Stratification of surface waters during the last glacial millennial climatic events: a key factor in subsurface and deep water mass dynamics.
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Abstract

The last glacial period was punctuated by abrupt climatic events with extrema known as Heinrich events and Dansgaard-Oeschger cycles. These millennial events have been the subject of many paleoreconstructions and model experiments in the past decades, but yet the hydrological processes involved remain elusive. In the present work, high resolution analyses were conducted on the 12-42 ka BP section of core MD99-2281 retrieved Southwest off Faeroes, and combined with analyses conducted in two previous studies (Zumaque et al., 2012; Caulle et al., 2013). Such a multiproxy approach, coupling micropaleontological, geochemical and sedimentological analyses, allows us to track surface, subsurface, and deep hydrological processes occurring during these rapid climatic changes. Records indicate that the coldest episodes of the studied period (Greenland stadials and Heinrich stadials) were characterized by a strong stratification of surface waters. This surface stratification seems to have played a key role in the dynamics of subsurface and deep water masses. Indeed, periods of high surface stratification are marked by a coupling of subsurface and deep circulations which sharply weaken at the beginning of stadials while surface conditions progressively deteriorate throughout these cold episodes; at the opposite, periods of decreasing surface stratification (Greenland interstadials) are characterized by a coupling of surface and deep hydrological processes, with progressively milder surface conditions and gradual intensification of the deep circulation while the vigor of the subsurface northward Atlantic flow remains constantly high. Our results also reveal different and atypical hydrological signatures during Heinrich stadials (HS): while HS1 and HS4 exhibit a “usual” scheme with reduced overturning circulation, a relatively active North Atlantic circulation seems to have prevailed during HS2, and HS3 seems to have experienced a re-intensification of this circulation at mid-event. Our findings thus bring valuable information to better understand hydrological processes occurring in a key area during the abrupt climatic shifts of the last glacial period.
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1 Introduction

The last glacial period is characterized by abrupt climate oscillations. This millennial to submillennial climatic variability was first evidenced in Greenland atmospheric temperature records as oscillations occurring every 1-4 ka and known as Dansgaard-Oeschger events (DO) (Dansgaard et al., 1993, Bond et al., 1993). DO are characterized by a rapid transition occurring in a few decades from cold (Greenland stadial – GS) to warm (Greenland interstadial – GI) conditions. These events have been widely identified in marine archives from the subpolar North Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas as coeval changes in surface and deep hydrology (e.g. Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b; Kissel et al., 1999; Van Kreveld et al., 2000; Rahmstorf, 2002). Moreover, during GS (including the most massive, i.e. Heinrich stadials – HS), increases of iceberg and ice-rafter debris (IRD) delivery from the boreal ice-sheets are recorded (e.g. Heinrich, 1988; Bond et al., 1993; Bond and Lotti, 1995; Elliot et al., 2001).

Despite a large number of paleoreconstructions and model experiments focusing on this millennial climatic variability, processes involved still remain elusive and different mechanisms are invoked. Most of the considered theories involve changes in the meridional overturning circulation, either as the cause (e.g. Alvarez-Solas et al., 2010) or the consequence (e.g. Manabe and Stouffer, 1995; Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, 2001; Levine and Bigg, 2008) of these periodic ice-sheet instabilities.

In order to better understand these phenomena, the importance of working on high resolution records coming from key locations has been highlighted. Previous studies of marine cores located around major sills in between the North Atlantic Ocean and the Nordic Seas have shown the strong potential of this buffer area to track this variability (see references herein). Most of these studies agree with coeval oscillations of the meridional overturning circulation, depicted as weaker loop of Atlantic inflow and deep overflow from the Nordic Seas during GS and HS and inversely during GI (e.g. Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b, 2002a; Moros et al., 1997, 2002; Kissel et al., 1999, 2008; Van Kreveld et al., 2000; Elliot et al., 2002; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004; Ballini et al., 2006; Dickson et al., 2008). Some of them (Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004; Van Kreveld et al., 2000; Dokken et al., 2013), on the basis of indirect proxies of sea surface conditions, suggest a strong stratification of the water column and the presence of a halocline during GS, which might have affected the oceanic circulation at greater depths.

Our study uses proxies that give access to (sub)surface (foraminifera assemblages and geochemical analyses on their shells) and deep water mass dynamics (sediment grain-size
measurements and magnetic susceptibility), coupled to previously published reconstructions of sea-surface sensu stricto conditions obtained from dinoflagellate cyst (dinocyst) assemblages (Zumaque et al., 2012, Caulle et al., 2013). All analyses were conducted at centennial to millennial time scales on core MD99-2281 located southwest off Faeroe Islands. This multiproxy approach allows us (i) to directly evidence the past structure of the upper water column and especially if stratification did occur, (ii) to track this stratification evolution during the millennial abrupt events, and (iii) to evaluate its interaction with subsurface and bottom circulations.

2 Environmental setting and paleoceanographic interests

Core MD99-2281 (60.3418°N, -9.4557°E, 1197 m water depth) was retrieved during the IMAGES V – GINNA cruise on the RV Marion Dufresne (Labeyrie et al., 1999). The coring site is located southwest off Faeroes, at the southern foot of the Faeroe Bank and north of the Rockall Trough (Fig. 1).

This area constitutes a nodal point regarding modern oceanic circulation, as it is influenced by (i) the warm and salty Atlantic surface waters (T>5 °C, S>35.0; Hansen and Osterhus, 2000) conveyed by the poleward current of the North Atlantic Drift (NAD), and (ii) the intermediate and deep, cold and less saline waters (T<3 °C, S<35.0; Hansen and Osterhus, 2000) overflowing from the Nordic Seas (e.g. Kuijpers et al., 1998a,b, 2002; Hansen and Osterhus, 2000) (Fig. 1). These intermediate and deep water masses are formed within the Nordic Seas and are usually grouped under the name of Iceland Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW; Borenäs and Lundberg, 2004). At present, a branch of ISOW exits the Norwegian Sea by flowing southward through the Faeroe-Shetland Channel and then is divided into two branches: a northern, major and permanent branch, and a southern, minor, and non-permanent one (Fig. 1b). Our site is located beneath the southern branch, which intermittently crosses the Wyville-Thompson Ridge (a topographic barrier culminating at around 600 meters water-depth) and flows southward (e.g. Boldreel et al., 1998; Kuijpers et al., 1998a,b, 2002; Hansen and Østerhus, 2000). Nevertheless, according to Boldreel et al. (1998), the coring site is located within the area unaffected by strong current activities, with sedimentation resulting from pelagic sediments deposited in a low-energy, deep-water environment.

This system of water-mass exchange is known to have been very sensitive to the millennial-scale climatic variability of the last glacial period (e.g. Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b, 2002a;
Moros et al., 1997, 2002; Kissel et al., 1999, 2008; Van Kreveld et al., 2000; Elliot et al., 2002; Eynaud et al., 2002; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004, 2008; Ballini et al., 2006; Dickson et al., 2008; Zumaque et al., 2012; Caulle et al., 2013). This is particularly true for the Faeroe region which was then under the direct influence of the proximal European ice-sheets (i.e. the Fennoscandian and the British-Irish ice-sheets; Fig. 1a) whose decays/built-up have modulated the oceanic and climatic dynamics. Therefore, core MD99-2281 is expected to have recorded changes in the vigor of the NAD penetration and ISOW overflow in relation to European ice-sheets history.

