in anthropogenic remains, especially charcoal and pottery) (Phase 3) (Fig. 7). The rarer
bones seen in this period imply that the cave might have been occasionally visited until its re-occupation at ca. 1484 cal yr BP (Fig. 7). These observations argue for links between climate and settlement activity especially during the 4200 yr BP climate anomaly. Water availability was likely crucial to maintain the Neolithic community at Gueldaman, N-Algeria and the prolonged severe drought ca. 4400–3800 yr BP might have played a role in triggering the settlement abandonment, indicating that the pastoral economy may not be as resistant, as commonly assumed, to climate anomaly in semiarid area.

Moreover, the sole piece of the bone from large ungulate (supposed to be elephant or rhinoceros) found at the depth of ∼ 110 cm (Kherbouche et al., 2014) was anchored by two calibrated $^{14}$C dates from present study between 6003 and 4913 cal yr BP, which is in line with the latest survival of large mammal species ($S$. antiquus, $E$. Mauritanicus, and $E$. melkiensis) at the proximate sites of N-Algeria during the Mid-Holocene (Faith, 2014 and references wherein). The extinction of the Mid-Holocene large mammal in N-Algeria was attributed to the competition with pastoralists and livestock for increasingly scarce water, corresponding with an abrupt climatic shift toward extreme aridity in the Sahara region ca. 5500 cal yr BP (i.e. the end of the Humid Africa Period, deMenocal et al., 2000; Faith, 2014). Recently, the timing of this climatic transition was refined to ca. 4900 yr BP ± 200 yr (McGee et al., 2013). In addition, a paleoenvironmental study in the Sahara revealed that the Mid-Holocene deteriorations of terrestrial ecosystem and climate culminated at ca. 4200–3900 cal yr BP (e.g., Kröpelin et al., 2006). Therefore, it is more likely based on evidence from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave and the proximate sites (Faith, 2014) that extinction of large mammal/ungulate in N-Algeria occurred during the prolonged drought ca. 4400–3800 yr BP.

5 Conclusions

It is increasingly clear based on a growing number of records spanning across much of mid-to-low latitudes, N-Europe, and the Atlantic ocean that there was a significant large scale climate anomaly at around 4200 yr BP (Booth et al., 2005). The 4200 yr BP
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radiity that had been suggested to affect the Early Bronze Age populations from the Aegean to ancient Near East was recently characterized by high-resolution speleothem records from the Central and E-Mediterranean basin (Bar-Matthews and Ayalon, 2011; Drysdale et al., 2006; Zanchetta et al., 2014). The new record presented here from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave in N-Algeria provides increased evidence of a prolonged severe drought ca. 4400–3800 yr BP, which suggests that the Mid-Holocene dryness spread to the W-Mediterranean of N-Africa.

Radiocarbon dating made on charcoals constrains reasonably well the age of archaeological deposits excavated inside the cave (Kherbouche et al., 2014) and reveals significant changes in human occupation during the last ca. 7000 years. Comparison of the stalagmite record with in situ archaeological sequence suggests synchronicity between climate and settlement activity. Relatively wet/dry periods coincide with the periods of more/less intensive human occupation. Particularly, the timing of the prolonged drought at ca. 4400–3800 yr BP blanket the onset of the cave abandonment event shortly after ca. 4403 cal yr BP, which argues a possible role of climate anomaly in this societal disruption. Further work on pollen-based reconstruction of vegetation/environment change from the excavation sequence and on refinement of the chronology of transitions between different occupation phases would potentially uncover the intrinsic relations among climate, environment and settlement. It is suggested that the methodology and the findings from the present study at the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave be applied and tested at other sites.
