Carbon isotope (δ¹³C) excursions suggest times of major methane release during the last 14 ka in Fram Strait, the deep-water gateway to the Arctic
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Abstract

We present results from a sediment core collected from a pockmark field on the Vestnesa Ridge (~80°N) in the eastern Fram Strait. This is the only deep-water gateway to the Arctic, and one of the northernmost marine gas hydrate provinces in the world. Eight ¹⁴C AMS dates reveal a detailed chronology for the last 14 ka BP. The δ¹³C record measured on the benthonic foraminiferal species Cassidulina neo teretis shows two distinct intervals with negative values termed carbon isotope excursion (CIE I and CIE II, respectively). The values were as low as -4.37‰ in CIE I correlating with the Bølling-Allerød interstadials and as low as -3.41‰ in CIE II correlating with the early Holocene. In the Bølling-Allerød interstadials, the planktonic foraminifera also show negative values, probably indicating secondary methane-derived authigenic precipitation affecting the foraminiferal shells. After a cleaning procedure designed to remove authigenic carbonate coatings on benthonic foraminiferal tests from this event, the ¹³C values are still negative (as low as -2.75‰). The CIE I and CIE II occurred during periods of ocean warming, sea level rise and increased concentrations of methane (CH₄) in the atmosphere. CIEs with similar timing have been reported from other areas in the North Atlantic suggesting a regional event. The trigger mechanisms for such regional events remain to be determined. We speculate that sea-level rise and seabed loading due to high sediment supply in combination with increased seismic activity as a result of rapid deglaciation may
have triggered the escape of significant amounts of methane to the seafloor and the water column above.

1 Introduction

Methane hydrate is an ice-like compound that exists in sediments at high pressures and low temperatures with sufficient supply of water and gas (Sloan, 1998). Methane hydrate provinces are widespread in the Arctic region, but their stability and longevity through time, and the significance of their contribution to the global carbon budget are still poorly understood (e.g., Biastoch et al., 2011). The Arctic region is highly sensitive to climate change and the effects of on-going global warming are probably more extreme in the Arctic than elsewhere (e.g., Screen and Simmonds, 2010; Spielhagen et al., 2011). Recent discoveries suggest that the stability of gas hydrates in the Arctic Ocean in water depths up to about 400 m is already affected by on-going ocean warming (e.g., Shakova et al., 2010; Ferré et al., 2012; Berndt et al., 2014). Methane emissions offshore west Svalbard from pockmarks in water depths greater than 800 m were recently recorded in the eastern part of the Vestnesa Ridge (Figs. 1, 2), where several additional gas plumes have been detected in 2010 (Bünz et al., 2012) and in 2012 (Smith et al., 2014), compared to the 2008 survey (Hustoft et al., 2009a), possibly indicating an increase in methane release activity. It is critical, therefore, to investigate the frequency of methane (CH$_4$) emissions from the seafloor through time, especially in relation to past climate change and with a focus on periods of climate warming.

Gas hydrates occur in marine sediments along continental margins within a gas hydrate stability zone (GHSZ). The base of the GHSZ can be marked in seismic data by a bottom-simulating reflector (BSR) when sediments with free gas below and sediments with gas hydrate above this boundary are in contact, generating a negative acoustic impedance contrast (Shipley et al., 1979). Pockmarks are seafloor craters formed in soft, fine-grained sediments, where localised seepage of gas and pore fluid occur (Judd and Hovland, 2007). The CH$_4$ originating from free gas below the BSR or released from gas hydrate dissociation may dissolve in pore waters, remain trapped as gas, or rise toward the seafloor as bubbles. In the near-seafloor sediments up to 90% of the methane can be consumed by anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) according to the Equation (1): CH$_4$+SO$_4^{2-}$→HCO$_3^{-}$+HS$^-$$+H_2O$ by a consortium of methanotrophic archea and sulfate-reducing bacteria within the sulfate-methane transition zone (SMTZ) (e.g. Barnes and Goldberg, 1976; Borowski et al., 1996; Boetius et al., 1998).
The production of bicarbonate from AOM can induce the precipitation of calcium carbonate according to the Equation (2): $\text{Ca}^{2+} + 2\text{HCO}_3^- \rightarrow \text{CaCO}_3 + \text{CO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$. These so-called methane-derived authigenic carbonates can precipitate in different shapes like slabs, crusts, nodules, chimney, and pipes, with typical negative $\delta^{13}C$ values (e.g. Kulm and Suess, 1990; Greinert et al., 2001; Snyder et al., 2007). Benthonic foraminifera are often common in methane seep environments and it has been demonstrated that their calcium carbonate tests can register the low $\delta^{13}C$ values of ambient dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) derived from the oxidation of methane in the pore space of surrounding sediments (Wefer et al., 1994; Rathburn et al., 2003; Hill et al., 2004; Martin et al., 2004; Panieri et al., 2009, 2012, 2014a).