3 Material and methods
3.1 Stratigraphy of the core

The age model of core MD99-2281 conforms to the previous published one from Zumaque et al. (2012) and Caulle et al. (2013). As recommended by several paleoceanographic studies in this area (e.g. Kissel et al., 1999, 2008; Laj et al., 2000; Elliot et al., 2002; Eynaud et al., 2002; Ballini et al., 2006; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2009; Dokken et al., 2013), the age model is constrained by AMS $^{14}$C dates (in the case of our study, 10 dates measured on planktonic foraminifera monospecific samples), combined to additional tie-points (18 in our case) obtained by comparing the magnetic susceptibility record of core MD99-2281 to the $\delta^{18}$O signal of NGRIP ice-core (GICC05 time scale; Andersen et al., 2006; Svensson et al., 2008; Wolff et al., 2010; see Figs. 2, 3, 4 and 5 where dates are illustrated by red stars and tie-points by blue stars along the age axis). The age model was finally established on the basis of a linear interpolation between ages and tie-points (see Zumaque et al., 2012 for further explanations). It is important to note that supplementary stratigraphic control points, independent from climate, were retrieved from the record of the changes in the Earth’s magnetic field (analysis performed at the LSCE), namely the Mono Lake and the Laschamps events. Those additional tie points give confidence in the established age model (see Fig. 4 in Zumaque et al., 2012).

The coring site experienced relatively high sedimentation rates during the last glacial period (between 23 and 408 cm.ka$^{-1}$, with a mean around 61 cm.ka$^{-1}$ for the studied section, i.e. 300-2090 cm or ~ 12-42 ka cal BP, cf. Fig. 4). These rates, combined to our sampling frequency (every ~ 10 cm on the studied section for all analyses except for grain size analyses on a short portion of the core and for magnetic susceptibility measurements, cf. Sect. 3.5.), lead to
appropriate degrees of temporal resolution (between ~ 25 and ~ 525 years, with a mean of ~ 165 years) to study the last glacial rapid climatic variability at an infra-millennial scale.

### 3.2 Planktonic foraminifera

Planktonic foraminifera analyses were performed on the > 150 µm fraction, on the same samples as those used for dinocyst analyses. A minimum of 350 specimens per sample were counted, and thirteen taxa were identified in the studied section. The dominant and major subordinate species are usually classified as surface/mid- to mid/deep dwelling taxa (*Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (sinistral coiling), *Globigerina bulloides*, *Turborotalita quinqueloba*, *N. incompta* and *Globigerinita glutinata*) potentially living between 0 and 300 meters water depths according to literature (e.g. Schiebel et al., 2001, Table 3 in Staines-Urías et al., 2013 and references therein). Abundances of each species were calculated relative to the total sum of planktonic foraminifera. Counts of total benthic foraminifera were also performed, and planktonic and benthic foraminifera total concentrations (number of specimens g\(^{-1}\) of dried sediment) were calculated.

Quantitative reconstructions of foraminifera-derived temperatures (hereafter “F-Temp”) were obtained using a transfer function applied to planktonic foraminifera assemblages. This transfer function has been developed by Eynaud et al. (2013). It has already been described in several previous studies (e.g. Matsuzaki et al., 2011; Penaud et al., 2011; Sánchez Goñi et al., 2012, 2013; Mary et al., 2015), but hereafter is a brief summary of the technical aspects of this method. The modern analogue technique (MAT, see Guiot and de Vernal, 2007, 2011a,b for a review of this technique) was applied and performed with the R software (R version 2.7.0; http://www.r-project.org/), using the ReconstMAT script developed by J. Guiot (BIOINDIC package, https://www.eccorev.fr/ spip.php?article389). The modern planktonic foraminifera database used here combines two databases previously developed within the MARGO framework (Kucera et al., 2005; Hayes et al., 2005). It includes modern assemblages and modern hydrological parameters from 1007 sites distributed over the North Atlantic Ocean and Mediterranean Sea. Modern hydrological parameters are annual and seasonal (mean winter: January/February/March, mean spring: April/May/June, mean summer: July/August/September, and mean fall: October/November/December) oceanic temperatures extracted at 10 meters water depth (with the WOA Sample tool especially built for the MARGO exercise, i.e. Schäfer-Neth and Manschke, 2002). Statistical treatments rely on the calculation of dissimilarity indexes between the fossil and modern spectra, leading to
the selection of the five best analogues. Quantifications rely on a weighted average of
temperature values associated with the five best modern analogues. For the present study, we
will use the mean summer and mean winter F-Temp reconstructed with RMSEP of 1.3 °C and
1.2 °C respectively.

Stable oxygen isotope measurements (δ¹⁸O) were also performed on monospecific samples of
Neogloboquadrina pachyderma (some previously reported in Zumaque et al., 2012 and Caulle
et al., 2013, as well as new measurements). For each sample (the same as those used for F-
Temp reconstructions), 5 to 6 specimens (i.e. ~ 65 µg mean weight aliquots) were hand-
picked from the 200-250 µm size fraction. From 300 to 1190 cm (~ 12-27 ka BP, 90 samples)
measurements were done at LSCE laboratory using a Finnigan MAT 251 mass spectrometer.
The mean external reproducibility of carbonate standard NBS19 was ± 0.05‰. From 1200 to
2090 cm (~ 27-42 ka BP, 90 samples), measurements were performed at EPOC laboratory
with an Optima Micromass mass spectrometer. Reproducibility of NBS19 was ± 0.03‰.
Those two spectrometers are inter-calibrated thus allowing us to directly compare both
records. In both cases, values are given versus Vienna Pee Dee Belemnite (VPDB) standard.

To estimate past changes in seawater isotopic composition (δ¹⁸Oₛₜ), we used the
depth range where N. pachyderma calcifies, i.e. from a few tens of
meters to around 250 meters water depth (e.g. Carstens et al., 1997; Simstich et al., 2003;
Peck et al., 2008; Jonkers et al., 2010). Nevertheless, it should be kept in mind that this signal
is not corrected from the rapid ice volume fluctuations associated with Marine Isotopic Stage
3 (MIS3) collapse events, as those fluctuations still remain not fully understood and
discrepancies still exist between the various sea-level reconstructions (Siddall et al., 2008).
Quantitative estimations of salinities were not carried out as large uncertainties remain
concerning the temporal stability of the relation linking local $\delta^{18}O_{SW}$ to salinity, even if a recent study, using atmospheric isotopic model, tends to minimize these uncertainties within our study area (Caley and Roche, 2013).