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Table 1. U/Th dates from MC-ICP-MS analyses of stalagmites GLD1-stm2 and GLD1-stm4 from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. Analytical errors are 2σ of the mean. U decay constants: \( \lambda_{238} = 1.55125 \times 10^{-10} \) (Jaffey et al., 1971) and \( \lambda_{234} = 2.82206 \times 10^{-6} \) (Cheng et al., 2013). Th decay constant: \( \lambda_{230} = 9.1705 \times 10^{-6} \) (Cheng et al., 2013). \( ^{234}\text{U} = (^{234}\text{U} / ^{238}\text{U})_{\text{activity}} - 1) \times 1000. \) \( ^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}} \) was calculated based on \( ^{230}\text{Th} \) age \( (T) \), i.e., \( ^{234}\text{U}_{\text{initial}} = ^{234}\text{U}_{\text{measured}} \times e^{\lambda_{234} \times T} \). Corrected \( ^{230}\text{Th} \) ages assume the initial \( ^{230}\text{Th} / ^{232}\text{Th} \) atomic ratio of \( 4.4 \pm 2.2 \times 10^{-6} \). Those are the values for a material at secular equilibrium, with the bulk earth \( ^{232}\text{Th} / ^{238}\text{U} \) value of 3.8. The errors are arbitrarily assumed to be 50%. \( \pm ^{108} \) BP stands for “Before Present” where the “Present” is defined as the year 2000 AD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(^{238}\text{U}) (ppb)</th>
<th>(^{232}\text{Th}) (ppt)</th>
<th>(^{230}\text{Th} / ^{232}\text{Th}) (atomic ( \times 10^{-5} ))</th>
<th>(^{234}\text{U}_{\text{measured}}) (measured)</th>
<th>(^{234}\text{U}_{\text{measured}}) (activity)</th>
<th>(^{234}\text{U}_{\text{measured}}) (uncorrected)</th>
<th>(^{234}\text{U}_{\text{measured}}) (corrected)</th>
<th>(^{230}\text{Th}) Age (yr)</th>
<th>(^{230}\text{Th}) Age (yr)</th>
<th>(^{230}\text{Th}) Age (yrBP)</th>
<th>Laboratory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-7</td>
<td>169 ± 0.1</td>
<td>396 ± 0</td>
<td>136 ± 1</td>
<td>863 ± 2.3</td>
<td>0.105 ± 0.001</td>
<td>6297 ± 40</td>
<td>6228 ± 72</td>
<td>863 ± 2.3</td>
<td>6228 ± 72</td>
<td>3277 ± 108</td>
<td>LSCE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-36</td>
<td>154 ± 0.2</td>
<td>1922 ± 20</td>
<td>137 ± 3</td>
<td>910 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.103 ± 0.000</td>
<td>6036 ± 29</td>
<td>5848 ± 136</td>
<td>923 ± 2.3</td>
<td>5835 ± 136</td>
<td>3174 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-98</td>
<td>160 ± 0.2</td>
<td>69 ± 2</td>
<td>3077 ± 73</td>
<td>776 ± 2.4</td>
<td>0.086 ± 0.000</td>
<td>5374 ± 29</td>
<td>5366 ± 29</td>
<td>788 ± 2.5</td>
<td>5353 ± 29</td>
<td>2671 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-180</td>
<td>152 ± 0.2</td>
<td>161 ± 3</td>
<td>1157 ± 25</td>
<td>644 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.074 ± 0.000</td>
<td>5036 ± 30</td>
<td>5018 ± 33</td>
<td>653 ± 2.1</td>
<td>5005 ± 33</td>
<td>2416 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-192</td>
<td>162 ± 0.1</td>
<td>182 ± 4</td>
<td>1158 ± 24</td>
<td>807 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.079 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4858 ± 16</td>
<td>4840 ± 20</td>
<td>618 ± 1.7</td>
<td>4827 ± 20</td>
<td>2448 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-213</td>
<td>175 ± 0.2</td>
<td>547 ± 11</td>
<td>390 ± 8</td>
<td>697 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.074 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4841 ± 33</td>
<td>4788 ± 50</td>
<td>707 ± 2.1</td>
<td>4775 ± 50</td>
<td>2145 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-286</td>
<td>169 ± 0.2</td>
<td>207 ± 4</td>
<td>980 ± 21</td>
<td>756 ± 1.8</td>
<td>0.073 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4601 ± 25</td>
<td>4581 ± 28</td>
<td>765 ± 1.9</td>
<td>4568 ± 28</td>
<td>1834 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-320</td>
<td>195 ± 0.3</td>
<td>384 ± 8</td>
<td>575 ± 12</td>
<td>759 ± 2.2</td>
<td>0.069 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4321 ± 26</td>
<td>4288 ± 34</td>
<td>769 ± 2.2</td>
<td>4276 ± 34</td>
<td>1207 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm2-340</td>
<td>194 ± 0.3</td>
<td>354 ± 7</td>
<td>612 ± 13</td>
<td>800 ± 2.9</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4172 ± 20</td>
<td>4142 ± 29</td>
<td>809 ± 2.9</td>
<td>4129 ± 29</td>
<td>1099 ± 108</td>
<td>Xian U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-10</td>
<td>105 ± 0.1</td>
<td>283 ± 6</td>
<td>415 ± 11</td>