At high flux seep sites the SMTZ is typically very shallow and methane may escape directly into the water column (Paull et al., 2005; Castellini et al., 2006). Most of the methane emitted at the seafloor is then consumed by methanotrophic aerobic microbes in the water column (Niemann et al., 2006; Reeburgh, 2007). For this reason planktonic foraminifera do not normally register the negative methane-derived $\delta^{13}C$ values in their tests. After deposition at the seafloor, both planktonic and benthonic foraminifera can be affected by alteration due to the precipitation of AOM-derived authigenic carbonates on their tests (Torres et al., 2003, 2010; Millo et al., 2005a; Panieri et al., 2009). In order to distinguish the isotopic records of the secondary overgrowth from the primary tests, it is necessary to repeat the isotopic analyses on specimens cleaned with a specific procedure designed to remove all authigenic carbonate coatings (Pena et al., 2008; Panieri et al., 2012, 2014b).

Here we present a detailed data analysis from a sediment core that was taken in a pockmark from the western part of the Vestnesa Ridge (Fig. 2). The core has been investigated for stable isotopes ($\delta^{18}O$ and $\delta^{13}C$), together with the distribution of planktonic foraminifera and sedimentological parameters, in order to reconstruct past changes in emission of methane in the area. Our results of the $^{14}C$ AMS (accelerator mass spectrometry) dates suggest an undisturbed sedimentary record for the last 14 ka BP. Negative carbon isotope excursions (CIEs) during the Bølling-Allerød interstadials and during the early Holocene provide important records of past methane release events. This study is part of an ongoing research project at the Centre of Excellence for Arctic Gas Hydrate, Environment and Climate (CAGE) at the Arctic University of Norway, where different sediment cores from the Vestnesa Ridge pockmark field are being investigated in order to reconstruct past methane emissions from the seafloor (Panieri et al., 2014b).
Study Area

The Vestnesa Ridge is an elongated sediment drift at ~80°N at the northwestern Svalbard margin in the eastern Fram Strait. The Fram Strait is dominated by two main surface currents: the warm West Spitsbergen Current (WSC) and the cold East Greenland Current (EGC) (Aagaard et al., 1987) (Fig. 1). The WSC is the northernmost branch of the North Atlantic Current (NAC) and brings relatively warm, saline water along the western Svalbard margin and through the Fram Strait into the Arctic Ocean. This current is the major source of heat and salt to high northern latitudes and is very important for the generation of deep water in the Nordic seas (Aagaard et al., 1985). The warm Atlantic water overlies the Greenland Sea Intermediate Water, which is generated from convection in the Nordic Seas (Aagaard et al., 1987). The EGC carries cold polar water and sea ice from the Arctic Ocean southwards along the East Greenland margin through the Denmark Strait and into the North Atlantic Ocean (Fig. 1).

The Vestnesa Ridge is located on hot, thin and young (<20 Ma) oceanic crust (e.g. Hustoft et al., 2009a) (Figs. 2a, b). It belongs to the eastern spreading segment of the Molloy Fracture Zone that is connected to the northernmost extension of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge system: the ultra-slow spreading Knipovich Ridge (Engen et al., 2008; Hustoft et al., 2009a) (Fig. 2a). The sediment crest of the Vestnesa Ridge is pierced with pockmarks (Fig. 2b) (e.g. Vogt et al., 1994; Hustoft et al., 2009a), and seismic data show a BSR 160–180 m beneath the seabed indicating the presence of gas hydrate (Hustoft et al., 2009a; Petersen et al., 2010; Bü nz et al., 2012). Active gas venting has recently been observed in the eastern part of the ridge, where thermogenic free gas migrates to the crest of the BSR anticline and further upward to the Vestnesa Ridge pockmark field (Hustoft et al., 2009a; Bü nz et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2014). Seismic data beneath the pockmark field show vertical gas migration pathways (chimneys) that form conduits allowing the gas to by-pass the hydrate stability zone (HSZ) and escape from the seafloor (Bün z et al., 2012). The pockmark fields in the deeper (1300 mwd), western part of the ridge are most probably inactive because no acoustic gas flares have been observed so far (Bün z et al., 2012).