3.3 Dinocysts

Dinocyst specific determination, counting, and estimates of past sea-surface estimates (through transfer function applied to dinocyst assemblages) were performed within the framework of two previous studies: Caulle et al. (2013) for the 12-27 ka BP section of the studied core, and Zumaque et al. (2012) for the 27-42 ka BP section. Methods for palynological preparation, identification, counts, calculation of abundances, and dinocyst transfer function are described in these two studies. Data stemming from those analyses and reused in the present study are the concentration of modern (i.e. Quaternary) dinocysts (number of cysts cm$^{-3}$ of dried sediment), the relative abundances of some selected dinocyst species, the concentration of coenobia of freshwater micro-algae *Pediastrum* spp. (number cm$^{-3}$ of dried sediment), and quantitative reconstructions of mean summer (July-August-September) and mean winter (January-February-March) sea-surface temperatures (SST) (with root mean square errors of prediction – RMSEP – of 1.5 °C and 1.05 °C respectively), mean summer and mean winter sea-surface salinities (SSS; respective RMSEP of 2.4 and 2.3 psu; see Caulle et al., 2013 and Zumaque et al., 2012 for further details).

3.4 Ecological indices

Some ecological indices were calculated both on dinocyst and planktonic foraminifera assemblages. Diversity is represented by the H index: $\sum_{i=1}^{s}[(n_i/N) \times \ln(n_i/N)]$, where $n_i$ is the number of specimens recorded for taxa i, s the total number of taxa and N the total number of individuals counted for each sample (Shannon and Weaver, 1949). Dominance corresponds to $(n' + n'') / N$ where $n'$ is the number of individuals of the more abundant species, $n''$ the number of individuals of the second more abundant species, and N the total number of specimens counted for each sample (cf. Goodman, 1979).
3.5 Sedimentological proxies

Grain-size measurements were performed on a Malvern MASTER SIZER S at EPOC laboratory (University of Bordeaux). Subsamples of bulk sediment were taken every ~ 10 cm between 40 and 2170 cm (~ 11-43 ka BP), except between 1791.5 and 1940.5 cm (~ 37-40 ka BP) where sampling was done every centimeter (371 samples in total); those subsamples did not receive any chemical pretreatment before being analyzed. But to ensure that results obtained from non-pretreated sediment adequately reflect grain-size variations of the terrigenous fraction in our study area, a second set of analyses was conducted on carbonate-free and organic-free subsamples (pretreatment with HCl 10% and H₂O₂ 35%) taken every ~10 cm from 1593 to 1791 cm (~ 33.6-37 ka BP, same depth as the non-pretreated subsamples). Results derived from the bulk subsamples will be further represented as a mapping of the relative percentages of the different grain-size fractions along core. Some grain-size parameters were additionally calculated: median (D50), percentiles 10 and 90 (D10 and D90), mean grain size of the 10-63 µm fraction, mode, and silt ratio. The mode corresponds to the mean diameter of the most abundant size fraction. The silt ratio, reflecting size variations in the silt fraction, corresponds to the ratio of the percentage of the coarse silt fraction (26-63 µm) over the percentage of the fine silt fraction (10-26 µm).

Large Lithic Grains (LLG) concentrations (nb. of grains g⁻¹ of dry sediment) were determined in the > 150 µm sediment fraction of the studied core, in the same samples as those used for foraminifera and dinocyst analyses. As in many works conducted in the study area (e.g. Elliot et al., 1998, 2001; Rasmussen et al., 2002a; Scourse et al., 2009), we assume that LLG contain a large proportion of ice-rafted debris, and thus consider this proxy as an indicator of floating ice (i.e. icebergs or coastal sea-ice) delivery to the site.

Magnetic Susceptibility was measured onboard every 2 cm with a GEOTEK Multi-Sensor Core Logger (Labeyrie and Cortijo, 2005). More detailed magnetic analyses were performed at the LSCE with a 45-mm diameter MS2-C Bartington coil on the MIS 3 section (see Zumaque et al., 2012). However, as the present study also focuses on MIS 2, we chose to present the continuous onboard signal which is furthermore very similar to the low field magnetic susceptibility record obtained at the LSCE (see Fig. 3 in Zumaque et al., 2012).

4 Results
As the age model and some raw data have already been shown in Zumaque et al. (2012) and Caulle et al. (2013), all data will be here presented and discussed according to a calendar BP age scale. Furthermore, those two previous studies already provided a detailed description of dinocyst assemblages and derived hydrological reconstructions. Therefore, except for the ecological indices and seasonality signals which are inherent to the present work, we will not describe these data here again.

### 4.1 Micropaleontological assemblages characteristics

Planktonic foraminifera concentrations vary from 0 to 2500 individuals/g of dry sediment, with a mean value of around 400, and highest values recorded during GI (Fig. 2c). Assemblages are clearly dominated by the polar taxon *N. pachyderma* (relative abundance ranging from 20 to nearly 100%, Fig. 2b), peaking during GS and HS. *G. bulloides, T. quinqueloba, N. incompta* and *G. glutinata* are major subordinate species in some intervals, in particular GI and the Last Glacial Maximum (LGM).

Dinocyst and foraminifera ecological indices fluctuate in phase with the abrupt climatic oscillations of the last glacial period (Figs. 2e and 2f). Planktonic foraminifera diversity and dominance are always negatively correlated, with low values of diversity and high values of dominance during GS and HS, and inversely during GI. Dinocysts diversity and dominance variations are mostly opposite, with generally higher values of diversity and lower values of dominance during GS and HS compared to GI; they appear covariant only along three very short intervals during the LGM around 20.7, 21.2 and 22.9 ka BP.

### 4.2 Sea-surface hydrological parameters

Planktonic foraminifera-derived mean summer temperatures (or mean summer F-Temp; Fig. 3b) vary between 2.5 and 10 °C, on average around 7 °C, and mean winter F-Temp range from -0.6 to 6.1 °C with a mean around 3 °C. These reconstructed F-Temp are lower than modern SST over the studied area which are around 11.7 and 8.6 °C on average for summer and winter seasons respectively (WOA09 data; Locarnini et al., 2010). Despite the gap of nearly 4 °C between these two signals, they both show similar trends with higher F-Temp during GI and lower values during GS and HS (Fig. 3b).

Local δ¹⁸Osw signal derived from foraminifera (here used as an indicator of local salinity changes) also responded to the millennial-scale variability (Fig. 3g). Values vary between
around -2 and 1‰, the lowest ones being recorded during HS1 and HS4 and the highest ones during GI, the LGM and towards the Holocene.

Seasonality signals derived from dinocysts and planktonic foraminifera (calculated as mean summer minus mean winter temperatures, Fig. 3c) are clearly different from each other. The dinocyst-derived seasonality record displays large variations, with higher values during GS and the LGM (maximum of 15.1 °C, for an average of 13.6 °C) and lower ones during GI (minimum of 5.7 °C). On the contrary, the foraminifera-derived seasonality signal does not exhibit any comparable variation throughout the studied period since values vary between 2.4 and 5.1 °C with a mean value of 4.1 °C.