<td>322 ± 1.5</td>
<td>0.068 ± 0.001</td>
<td>5734 ± 90</td>
<td>5675 ± 99</td>
<td>327 ± 1.6</td>
<td>5662 ± 99</td>
<td>1574 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-24</td>
<td>126 ± 0.1</td>
<td>983 ± 20</td>
<td>135 ± 3</td>
<td>347 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.064 ± 0.001</td>
<td>5311 ± 49</td>
<td>5143 ± 126</td>
<td>352 ± 1.9</td>
<td>5131 ± 128</td>
<td>1109 ± 108</td>
<td>Xi’an U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-30</td>
<td>106 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1362 ± 27</td>
<td>80 ± 2</td>
<td>298 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.001</td>
<td>5321 ± 57</td>
<td>5035 ± 210</td>
<td>302 ± 1.9</td>
<td>5023 ± 210</td>
<td>907 ± 108</td>
<td>Xi’an U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-47</td>
<td>96 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1104 ± 22</td>
<td>88 ± 2</td>
<td>290 ± 1.9</td>
<td>0.062 ± 0.001</td>
<td>5341 ± 63</td>
<td>5082 ± 194</td>
<td>294 ± 1.9</td>
<td>5070 ± 194</td>
<td>395 ± 108</td>
<td>Xi’an U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-70</td>
<td>224 ± 0.4</td>
<td>749 ± 15</td>
<td>254 ± 5</td>
<td>334 ± 2.5</td>
<td>0.051 ± 0.000</td>
<td>4282 ± 27</td>
<td>4210 ± 58</td>
<td>338 ± 2.6</td>
<td>4197 ± 58</td>
<td>191 ± 108</td>
<td>Xi’an U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-113</td>
<td>155 ± 0.2</td>
<td>130 ± 3</td>
<td>910 ± 20</td>
<td>363 ± 2.1</td>
<td>0.046 ± 0.000</td>
<td>3761 ± 29</td>
<td>3743 ± 32</td>
<td>367 ± 2.1</td>
<td>3730 ± 32</td>
<td>114 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-152</td>
<td>180 ± 0.3</td>
<td>582 ± 12</td>
<td>226 ± 5</td>
<td>373 ± 2.0</td>
<td>0.045 ± 0.000</td>
<td>3590 ± 25</td>
<td>3521 ± 54</td>
<td>376 ± 2.0</td>
<td>3508 ± 54</td>
<td>107 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLD1-stm4-195</td>
<td>175 ± 0.1</td>
<td>929 ± 19</td>
<td>131 ± 4</td>
<td>369 ± 1.7</td>
<td>0.042 ± 0.001</td>
<td>3403 ± 74</td>
<td>3290 ± 108</td>
<td>372 ± 1.8</td>
<td>3277 ± 108</td>
<td>3277 ± 108</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Radiocarbon dates from AMS analyses of charcoals from excavation sector S2 inside the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. * Kherbouche et al. (2014). Ages are reported in years before 2000 AD.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth Z (cm)</th>
<th>Square</th>
<th>Lab No. (SacA#)</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$^{14}$C Age ($\pm \sigma$; yr)</th>
<th>Median Age (yr)</th>
<th>Cal. Interval (2$\sigma$; yr)</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>M48</td>
<td>39 408</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>1600 ± 30</td>
<td>1482</td>
<td>1385–1604</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>N48</td>
<td>29 731</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>1610 ± 25</td>
<td>1484</td>
<td>1415–1547</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>N48</td>
<td>39 410</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>4020 ± 30</td>
<td>4495</td>
<td>4411–4785</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>86</td>
<td>N48</td>
<td>39 411</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>3975 ± 30</td>
<td>4416</td>
<td>4290–4569</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>91</td>
<td>M48</td>
<td>39 409</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>3945 ± 30</td>
<td>4403</td>
<td>4244–4522</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108</td>
<td>N47</td>
<td>36 982</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>4355 ± 30</td>
<td>4918</td>
<td>4851–5032</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>124</td>
<td>L48</td>
<td>23 883</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>5250 ± 35</td>
<td>6003</td>
<td>5924–6178</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132</td>
<td>L48</td>
<td>23 884</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>4260 ± 30</td>
<td>6025</td>
<td>5933–6178</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>147</td>
<td>M47</td>
<td>36 981</td>
<td>Charcoal</td>
<td>6120 ± 35</td>
<td>7002</td>
<td>6907–7157</td>
<td>This study</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3. Summary of the features of climate and human activity in different climate stages and occupation phases. Stages/phases 1–3 (bold) are presented in Fig. 7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Climate stage</th>