3 Material and methods

Gravity core JM10-330GC (79.13°N, 5.6°E; 420 cm long) was collected from about 1300 m water depth (mwd) in a pockmark located on the inactive western part of the Vestnesa Ridge.
(Figs. 1 and 2b). Before opening the core, magnetic susceptibility was measured with a Bartington MS2 loop sensor (Fig. 3). Afterwards the core was split longitudinally, one half was X-rayed and colour imaged with a Jai L-107CC 3 CCD RGB Line Scan Camera installed on an Avaatech XRF core scanner (Fig. 3). The other half was sampled at 5 cm intervals in 1 cm thick slices, weighed and subsequently freeze-dried. Dry samples were weighed and wet sieved over mesh sizes of 63 µm, 100 µm, and 1 mm. The residues were dried at 40°C. Benthonic and planktonic foraminifera were picked from the >100 µm size fraction, counted (at least 300 specimens for each sample when possible) and identified to species level for assemblage analysis. In this paper, we only present data on the two most dominant planktonic species *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* sinistral (s) and *Turborotalita quinqueloba*, which together constitute 88–98% of the assemblage. Ice Rafted Detritus (IRD) was counted in the >1 mm size fraction (Fig. 3).

Eight $^{14}$C AMS dates were performed on monospecific samples of *N. pachyderma* (s) (Table 1) at the Chrono Centre of Queen’s University, Belfast, UK. The radiocarbon dates were calibrated to calendar years using the Calib 7.0 program (Stuiver et al., 2014) and the marine calibration curve Marine13 (Reimer et al., 2013) that operates with a standard reservoir correction of -400 years (Mangerud and Gulliksen, 1975). A regional correction of ΔR=7±11 years was applied, following the recommendations for planktonic foraminiferal dates by Bondevik and Gulliksen (in Mangerud et al., 2006). The ages were calculated as the mid-point value from the calibrated age range (±2σ). Calibrated dates are presented in years before present (BP) AD 1950 with standard deviation 2σ. The age model was constructed assuming linear sedimentation between the calibrated dates (Fig. 3). The reservoir effect is probably not constant through time, and especially during the Younger Dryas it was probably larger (e.g., Bard et al., 1994; Bondevik et al., 2006; Austin et al., 2011). However, the comparison of the stratigraphy and the magnetic susceptibility data between core JM10-330GC and core JM03-373PC2 (Fig. 1), which has been used as reference core for western Svalbard slope (Jessen et al., 2010), indicate that a standard reservoir correction age is appropriate. In particular, the presence of a diatom rich layer that was attributed to the early Holocene by Jessen et al. (2010), together with a marked shift in the species composition of the planktonic foraminiferal assemblage (previously dominated by the polar species *N. pachyderma* (s) and subsequently by the subpolar species *T. quinqueloba*), and the decrease in oxygen isotope values in the same interval, confirm an early Holocene age for these events, in accordance with our age model (Fig. 4).
Stable isotopes (oxygen and carbon; Table S1) were measured on the planktonic foraminifera species *N. pachyderma* (s) and on the benthonic species *Cassidulina neoteretis* both picked from the >100 μm size fraction. Stable isotopes were performed at the Leibniz-Laboratory for Radiometric Dating and Isotope Research in Kiel, Germany, using a Finnigan MAT-253 mass spectrometer with Kiel IV system (analytical precision of ±0.05‰ for δ¹³C and ±0.1‰ for δ¹⁸O estimated by measuring the certified standard NBS-19). All isotope results are reported in standard delta notation relative to Vienna Peedee Belemnite (VPDB). The δ¹⁸O isotopic values were corrected for ice volume effect (δ¹⁸OIVC) using the Fairbanks (1989) sea-level curve as dated by Bard (1990) with a correction of 0.11‰ δ¹⁸O per ten meters sea level change (subtracted from the measured δ¹⁸O values; Table S1).

Additional stable isotope analyses of cleaned benthonic foraminifera samples from the lower part of the core (418–370 cm) were performed on a Thermo Finnigan MAT252 mass spectrometer coupled with a CarboKiel-II carbonate preparation device (analytical precision ±0.03‰ for δ¹³C and ±0.08‰ for δ¹⁸O estimated by measuring the certified standard NBS-19; results are reported in standard delta notation relative to VPDB) at the Serveis Cientifico-Técnics of the University of Barcelona (Table S1; Fig. 5). The benthonic foraminiferal samples have been cleaned following the protocol of Pena et al. (2005), which is adapted from Boyle and Rosenthal (1996). Prior to cleaning, the foraminifera were gently crushed between clean glass plates to break open individual chambers. The cleaning steps comprise: 1) removal of clays, Mn-Fe oxides and other mineral phases by a reductive cleaning step; 2) oxidative cleaning to eliminate organic matter; 3) weak acid leaching to remove remaining impurities from the shell surfaces. This protocol has proven to be efficient in removing the diagenetic carbonates attached to the tests of foraminifera (Pena et al., 2008; Panieri et al., 2012, 2014b).