LLG concentrations describe a general scheme rather similar to the local δ^{18}O_{SW} and F-Temp signals (Fig. 3). They are generally higher during GS and HS than during GI. Only two noticeable exceptions exist: a high LLG concentration during the second half of GI8, and very few LLG during most of HS3.

### 4.3 Deep-water proxies

The millennial-scale variability has also been well captured by proxies related to bottom conditions as shown in Fig. 4. Compared to stadials (i.e. GS and HS), GI are characterized by higher sedimentation rates, coarser grain-sizes (marked by D50 values up to 5 phi and D90 values up to 2.5 phi, and also evidenced on the grain-size mapping in Fig. 4c through the displacement towards the right, i.e. towards coarser grain-sizes, of the most abundant grain-size fractions, i.e. the ones colored from light blue to red), a higher proportion of the coarse silt fraction relative to the fine silt fraction, a coarser dominant fraction, higher magnetic susceptibility values, and higher benthic foraminifera concentrations. Among stadials, only HS2 exhibits a signature comparable to GI one. Note that except for magnetic susceptibility (and sedimentation rate), all the other deep-sea proxies seem to increase gradually throughout GI. It is visible for GI 11, 10 and 7, and particularly noticeable for GI 8; for shorter GI, this progressive trend is hardly or not distinguishable.

### 5 Discussion

#### 5.1 A reworked signal?

Considering the size of micro-organisms used in this study and the sedimentary processes occurring in the area, one could object that assemblages may not result from local deposition
only but also from lateral advectons including reworking of previously deposited material on proximal areas. In this case, our reconstructions would not reflect local surface and subsurface hydrology but a combination of allochthonous and autochthonous signals, furthermore mixed throughout time.

To identify and circumscribe these problems, we used the methodology recommended by Londeix et al. (2007) to identify reworked intervals in sedimentary records by combining diversity and dominance indices in microfossil communities. Indeed, according to these authors, ecologically inconsistent covariance between these two indices is attributed to mixing processes. In core MD99-2281, diversity and dominance are negatively correlated all along the 12-42 ka BP studied section regarding both planktonic foraminifera and dinocysts (except for three very short episodes during the LGM, a period not discussed in this study, and only for dinocysts, Fig. 2e and 2f), and so do not reveal any evidence of reworking.

5.2 Interpretation of proxies

5.2.1 Proxies of surface and subsurface hydrology

As shown in Fig. 3, hydrological signals derived from planktonic foraminifera (F-Temp and local δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{SW}\)) and from dinocysts (SST and SSS) share common features but also differ in some points through the studied period. Firstly, winter SST, winter F-Temp and summer F-Temp display similar variations and similar amplitudes of variation (with nonetheless noticeable discrepancies, e.g. during the LGM and between 32 and 27 ka cal BP), but are clearly different from dinocyst-derived summer SST. Indeed, summer SST show a clear opposite trend to the three other reconstructed temperature signals (with higher values during GS and HS), and they display values well above the ones of the three other signals as well as above the modern mean ones. Secondly, at stadial-interstadial transitions, dinocyst-derived SST and SSS display gradual increases/decreases whereas foraminifera-derived temperatures and local δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{SW}\) show more abrupt variations despite identical resolutions (Figs. 3b and 6a). Lastly, dinocysts mainly recorded a large seasonality with significant variations over the studied period whereas planktonic foraminifera recorded a low seasonality with very low or even nil fluctuations (Fig. 3c). As suggested in previous studies (e.g. de Vernal et al., 2005, 2006; Penaud et al., 2011), such discrepancies may result from differences in depth habitat of these organisms. Indeed, dinoflagellates are restricted to the photic layer while planktonic foraminifera may live deeper. This is particularly true for the dominant and subordinate foraminifera species identified in this study, since they do not bear any symbiont. According
to literature, the depth habitat of these species potentially ranges between 0 and 300 meters water depth (see Table 3 in Staines-Uríñás et al., 2013 and references therein). Besides, dinocyst-derived SSS are very low throughout most of the studied period (means of 31 and 32 psu for summer and winter SSS), and the main planktonic foraminifera species identified in our assemblages barely tolerate such salinities (Tolderlund and Bé, 1971). Therefore, we can reasonably consider here that dinocysts provide a record of the surface sensu stricto, whereas planktonic foraminifera recorded hydrological conditions of a larger section of the upper water column that we call hereafter the subsurface for simplicity. It is worth noting that F-Temp reconstructions cannot be considered as subsurface absolute reconstructions since temperatures in the modern database used for the transfer function are extracted at 10 meters water depth. However, interpreting them as subsurface relative estimations is coherent if we consider previous works focused on transfer functions applied to planktonic foraminifera assemblages (see Supplementary Information).

Seasonality values derived from dinocysts (averaged value over the studied period of ~ 13.6 °C, Fig. 3c) are ~ 4 times higher than the modern sea-surface seasonality value over the study area (~ 3.2 °C; calculated from WOA09 data as mean summer minus mean winter oceanic temperatures; Locarnini et al., 2010). It might seem surprising, but similar values are presently recorded in several areas around the world (at the outlet of the Gulf of St Lawrence, in the Baltic Sea and outlets of the bordering gulfs, in the Sea of Japan, the East China Sea, the Black Sea, and the Caspian Sea, according to WOA09 data, Locarnini et al., 2010). For some of these areas, such high seasonality contrasts are related to a stratification of the upper water column marked by the presence of a halocline (e.g. the Baltic Sea, Kullenberg, 1981; the outlet of the Gulf of St Lawrence, Banks, 1966). Indeed, the very low SSS recorded by dinocysts (which are well below the modern ones over the study area, equal to 35.3 psu according to WOA09 data, Antonov et al., 2010; Fig. 3d), as well as the presence of the freshwater micro-algae *Pediastrum* spp. (a marker of freshwater advection in surface; e.g. Eynaud et al., 2007), support the existence of a thin freshwater surface layer of low thermal inertia overlying the study area during most of the studied period. Similarly to present situations, this freshwater layer would have certainly been responsible for a strong stratification of the water column due to the presence of a halocline. Such a pattern is also qualitatively consistent since the most abundant dinocyst species is *B. tepikiense*, a taxon which displays a strong affinity for stratified surface waters characterized by a large
seasonality (Rochon et al., 1999). Furthermore, this interpretation explains why dinocyst (i.e. surface) signals are noisier than planktonic foraminifera ones.