<th>Age (^{230}\text{Th yr})</th>
<th>Climate condition</th>
<th>Occupation phase</th>
<th>Age (^{14}\text{C cal yr})</th>
<th>Human activity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>~7002–6003</td>
<td>Permanent and intensive occupation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>~6200–5100</td>
<td>Wet &amp; oscillatory</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>~6003–4918</td>
<td>Permanent but less intensive occupation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>~5100–4400</td>
<td>Wettest, ending with a dramatic shift in the last ~200 years</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>~4918–4403</td>
<td>Permanent and most intensive occupation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>~4400–3800</td>
<td>Extremely dry</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>~4403–1484</td>
<td>Abandonment of the cave/occasional visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>~3800–3200</td>
<td>Relatively wet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>~1484–</td>
<td>Re-occupation of the cave</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1. The Gueldaman GLD1 Cave (36°26’ N, 4°34’ E, 507 m.a.s.l.). The top left shows the location of the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave, in the N-Algeria of W-Mediterranean basin; the low left shows a photo of cave entrance and local vegetation cover; the right panel shows maps of inner cave where stalagmites and archaeological deposits are collected.
Figure 2. U/Th dating of stalagmites GLD1-stm2 and GLD1-stm4 from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. U/Th dates and $2\sigma$ errors are shown next to sampling positions.
Figure 3. Age models of stalagmites GLD1-stm2 and GLD1-stm4 from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. The age models were calculated using the StalAge program (Scholz and Hoffmann, 2011). Note that the U/Th date of sample GLD1-stm4-47 was detected as a major outlier and not used in the final age model of stalagmite GLD1-stm4. The 2σ analytical uncertainty of each U/Th date (dot) is represented by the error bars, whereas the 95% uncertainty assessed from the model simulation is represented by thin curves.
Figure 4. The \( \delta^{18}\text{O}, \delta^{13}\text{C} \) and growth rate of stalagmites GLD1-stm2 and GLD1-stm4 from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. U/Th dates with 2\( \sigma \) errors are presented at the top. The isotopic ranges of modern calcites are also shown on the left (rectangles). Growth rates were calculated from the StalAge age model. Note that the extraordinarily high and episodic growth rate at ca. 4600 yr BP in stalagmite GLD1-stm2 and at ca. 3800 yr BP in stalagmite GLD1-stm4 are likely attributed to artificial simulations by the StalAge program and thus not discussed in terms of climate in the text.
Figure 5. Comparison of high-resolution Mid-Holocene stalagmite $\delta^{18}O$ records across the Mediterranean basin. From the top to bottom are stalagmite records from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave in N-Algeria of W-Mediterranean basin (this study), the Corchia Cave (Zanchetta et al., 2014) and the Renella Cave (Drysdale et al., 2006) in Central Italy of Central Mediterranean basin, and the Soreq Cave (Bar-Matthews and Ayalon, 2011; Kaufman et al., 1998) in Israel of E-Mediterranean basin. Different stalagmites from each area are represented in distinct colors. U/Th dates with $2\sigma$ errors are shown at the top of each curve. Ages are reported in years before 2000 AD.
Figure 6. Radiocarbon dating of anthropogenic deposits layers in excavation sector S2 inside the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. From the left to right are $^{14}$C dates of charcoal samples, anthropogenic deposit distribution, and a photo at depth across $\sim$ 75–88 cm showing a transition of layer from rich to rare anthropogenic deposits. Note that the gray colours highlight two phases with diminished anthropogenic remains (especially pottery and charcoal) at ca. 4403–1484 cal yr BP (depths of $\sim$ 75–60 cm) and ca. 6003–4918 cal yr BP (depths of $\sim$ 120–105 cm).
Figure 7. Comparison between evidence of ancient human occupation and of past climate change from the Gueldaman GLD1 Cave. Stages/phases 1–3 are same to those defined in Table 3.