The preservation state of the foraminifera tests were examined by Scanning Electron Microscope (SEM) in order to identify presence of secondary overgrowth of methane-derived authigenic carbonates in selected representative specimens of *N. pachyderma* (s) and *C. neoteretis* before the cleaning procedure (Figs. 6 and 8). Qualitative estimates of the trace metal content were obtained from the test surface (coated with gold/palladium) with Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS) (Fig. 7). SEM secondary electronic images and EDS spectra were acquired on a JEOL 6610 tungsten SEM equipped with an Oxford Instrument AzTEC EDS system at the Electron Microscopy Centre, Plymouth University, UK.
4 Results

4.1 Chronology and lithology

Based on the calibrated $^{14}$C dates, the distribution patterns of polar and subpolar planktonic foraminifera species (Fig. 4a), and the benthonic and planktonic $\delta^{18}$O records (Figs. 4b–d), we have correlated core JM10-330GC to the Greenland ice core event stratigraphy, applying the new Greenland Ice Core Chronology 2005 (GICC05) of Rasmussen et al. (2006). The GICC05 time scale is b2k (before 2000 yrs), therefore to compare it with the calendar ages (AD before 1950) used in this paper, we have subtracted 50 yrs from the GICC05 time scale. In this new chronology the different periods are defined as followed: end of Bølling interstadial: 14.025 ka; onset of Younger Dryas (YD) stadial: 12.85 ka; YD-Holocene transition: 11.65 ka.

Our age model shows that the core contains postglacial sediments covering the last 14 ka, spanning from the upper part of the Bølling-Allerød (B-A) interstadial periods to Recent (Fig. 4). The lithology (Fig. 3) is very similar to the reference core of the western Svalbard slope (Jessen et al., 2010), with the lower part (418–335 cm; 14.1–11.1 ka; B-A and YD interval) characterized by high concentration of ice-rafted debris (IRD) and common pyritized burrows indicative of bioturbation, with a greenish sandy layer at the beginning of the YD (360 cm; 12.7 ka). There is a fine-grained, structureless, silty mud interval with high abundance of diatoms in the middle part (335–225 cm; 11.1–8.8 ka, labelled diatom rich mud in Fig. 4f). A homogeneous hemipelagic, grey clay with very little amount of IRD is present in the upper interval (225–0 cm; 8.8 ka to present).

The sedimentation rate is higher during the B-A period (~38 cm/ky) and in the early Holocene (42–50 cm/ky), but lower during the Younger Dryas (YD: ~18 cm/ky) and after 7.5 ka (19–26 cm/ky) (Fig. 3; Table 1).

4.2 Carbon isotope excursions (CIEs)

During the Bølling-Allerød interstadials the $\delta^{13}$C record of the infaunal benthonic foraminifera C. neoteretis shows values considerably lower than the average core value of -1.10‰ (CIE I). The low values occur at about 13.9 ka (-2.87‰) and at 13.5 ka (-4.37‰), and another but less pronounced excursion at 12.9 ka (-2.21‰; Fig. 4e). This first interval with depleted $\delta^{13}$C has been termed carbon isotope excursion I (CIE I). The stable isotope analyses were repeated for this interval on C. neoteretis specimens cleaned with a procedure designed to remove
authigenic carbonate coatings (Pena et al., 2008; Panieri et al., 2012, 2014b). The $\delta^{13}$C values obtained are higher (by up to 1.6‰) compared to the tests cleaned with the standard protocol, but are still lower (as low as -2.75‰) than the average value of the record (-1.10‰) (Table S1; Fig. 5).

The planktonic $\delta^{13}$C values during the CIE I interval are lower than the average core value of 0.07‰, and show one prominent excursion (-2.61‰) at 13.5 ka (Fig. 4c).

Another interval with low benthonic $\delta^{13}$C values occurs in the early part of the Holocene (CIE II; Fig. 4e). This event lasted approximately 500 years (c. 10.5–10 ka) and is characterized by benthonic $\delta^{13}$C values that are lower than -2‰, with the most prominent excursion at 10.3 ka (-3.41‰). CIE II is not recorded in the planktonic record, where the $\delta^{13}$C values are very close to the average core value and within the normal range of the marine environment (Fig. 4c).

The $\delta^{13}$C values in both benthonic and planktonic records between the two events (from about 12.8 to 10.5 ka) are very close or slightly lower than average values, whereas after 9 ka they are higher compared to the average values (Figs. 4c–e).

The $\delta^{18}$OIVC benthonic and planktonic records present little variability if compared to the average values of 4.35‰ and 3.12‰, respectively (Figs. 4b and 4d). Light isotope excursions are present in both the benthonic (<0.7‰ more depleted than the average) and planktonic record (about 1.2‰ more depleted than the average) during the Younger Dryas and are probably related to a melt water event, which is also documented by a sandy layer (Fig. 4f).