Iceberg calving and associated meltwater inputs are potential initiator and feeder of this halocline. Ice-rafted debris have mainly been used as tracers of these iceberg surges. Here, we use LLG concentrations and assume, as generally admitted, that LLG are mainly constituted of IRD. Our LLG signal is indeed very similar to IRD records coming from many studies and sites in North Atlantic (e.g. Bond and Lotti, 1995; Elliot et al., 1998, 2001; Van Kreveld et al., 2000; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004; Dickson et al., 2008) which described higher ice-rafted debris concentrations during GS and HS and variable concentrations during the LGM. This supports the assumption that our LLG signal can be used as an indicator of iceberg delivery to the studied site. In this case, the resemblance between LLG concentrations and local δ18Osw and subsurface temperature (F-Temp) signals suggests that these latter signals are at least partly forced by iceberg calving and melting and associated cold freshwater releases. However, variations in the warm and salty northward Atlantic flow could also play a major role in the fluctuations of these signals. Many freshwater model experiments (e.g. Manabe and Stouffer, 1995; Ganopolski and Rahmstorf, 2001; Levine and Bigg, 2008) have indeed shown that these two processes are clearly linked, in the sense that (i) freshwater release weakens the Atlantic meridional overturning circulation and limits the northward extension of the NAD, and (ii) the larger the amount of released freshwater is, the more weaken the oceanic circulation is. Moreover, the correspondence between LLG and foraminifera-derived signals is only partial. Some delays (e.g. during H4, GS8, GS6) and incoherencies (e.g. the relatively long periods before and after HS2 with considerably low δ18Osw but almost no LLG) can indeed be noticed. This leads us to think that paleo-fluctuations of our foraminifera-derived signals are the result of the combined two phenomena: the warm and saline Atlantic water northward flow and its southward retreat, and the episodic cold and fresh water release associated with iceberg surges. Respective contributions of these two phenomena could seem difficult to dissociate, but in this study, dinocyst data provide valuable clues. Indeed, as mentioned above, dinocyst-derived SSS and seasonality signals are indicators of surface stratification. Then we can suppose that during periods of high surface stratification (i.e. periods when the halocline strongly hampers or even prevents mixing between surface and subsurface waters), variations of F-Temp and local δ18Osw should be principally due to variations in the NAD intensity. At the opposite, during periods of weaker surface stratification, and when iceberg calving occurred, variations of planktonic foraminifera-
derived parameters should be the result of the combination of meltwater inputs from the surface and NAD variations; but during periods of low stratification and without iceberg calving, subsurface hydrological variations should be due to NAD fluctuations only.

5.2.2 Proxies of deep water currents

Reconstructing past variations of the ISOW dynamics deserves to be attempted in this study as our multi-proxy approach provides various indicators of bottom current activities (Fig. 4). The first type of bottom flow proxy corresponds to parameters derived from grain-size measurements. These parameters (listed in Sect. 3.3 and below) have been widely employed in reconstructions of bottom current activity (e.g. McCave et al., 1995a,b; McCave, 2007; Bianchi and McCave, 1999; Hodell et al., 2009). Their use is based on the fact that bottom currents preferentially affect the silt fraction (10-63µm) by size-sorting, such as stronger currents induce a coarsening and an increase of the relative proportion of this size fraction. Basically, an intensification of the ISOW will be depicted as a coarsening of D10, D50, and D90 (Fig. 4c), an increase of the mean size of the 10-63 µm fraction (Fig. 4d) and of the silt ratio (Fig. 4e), a coarsening of the dominant mode towards values corresponding to the silt fraction or even coarser (Fig. 4f), and a grain size distribution showing a coarsening and an increase of the relative proportion of this coarse fraction (Fig. 4c). It is important to note that in the glacial North Atlantic Ocean, IRD < 150 µm could constitute a potential source of silt-size particulates which could bias the use of these parameters as bottom flow proxies (Prins et al., 2002). Nevertheless, in our case, LLG concentrations are generally higher during GS, i.e. when grain-size distribution and parameters indicate a general finning of the total sediment fraction – including silt fraction – and a predominance of the < 10 µm fraction. As the supplies of IRD > 150 µm (i.e. LLG) and < 150 µm are supposed to be synchronous, the impact of IRD inputs (fine as well as coarse ones) on the grain-size distribution seems to be minor, and so do not seem to bias the use of grain-size parameters as indicators of the bottom current strength. In a similar way, biogenic inputs could also influence grain-size distribution and bias the grain-size proxies. However, Fig. 4d, e and f show that the calculated grain-size parameters for the bulk samples and for the pretreated ones exhibit the same variations in terms of timing as well as in amplitude. Besides, grain-size analyses on pretreated samples were conducted on the core section where the content of CaCO₃ (data not shown) displays the largest variations and attains its maximal value over the studied portion of the core. This confirms that in our study area, grain-size variations of bulk sediment almost exclusively
reflect changes in the terrigenous fraction and so can be directly interpreted in terms of fluctuations of the bottom current intensity.

The second type of bottom flow proxy used in this study is the magnetic susceptibility (Fig. 4g). Kissel et al. (1999, 2009) have shown that, in areas distributed along the path of the deep water-masses feeding the North Atlantic Deep Water, magnetic susceptibility fluctuations are directly related to variations in the relative amount of magnetic particulates within the sediment; as those magnetic minerals principally originates from a unique source (the Nordic basaltic province), changes in magnetic susceptibility reflect changes in the efficiency of their transport mode from the source area to the study site, i.e. changes in the intensity of bottom currents. Hence, in our study area, higher values of magnetic susceptibility reflect higher ISOW energy.

Our last type of indicator of bottom flow activity corresponds to benthic foraminiferal concentrations (Fig. 4h). Indeed, in the study of different cores located in the study area, Rasmussen et al. (1997, 1999, 2002a) related this parameter to the activity of the ISOW, with high concentrations of benthic foraminifera associated with relatively strong bottom current influence and increased ventilation and supply of food, and conversely low benthic abundances related to more quiet deep-sea conditions with reduced fluxes of organic matter.

When looking at the evolution of all these proxies in core MD99-2281, it clearly appears that they all tend to the same general scheme: the ISOW was relatively active during GI and relatively reduced during GS (Figs. 4 and 5). These results are in accordance with findings from previous studies (e.g. Moros et al., 1997, 2002; Van Kreveld et al., 2000; Elliot et al., 2002; Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004; Ballini et al., 2006). Besides, the higher sedimentation rates recorded during GI (Fig. 4b) indicate that in our study area and during the studied period, the ISOW was responsible of a higher supply of sediment during episodes of high activity rather than of a winnowing of the clay fraction (< 10 µm).

One could argue that since the age model of the studied core is based on correlations between our magnetic susceptibility record and the δ¹⁸O signal of NGRIP ice-core (i.e. on the assumption that the ISOW was reduced during GS), we cannot make any supposition about the timing of changes in deep current intensity. This would be obviously true in the case of studies intending to precisely compare the timing of these changes relatively to the timing of Greenland atmospheric variations. But this is not the case of our study, which aims to compare the timing of deep circulation changes with the one of surface and subsurface...
hydrological variations (as deduced from the same sedimentological archive), and to compare the trends of all those fluctuations (progressive versus abrupt).

5.3 Hydrological signature during Dansgaard-Oeschger events and implications

MD99-2281 records are in general agreement with the usual climatic scheme depicted in previous studies and described in the introduction of this paper, i.e. iceberg surges and a weaker or shallower Atlantic meridional overturning circulation during GS, and conversely warmer surface conditions linked to a more northerly inflow of Atlantic surface waters and associated active deep water convection in the Nordic Seas during GI (cf. Fig. 5). This is especially noticeable with the strong and striking correlation between dinocysts, planktonic and benthic foraminifera abundances throughout all the studied period, which furthermore suggests a coupling of the productivity at the different layers of the water column.