No negative oxygen isotope excursions are associated with CIE I, and in the early Holocene only two relatively light (<0.7 ‰ more depleted than the average) excursions exist in the $\delta^{18}$O benthonic record at the beginning and at the end of CIE II (Fig. 4d).

The SEM images of N. pachyderma (s) from the most negative excursion of CIE I (-2.61‰, 390 cm bsf at 13.5 ka) show evidence of surface alteration (Figs. 6A–B) with a thin coating (<1µm) covering unevenly parts of the test (Fig. 6C). The Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy (EDS) estimates show that the deposited layer is highly enriched in Si, moderately enriched in Mg with minor traces of Fe and S (EDS 1 and 2 in Figs. 6C and 7).

The internal part of the wall looks unaltered with pristine crystal palisades consisting of CaCO$_3$ (EDS 3 in Figs. 6C and 7).

The N. pachyderma (s) tests from CIE II (-0.02‰, 295 cm bsf at 10.3 ka) and from the late Holocene (0.68‰, 80 cm bsf at 4.1 ka) are well preserved with pristine shell structure (Figs.
6D–E and G–H) and walls characterized by crystal palisades typical of this species (Figs. 6F–I).

The SEM images of *C. neoteretis* (not cleaned specimens) are more difficult to interpret. No clear coatings have been detected on the test surface of the specimens from both depleted intervals CIE I (δ¹³C: -4.37‰, 13.5 ka, 390 cm bsf; Figs. 8A–C) and CIE II (δ¹³C: -3.41‰, 10.3 ka, 295 cm bsf; Figs. 8D–F). In both cases, the surface of the tests appears altered with enlarged and irregular pores, probably due to dissolution and possibly associated with recrystallization in the internal part of the test. The specimens from CIE II look even more altered compared to the ones from CIE I. Specimens of *C. neoteretis* were very rare in this interval and almost all the specimens have been used for isotope analyses. The specimens used for the SEM pictures were the only examples left, were very small (note the scale in Fig. 8C) and very poorly preserved.

The *C. neoteretis* tests from an interval with normal ¹³C values (-0.44‰, 80 cm bsf at 4.1 ka) are well preserved with pristine shell structure and small (<1µm), round pores (Figs. 8Q–R).

## 5 Discussion

### 5.1 CIEs: secondary overgrowth vs. primary tests

The benthonic foraminiferal (*C. neoteretis*) δ¹³C record shows negative excursions in the Bølling-Allerød interstadials (CIE I) and in the early Holocene (CIE II). These values are 1–3.3‰ lower than the average value of -1.10‰ in the sediment core, which is comparable to values of *C. neoteretis* recovered from sites unaffected by methane seepage from the same region (ca. -1 to 0‰ in the northern Barents Sea: Wollenburg et al., 2001; -1.15‰ in a control site away from the Håkon Mosby mud volcano in the Barents Sea: Mackensen et al., 2006).

The planktonic foraminiferal δ¹³C record shows a similar negative trend only during CIE I with one prominent negative excursion (-2.61‰) at 13.5 ka (Fig. 4c). Here the planktonic values are generally lower compared to the normal δ¹³C range of *N. pachyderma* (s) in the same region (between ca. -0.5‰ and 1‰: Volkmann and Mensch, 2001; Nørgaard-Pedersen et al., 2003; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Jessen et al., 2010).

Planktonic foraminifera are not expected to record a signal from methane in the water column because most of the methane that have escaped from the seafloor should be consumed by methanotrophic bacteria in the sediment and water column (Dickens, 2001; Reeburgh, 2007). The negative values of *N. pachyderma* (s) can be attributed to diagenetic alteration that may
stem from methane-derived authigenic carbonates on the foraminiferal tests after their deposition to the seafloor (Torres et al., 2003, 2010; Millo et al., 2005a; Panieri et al., 2009). Therefore, in order to distinguish the isotopic records of the secondary overgrowth from the primary tests, we have repeated the isotopic analyses on cleaned *C. neoteretis* specimens from the CIE I interval (see above). The repetition of the isotopic analyses for *N. pachyderma* (s) and for *C. neoteretis* from the CIE II interval was impossible because of lack of material. Thus a detailed SEM investigation was carried out on both species (see below).

The δ^{13}C values obtained after the cleaning procedure (as low as -2.75‰) are still significantly lower than the core average (-1.10‰; Table S1; Fig. 5) and also lower compared to the δ^{13}C records of *C. neoteretis* of the last deglaciation from the same region with values ca. -0.5 to 0.3‰ in the Kara Sea (Lubinski et al., 2001), ca. -1 to 0‰ in the N Barents Sea (Wollenburg et al., 2001) and ca. 0 to 1.5‰ in the SW Barents Sea (Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010). Dead specimens (empty tests) of *C. neoteretis* from surface samples at the active Håkon Mosby mud volcano in the Barents Sea show very similar δ^{13}C negative values (from -1.65‰ to -2.82‰) (Mackensen et al., 2006). We suggest that the low δ^{13}C values obtained after the cleaning procedure could be the result of calcification in presence of^{13}C-depleted DIC and probably ingestion of^{13}C-depleted methanotrophic microbes on which foraminifera feed (e.g. Rathburn et al., 2003; Hill et al., 2004; Panieri et al., 2014a). We interpret the ^{13}C-depleted values of diagenetic overgrowth on the *C. neoteretis* shells as cumulatively added to the already negative values of the primary tests.

The SEM pictures of *N. pachyderma* (s) from the most negative excursion during CIE I (390 cm, 13.5 ka) show clear evidence of surface alteration with a thin coating enriched in SiO,
Mg and traces of FeS (Figs. 6C and 7). Authigenic silica is common in ancient limestones from seep sites (Smrzka et al., 2015) and similar SiO enrichments have been observed in secondary coatings on *N. pachyderma* (s) from depleted ^{13}C intervals in the southwestern Greenland Sea (Millo et al., 2005a). The precipitation of silica phases would occur after AOM-derived mineral phases ceased to form, but before the precipitation of late diagenetic calcite cements (Kuechler et al., 2012; Smrzka et al., 2015). Enrichments in Mg in the foraminiferal tests are typical of methane-derived authigenic carbonates, as previously observed by Torres et al. (2003, 2010). The production of bicarbonate and hydrogen sulfide increases carbonate alkalinity at the SMTZ, inducing the precipitation of carbonate minerals and pyrite (e.g. Ritger et al., 1987; Peckmann et al., 2001; Sassen et al., 2004).
N. pachyderma (s) in the early Holocene (CIE II) exhibit values in the normal marine range (> -0.5‰) and the tests from this interval do not show any sign of surface alteration or coatings (Figs. 6D–F).

No clear indications of coatings have been observed on the benthonic foraminiferal tests from both depleted intervals (CIE I and CIE II; Figs. 8A–F). The surface alteration in this case mainly consists of dissolution features probably due to an increase in CO₂ during AOM in marine sediments (Eq. (2); Sun and Turchyn, 2014). It is also possible that the precipitation of methane-derived authigenic carbonates in this case have occurred inside the benthonic tests. This is probably because the smooth and imperforate test of C. neoteretis is less likely to accommodate contamination and crystalline overgrowth than the N. pachyderma (s) test with higher porosity and surface area, as already observed by Cook et al. (2011).

The results of the repeated isotope analyses on the cleaned specimens from CIE I interval seem to support this hypothesis since the cleaning procedure is meant to remove the authigenic carbonate overgrowth and the values obtained are indeed less negative compared to the uncleaned specimens. However, these aspects are not fully understood and require additional analyses and investigations.

5.2 CIEs: evidence for methane release

In order to explain the different results found for CIE I and CIE II, we have used a schematic diagram modified after Borowski et al. (1996) and suggest two different scenarios depending on the strength of the methane flux at the seep site (Fig. 9).

The intensity of upward methane flux can control sulfate (SO₄²⁻) profiles and depth of the sulfate methane transition zone (SMTZ), if the sulfate diffusion from the seawater into the sediment and the sediment characteristics are considered constant (Fig. 9B).

The first scenario (Fig. 9A) represents CIE II, where only benthonic foraminifera show negative δ¹³C values. In this case the methane flux is high and the SMTZ is very close to the seafloor. The oxidation of methane is less efficient causing lower rates of AOM and higher methane flux into the bottom waters. The methane escaped is then partially oxidized by methanotrophic aerobic microbes in the water column (Niemann et al., 2006). In this scenario, the negative δ¹³C values in the benthonic foraminiferal tests are likely to be the result of calcification in presence of ¹³C-depleted DIC and probably ingestion of ¹³C-depleted
methanotrophic bacteria. In high advective flow settings, the surface communities are often 
dominated by bacterial mats (Treude et al., 2003; Boetius and Wenzhöfer, 2013) on which 
benthonic foraminifera can prey (Rathburn et al., 2003; Panieri et al., 2014a). Similar negative 
$^{13}$C values (from -1.5 to -4.0 ‰) have been previously observed in benthonic foraminifera 
living at methane seep sites (Sen Gupta and Aharon, 1994; Wefer et al., 1994; Sen Gupta et 
al., 1997; Keigwin, 2002; Hill et al., 2003, 2004; Rathburn et al., 2003; Martin et al., 2007, 
2010; Panieri et al., 2009, 2012, 2014a). However, we cannot exclude that early authigenic 
carbonates were precipitated on the foraminiferal tests when they were still alive, as a product 
of AOM near the seafloor (e.g. Bohrmann et al. 1998).