However our records also reveal unusual features, such as the strong stratification of surface waters during GS. Previous studies from the subpolar North Atlantic (e.g. Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b, Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004 and Dokken et al., 2013 at study sites close to MD99-2281 site, Van Kreveld et al., 2000 in the Irminger Basin) already indirectly deduced from planktonic foraminifera data the presence of a halocline and a stratified water column during GS. In our study, dinocyst assemblages and dinocyst-derived surface hydrological parameters provide direct evidences of the presence of a thin freshwater surface layer and stratified surface waters during stadials, and therefore confirm the previous assumption based on indirect proxies (rather subsurface proxies).

Our records also show atypical progressive trends that can be depicted within GS and most of all within GI (Figs. 3, 5 and 6a). During GS, dinocyst data indicate a deterioration of surface conditions characterized by a more or less gradual decrease of winter SST (depending on the GS considered) while summer SST, surface stratification and seasonality remain high. Foraminifera-derived subsurface hydrological parameters and grain-size data show rapid transitions at the beginning of GS (especially noticeable for GS 10 and 8 for example) which denote an abrupt slowing down of the northward Atlantic flow (sharp decreases of F-Temp and local δ18Ow) and of the deep ISOW (marked decreases in grain-sizes, silt ratio and mean size of the silt fraction) at that time. Throughout GI, dinocyst data reveal progressively milder surface conditions marked by a gradual increase of winter SST in parallel with a gradual decrease of stratification and seasonality. Grain-size data also indicate a gradual
intensification of the ISOW flow with a maximal intensity at the end of these periods (particularly noticeable when looking at the silt ratio and the mean size of the 10-63 µm fraction, and highlighted by red arrows on Fig. 5). At the opposite, planktonic foraminifera data show that the subsurface reactivation of the NAD at the GS-GI transitions was more abrupt than shown within proxies of surface and deep-sea dynamics.

At first sight, our set of proxies thus denotes a decoupling between surface, subsurface, and deep-sea hydrological processes during DO. This is in agreement with previous studies which already suggested a decoupling between surface and subsurface (Moros et al., 2002) or subsurface and deep circulations (Rasmussen et al., 1996b). However, a detailed examination of our records reveals that subsurface and deep circulations are coupled during GS, i.e. when surface waters are highly stratified, and that surface and deep circulations are coupled during GI, i.e. when the stratification is reduced. This leads us to think that the surface stratification is a determinant factor for hydrological processes occurring at greater depth around the study area. We can therefore propose the following scenario which conciliates our records and highlights the importance of the water-column organization during millennial scale climatic events (Fig. 6b):

At the end of GS, the NAD rapidly extends northward again. However, the water column is still highly stratified and the near-surface halocline prevents heat exchange towards the atmosphere; heat is thus stored in the subsurface layer below the halocline. Subsurface waters are consequently not dense enough because too warm to sink and deep convection is nil or very limited (at least north of our study site). Then, at the beginning of GI, the halocline starts to be unstable (probably because of the accumulation of heat below). The stratification is then progressively reduced, and heat exchange (between subsurface and surface, and surface and atmosphere) becomes possible again. Subsurface Atlantic waters progressively mix with low salinity surface waters which progressively get saltier. They become sufficiently dense to sink, and deep convection is thus re-activated and progressively intensifies throughout the GI. As a consequence, the ISOW activity progressively strengthens and reaches its maximal vigor at the end of this period. Then, at the beginning of GS, iceberg discharges occur. The associated meltwater has several consequences on the stadial hydrology. First, the freshwater input rapidly propagates in the mixed surface-subsurface layer, lowers its salinity, strongly reduces deep convection, and thus weakens the NAD and the ISOW flows. Secondly, it contributes to the re-establishment of the freshwater surface layer and the associated
halocline, and to the progressive slight strengthening of the stratification. NAD and ISOW flows remain weak until the end of GS.

Rasmussen et al. (1996a,b) and Rasmussen and Thomsen (2004) proposed a similar scenario where an accumulation of heat below the fresh surface layer is responsible for the destabilization of the halocline and the abrupt release of a large amount of heat to the atmosphere, then causing the sudden Greenland atmospheric warming. On the basis of benthic assemblages from various cores located around Faeroe Islands (e.g. ENAM93-21; 62.7383°N; -3.9987°E; 1020 m water depth – ENAM33; 61.2647°N; -11.1609°E; 1217 m water depth), they suggested that relatively warm Atlantic intermediate waters keep on flowing into the Nordic Seas below the halocline during GS, and they attributed these warm Atlantic waters to the NAD. However, our results derived from planktonic foraminifera data do not indicate any significant flow of NAD directly below the halocline during GS (Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b and Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004 recorded indeed a total dominance of Neogloboquadrina pachyderma during stadials), but enables such a flow at deeper water depth. In this case, both the reactivation of the subsurface NAD at the end of GS and the continuous northward flow of Atlantic intermediate waters during GS may have participated in the accumulation of heat below the halocline, the destabilization of this latter, and then the sudden release of heat to the atmosphere at the GS-GI transition.

Dokken et al. (2013) also proposed a similar scenario for GS, with a fresh surface layer, a halocline, and an active Atlantic inflow just below it. This scenario was inferred from planktonic foraminifera data in core MD99-2284 (62.3747°N; -0.9802°E; 1500 m water depth). Considering the location of their study site close to the continental shelf edge, this shallow Atlantic inflow is not contradictory to our results which allow the presence of a narrow warm Atlantic inflow flattened against the shelf edge by the Coriolis force. Such a narrow flow would not be recorded in cores located further away from the shelf such as ours.

The observed gradual intensification of the ISOW flow during GI constitutes the most unusual and salient feature revealed by our data. However, a previous study from the Reykjanes Ridge (Snowball and Moros, 2003) also depicted a very similar pattern in magnetic susceptibility data and quartz to plagioclase ratio in cores LO09-18GC (58.9674°N; -30.6832°E; 1460 m water depth) and SO82-05GGC (59.1857°N; -30.9047°E; 1420 m water depth), with a progressive intensification of the Iceland-Scotland Overflow Water during GI followed by an abrupt reduction.
5.4 Different hydrological patterns during Heinrich stadials

Figure 5 clearly shows that the four HS recorded in the studied section of core MD99-2281 (HS1, HS2, HS3 (which can be divided in HS3a and HS3b, see below) and HS4) do not exhibit the same hydrological patterns. The only common feature corresponds to the harsh surface conditions deduced from dinocyst data and also depicted during GS, and characterized by the presence of a fresh water lid, a high seasonality and a relatively strong stratification of the water column. On the contrary, planktonic foraminifera data and deep-sea proxies show different signals, in amplitude or in trend, thus suggesting different subsurface and deep water mass dynamics (see Table 1).