The second scenario (Fig. 9C) represents CIE I, where both benthonic and planktonic 
foraminifera exhibit negative values of $\delta^{13}$C. We interpreted these anomalies in $\delta^{13}$C as due to 
secondary carbonate precipitation after the benthonic and planktonic foraminifera were buried. 
The precipitation of carbonate occurs when the pore water is oversaturated with respect to 
carbonate minerals. The SMTZ is typically a narrow zone of just a few centimetres (e.g., 
Iversen and Jørgensen, 1985; Niewöhner et al., 1998; Treude et al., 2005) resulting in the 
sharp negative shift in $\delta^{13}$C recorded by both benthonic and planktonic foraminifera (see Figs. 
4 and 5). According to Borowski et al. (1996) methane-derived authigenic carbonate 
precipitation occur when the methane flux is low and all the methane is oxidised by AOM 
within the SMTZ. It is also possible that the primary test of the benthonic foraminifera was 
already depleted in $^{13}$C (orange benthonic foraminifera in Fig. 9C), and the negative values of 
the secondary carbonate overgrowth were cumulative added (see above).

These observations arise several questions and hypotheses about the possibility by benthonic 
foraminifera to record past methane emissions. Further measurements on foraminifera from 
methane seep sites and experiments are necessary to better understand how methane emissions 
from different sources can affect the foraminiferal shells and the sort of information that can 
be obtained from analysis of the isotope composition of their tests.

5.3 North Atlantic deglacial CIEs

Similar negative excursions in foraminiferal $^{13}$C records have been reported in several 
Quaternary isotope records and have been interpreted as evidence for methane release 
(Kennett et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2001; Keigwin, 2002; Millo et al., 2005b; Cook et al., 2011; 
Hill et al., 2012). In particular, low $\delta^{13}$C values during the deglaciation have also been
reported by Smith et al. (2001) in stable isotope records from the East Greenland continental shelf (cores JM96 in Fig. 1). In order to compare these events with the Vestnesa Ridge record (Fig. 10), the original radiocarbon ages of Smith et al. (2001) have been re-calibrated with Calib 7.0 program (see above). No regional correction has been applied for the deeper cores (JM96-1214: 574 mwd and JM96-1215: 668 mwd) as suggested by Jennings et al. (2006), whereas in the shallow core (JM96-1207: 404 mwd) a correction of ΔR=–150 years has been applied, following Jennings et al. (2002). The first (14.4–14.2 ka; -3.5‰ for C. neoteretis) and second excursions (12.9 ka; -4.8‰ for N. pachyderma (s)) isotopic excursions in the East Greenland record are close in time to the beginning and the end of CIE I, respectively (Fig. 10b). The third excursion (10.9–9.7 ka; -6.4‰ for N. pachyderma s, -3.8‰ for Cibicides lobatulus) is coeval with CIE II, but lasted longer (Fig. 10b).

Negative δ¹³C excursions during glacial and deglacial times in the Nyegga pockmark field (800–1000 mwd, NPF in Fig.1) have been recently reported (Hill et al., 2012). The seepage in this area was particularly active between 15 and 13 ka, with several δ¹³C negative excursions (as low as -6‰) in both benthonic and planktonic records, while around 9 ka a negative δ¹³C excursion is present in the benthonic record (-2.7 ‰), but not reflected in the planktonic record (Hill et al., 2012).

The similarity in timing of these carbon isotope excursions over long distances and wide water depth ranges (Fig. 1) is remarkable and suggests that the CIEs could be regional at the scale of the North Atlantic Ocean to the Fram Strait.

Both the Bolling-Allerød interstadials and the early Holocene are periods of climate warming during the deglaciation (NGRIP Members, 2004) (Fig. 10c) and are characterized by increased CH₄ concentration in the atmosphere (Brook et al., 2000; GISP2) (Fig. 10d). They also occur during periods of rapid sea-level rise (melt water pulse-mwp-1A: 14.3–12.8 ka; mwp-1B: 11.5–8.8 ka; Fig. 10e) (Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Stanford et al., 2011). These findings suggest an apparent correlation between methane events in the North Atlantic and the Fram Strait, and climatic events at global or regional scale.

Since the methane escaped from the seafloor is rapidly oxidized in the water column, we do not expect a short-term change in stable carbon isotope composition of the North Atlantic deep water. The foraminiferal records published so far (e.g. Volkmann and Mensch, 2001; Wollenburg et al., 2001; Nørgaard-Pedersen et al., 2003; Sarnthein et al., 2003; Aagaard-Sørensen et al., 2010; Jessen et al., 2010) confirm this interpretation. However, the presence of local carbon isotope perturbation at the same time in multiple locations (Fig. 1) is remarkable.
and possible common triggering mechanisms need to be considered.