HS1 and HS4 appear such as HS are usually described in the literature, i.e. with very low local δ₁⁸O_sw values indicative of very low salinities in the subsurface layer, and strongly reduced or even shut down northward Atlantic flow and deep-water overflow (according to foraminifera-derived data and grain-size data respectively). Compared to GS, our data indicate a more drastic reduction of the meridional overturning circulation and a more southerly location of the deep convection center during HS1 and HS4, in agreement with previous studies (e.g. Elliot et al., 2002; Rahmstorf, 2002).

At the opposite, HS2 presents a very atypical hydrological signature: grain-size data, in agreement with the magnetic susceptibility signal, indicate a relatively active ISOW; in parallel, subsurface records show F-Temp and local δ₁⁸O_sw comparable to most of GS ones but higher than the “classical” HS1 and HS4 and even than some GS. These results indicate the presence of saltier (and so denser) and slightly warmer subsurface waters bathing our study site, and thus denotes a slightly more active meridional overturning circulation and a more northerly center of convection during HS2 compared to “classical” HS. This would be besides in agreement with previous paleoreconstructions: in core Na 87-22 (located on the eastern banks of the Rockall Plateau; 55.4833°N; -14.6833°E; 2161 m water depth), Elliot et al. (2002) found benthic δ¹³C values during HS2 which are higher than HS1 and HS4 values and similar to GS values; according to the interpretation of this proxy made by the authors, it suggests that the reduction of deep-water formation and the northward migration of δ¹³C depleted southern source deep waters were less important during HS2 and stadials than during HS1 and HS4. Much farther away from our study area, in the Gulf of Cadiz, core MD99-2339 (35.88°N, -7.53°E; 1170 m water depth; Voelker et al., 2006) also provides indirect evidence of a more active North Atlantic overturning circulation. Indeed, paleoreconstructions of the strength of the Mediterranean Outflow Water (or MOW, which overflows from the
Mediterranean Sea to and within the Gulf of Cadiz) have shown that this bottom current has been particularly active during periods of weak Atlantic meridional overturning circulation such as GS and HS (e.g. Cacho et al., 2000; Voelker et al., 2006; Toucanne et al., 2007). Grain-size data of core MD99-2339 show indeed a clear intensification of the MOW during HS1, HS4, HS5, and most GS. However they do not indicate such a strengthening during HS2 (and HS3), and so could denote a more vigorous North Atlantic circulation. Furthermore, Scourse et al. (2009) evidenced higher IRD fluxes during HS2 (compared to other HS) in cores located west and north off Great Britain. According to the authors, these strong fluxes typify the maximal extent of the British Irish Ice-Sheet. In such a context, an Atlantic flow extending more northerly as compared to the other HS might have also contributed to enhance iceberg release from the British Irish Ice-Sheet.

Concerning HS3 (defined, as usually, as the interval starting at the end of GI 5 and ending at the start of GI 4), grain-size data tend to indicate a low ISOW activity throughout the interval. However, foraminifera-derived subsurface parameters show a tripartite structure with (i) low F-Temp and local δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{SW}\) values indicative of a weak NAD at the beginning and the end of the event and (ii) higher values pointing to a stronger NAD in the central part of the event. Besides, the magnetic susceptibility record shows two peaks around 29.5 and 30.5 ka BP coeval with high F-Temp and local δ\(^{18}\)O\(_{SW}\) values. Benthic foraminifera concentrations also display a peak concomitant with the first MS peak. Furthermore, Elliot et al. (2002) found a two-phased incursion of southern sourced waters (at the onset and the termination of the event) in core Na 87-22 from the Rockall Plateau and core SU90-24 from the Irminger Basin (62.0667°N; -37.0333°E; 2100 m water depth). Hence, all of these records suggest that HS3 might have been a three-phased event with classical disruptions of the overturning circulation at the beginning and the end of the event, interrupted by a significant resumption of this circulation. The beginning of the period of resumption of this circulation is concomitant with the short interstadial phase defined as GI 5.1 by Rasmussen et al. (2014). Since both intervals before and after GI 5.1 have previously been related to HS3 (e.g. Sanchez Goñi and Harrison, 2010; Hall et al., 2011) we propose to follow Rasmussen et al. (2014) and to divide the usual HS3 period in three phases: HS3a, GI 5.1, and HS3b such as indicated in Fig. 5. In this way, HS3a and HS3b can be considered as two periods of relatively weak Atlantic meridional overturning circulation, separated from each other by a phase of re-intensification of this circulation also detectable in Greenland ice core records as a milder phase (GI 5.1). The absence of clear evidence of ISOW reactivation in grain-size data during GI 5.1, and its
discrete and arguable evidence in the magnetic susceptibility and benthic concentration records is puzzling if we consider, as advanced previously, that subsurface and deep circulations should be coupled due to the strong surface stratification. However, the Wyville-Thompson Ridge could have acted as a topographic barrier (even more than at present) that would have prevented a too weak deep flow to influence our study site by constraining it into the Faeroe Bank Channel (cf. Fig. 1).

6 Conclusion

Analyses carried out within the framework of this study confirm that the area southwest off Faeroe Islands has been very sensitive to the last glacial millennial-scale climatic variability. Our multiproxy approach allows us to track hydrological processes at different key water depths, and reveals a partly and episodically coupling of surface, subsurface and deep water mass dynamics controlled by surface stratification during rapid climatic shifts. Indeed, GI are characterized by a decreasing stratification and a coupling of surface and deep hydrological processes, with progressively milder surface conditions and gradual intensification of the ISOW while the activity of the subsurface NAD flow remains constantly high. At the opposite, GS experienced a high surface stratification and coupled subsurface and deep circulations marked by a sharp weakening of the NAD and the ISOW at the beginning of GS, while surface conditions progressively deteriorate throughout the GS. These results led us to propose a scenario describing the evolution and interactions of hydrological processes during DO and taking into account the determining role of the surface stratification. Our records also denote different hydrological signatures during Heinrich stadials. HS1 and HS4 appear as “classical” HS with strongly reduced Atlantic meridional overturning circulation. On the contrary, HS2 probably experienced a relatively active North Atlantic circulation. Finally, HS3 seems to be a three-phased event marked by a re-intensification of the overturning circulation in the middle of the event.

Our study highlights the importance of coupling near-surface reconstructions of oceanic conditions to avoid misinterpretation of data, particularly in areas affected by changes in the structure of the upper water column. It illustrates the potential of such high resolution multiproxy paleoreconstructions, especially in areas close to glacial ice-sheets when aiming to track hydrological processes occurring during the still so enigmatic rapid climatic oscillations of glacial periods. It also encourages model experiments to take into account stratification
artifacts and 3D-oceanic scenarios, and to test the robustness of the hydrological mechanisms and interactions proposed in this work.
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Figure 1. (a) General map of the studied area, showing the location of the studied core MD99-2281 (red cross) and of nearby cores referred to in the present study (black dots; ENAM93-21 and ENAM33, Rasmussen et al., 1996a,b, Rasmussen and Thomsen, 2004; MD99-2284, Dokken et al., 2013; LO09-18GC and SO82-05GGC, Snowball and Moros, 2003; Na87-22 and SU90-24, Elliot et al., 2002). The hatched areas represent the maximal last glacial extension of the proximal ice-sheets (after Ehlers and Gibbard, 2007; FIS: Fennoscandian Ice-Sheet; BIIS: British-Irish Ice-Sheet). The yellow arrows indicate the major pathways of the warm and saline surface water conveyed by the North Atlantic Drift (NAD, after Orvik and Niiler, 2002 and Stanford et al., 2011). Purple square indicates the area shown in Fig. 1b. Blue line indicates the location of the...
profile shown in Fig. 1c. (b) Detailed physiography of the studied area. Bathymetry is from GEBCO (www.gebco.net, isobaths every 200 m).

Remarkable sub-marine structures are indicated (bathymetric heights: FB, Faeroe Bank; BBB, Bill Bailey Bank; LB, Lousy Bank; WTR, Wyville-Thompson Ridge – trough: RT, Rockall Trough – and channels: FSC, Faeroe-Shetland Channel; FBC, Faeroe Bank Channel). Purple arrows show the major (full lines) and intermittent (dotted lines) deep Iceland Scotland Overflow Water (ISOW) pathways, after Boldreel et al., (1998), Kuijpers et al. (1998b, 2002), and Howe et al. (2006). Blue line indicates the location of the profile shown in Fig. 1c. (c) East-west profile of oceanic temperatures. Temperature data are derived from WOA09 (Locarnini et al., 2010) and plot using Ocean Data View (Schlitzer, 2012); bathymetric data are from GEBCO (www.gebco.net). Locations of the studied core and of the main sub-marine structures are indicated.

Geographic coordinate system: WGS 1984 – Projection: Mercator 55°N.
Figure 2. Evolution of index micro-planktonic assemblages compared to (a) NGRIP-GICC05 δ¹⁸O record: (b) Relative abundances of the dominant planktonic foraminifera species – (c) Absolute abundances (nb. of specimen in the sediment) of dinocysts and planktonic foraminifera – (d) Relative abundances of dominant dinocyst species – (e) Planktonic foraminifera diversity and dominance (see calculations in Sect. 3.2.) – (f) Dinocyst diversity and dominance. Red stars indicate AMS $^{14}$C dates used, and blue stars show the tie-points obtained by comparing the MD99-2281 magnetic susceptibility record to the NGRIP δ¹⁸O signal (see Zumaque et al., 2012). GS and HS are highlighted by light and dark grey bands respectively (age limits after Wolff et al., 2010). DO are numbered according to corresponding GI numbers in Dansgaard et al. (1993), except for GI 5 which was divided in GI 5.2 and GI 5.1 according to Rasmussen et al. (2014). LGM is for Last Glacial Maximum, BA for Bølling-Allerød, and YD for Younger Dryas.
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Figure 3. Reconstructed hydrological parameters derived from dinocyst and planktonic foraminifera assemblages compared to (a) NGRIP-GICC05 δ¹⁸O record: – (b) Temperatures – (c) Seasonality (mean summer minus mean winter temperatures) – (d) SSS derived from dinocysts – (e) Abundances of coenobia of the freshwater algae *Pediastrum* spp. – (f) δ¹⁸O measured on *N. pachyderma* – (g) Local δ¹⁸Oₘₛₜ derived from δ¹⁸O on *N. pachyderma* – (h) Large Lithic Grains (LLG) concentration, plotted on a reverse scale. Stars, bands, DO number and acronyms: same legend as Fig. 2.
**Figure 4.** Evolution of oceanic bottom conditions. (a) NGRIP-GICC05 δ18O record – (b) Sedimentation rate (calculated between two consecutive tie-points) – (c) Grain-size distribution on the background, and D10, D50, and D90 represented as black curves in the foreground – (d) Mean size of the silt (10-63 µm) fraction for bulk samples and pretreated samples (carbonates and organic matter removed) – (e) Silt ratio between 26-63 µm and 10-26 µm fractions for bulk and pretreated samples – (f) Mean diameter of the dominant grain-size mode for bulk and pretreated samples – (g) Magnetic susceptibility record – (h) Absolute abundances of benthic foraminifera. Stars, bands, DO number and acronyms: same legend as Fig. 2.
Figure 5. Comparative figure showing the evolution through time of proxies indicative of the ISOW bottom dynamics (left framed panel), the subsurface NAD intensity (middle framed panel), and the surface sensu stricto conditions (right framed panel). Absolute abundances of benthic foraminifera, planktonic foraminifera, and dinocysts are shown in the middle unframed panel. NGRIP δ¹⁸O record is shown at the far left to illustrate the chronological framework. Stars, bands, DO number and acronyms: same legend as Fig. 2. Red arrows highlight the progressive trends.
Very end of GS:
NAD rapidly re-intensifies, but the water column is still highly stratified. The halocline prevents any heat exchange towards the atmosphere. Subsurface waters get warmer and warmer. Deep convection is nil or limited.

Beginning of GIS:
The halocline starts to be unstable; very-surface and subsurface waters start to mix, and stratification is progressively reduced. Discreet heat exchange towards the atmosphere occurs. Deep convection is progressively reactivated.

Middle + end of GIS:
The NAD activity is maximal. Subsurface waters progressively mixed with very-surface waters throughout the GIS. Heat is released to the atmosphere. Deep convection is fully reactivated; the ISOW vigor increases throughout the GIS and reaches its maxima at the end of this period.

Beginning of GS:
Iceberg discharges occur. The associated meltwater inputs propagate in the mixed very-surface/subsurface layer, lower its salinity, increase the degree of stratification, reduce deep convection, and weaken the NAD and ISOW flows.

Middle of GS:
Very-surface waters are highly stratified. NAD and ISOW flows are nonexistent or weak.
Figure 6. Synthetic figure illustrating the hydrological processes occurring during Dansgaard-Oeschger cycles at the study site. (a) Zoom in on DO 8, 7, 6 and 5 showing the evolution of some selected proxies shown in Fig. 5, as well as the schematic evolution through DO of the ISOW activity, the NAD vigor, the intensity of mixing between surface and subsurface waters, and the degree of surface stratification. (b) Conceptual representation of the hydrological processes occurring during the different phases of DO as depicted in Fig. 6a.
Table 1. Synthesis of the main hydrologic features depicted at the study site during Heinrich stadials (HS) 1 to 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event</th>
<th>Bottom (ISOW)</th>
<th>Subsurface (NAD)</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Interpretations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS1 &amp; HS4</td>
<td>Weak or stopped</td>
<td>Weak or stopped</td>
<td>High stratification</td>
<td>As usually described HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No mixing between</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>surface and subsurface waters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS2</td>
<td>Relatively active</td>
<td>More active than during HS1 &amp; HS4</td>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Atypical&quot; HS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Relatively active overturning circulation, center of deep convection located more northerly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS3</td>
<td>Three-phased or weak</td>
<td>Three-phased (↓↑↓)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Three-phased event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(HS3a, GI 5.1, HS3b)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>