We are currently working on several cores from the Vestnesa Ridge in order to understand if the negative carbon anomalies recorded by foraminifera are due to local or global processes and if the apparent correlation with climatic events can be proved.

5.4 Possible triggering mechanisms and connection with climate change?

It is still not possible to determine if present-day gas emissions on the eastern part of the Vestnesa Ridge are sourced from below the GHSZ (Gas Hydrate Stability Zone), directly from dissociation of gas hydrates, or from a combination of deeper and shallower processes (Bünz et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2014). It is also unclear whether focused fluid flow pathways from the base of the GHSZ have been established recently at the end of the last glaciation, or whether they have existed for much longer and have been reactivated multiple times. Even though this study has only documented two former events of increased emission at the western end of the Vestnesa Ridge, it favours a model of reactivation of chimney structures. The timing of the gas emissions and reactivation seem to occur during periods of climate change similar to observations from the mid-Norwegian margin, where the generation and initiation of focused fluid flow is most likely related to an overpressure due to a combined effect of loading of glacialic sediments and shelf ice glaciation (Hustoft et al., 2009b; Plaza-Faverola et al., 2011). However, the initial generation of such chimney structures and their reactivation might each be related to different geological processes. Chimneys are usually conceived as a network of connected small-scale fractures originating from natural hydraulic fracturing (Arntsen et al., 2007). They represent pre-existing zones of weakness and open pathways that might facilitate fluid flow much more easily than during the initial generation of the fracture network. In deep-water areas these fractures might be filled with gas hydrate (Kim et al., 2011).

The climate forcing of gas emission in the western part of the Vestnesa Ridge documented herein could be the result of the individual or combined effect of sea-level rise, increased seismicity, elevated sedimentation rates and/or gas hydrate dissociation. From the above mentioned processes it seems unlikely that gas hydrate dissociation and loading of glacialic sediment have played a major role given that our study area is in deep-water, far away from the shelf edge and that the timing of the deposition of large amount of glacialic sediments (Ottesen et al., 2005; Mattingsdal et al., 2014) does not coincide with the venting periods documented herein.
Both CIEs occur at times when deep convection and generation of cold bottom water is strong (McManus et al., 2004; Ezat et al., 2014). The high $\delta^{18}O_{ICV}$ values of the benthonic record during CIE I confirm that the area was bathed in cold water during the B-A interval (Fig. 4d). During CIE II, the marked shift in the planktonic assemblage (previously dominated by the polar species $N. pachyderma$ (s) and subsequently by the subpolar species $T. quinqueloba$, Fig. 4a) indicates a surface water warming. The presence of two relatively light excursions of benthonic $\delta^{18}O_{ICV}$ (<0.7‰; Fig. 4d) indicate a small warming of the bottom water (see Rasmussen et al., 2007, 2014; Ezat et al., 2014; Groot et al., 2014), but certainly too small to start gas hydrate dissociation. Hydrate dissociation at such depth would require a substantial warming before any gas can escape from deeper buried sediments (>1000 mwd) (Reagan and Moridis, 2007), although hydrates buried at shallower depth within chimneys could be affected to some extent and release gas at the seabed (Smith et al., 2014).

The present sedimentation rate on the Vestnesa Ridge is about 19 cm/ka whereas, during the deglaciation, it was considerably higher (40–50 cm/ka; Fig. 3 and Table 1). Around 14.6 to 14.3 ka sedimentation rates on the western Svalbard margin increased over large areas to > 5m/ka (Jessen et al., 2010 and references therein). It is however, not known if such a relatively small loading alone could have significantly increased fluid overpressure in the subsurface resulting in methane release at the seabed.

Sea level, on the other hand, has risen considerably after the last glaciation and the two documented CIEs correlate well with two major melt water pulses (mwp-1A and -1B; Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Stanford et al., 2011) (Fig. 10e). Global sea level rise has been implicated in triggering of landslides by causing an increase in excess pore pressure in the sub-seafloor during the deglaciation and the Holocene in the northern North Atlantic sector (Owen et al., 2007; McGuire and Maslin, 2012). However, the hydrostatic pressure increase would only affect excess pore pressures if impermeable sediments or a complex subsurface structure traps the pores. These trapping mechanisms might be provided by gas hydrates that clog the pore space along the BSR or fill the fractures of gas chimneys (Nimblett et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2011). Potentially a cumulative effect of sedimentation and sea-level rise has elevated excess pore pressure to initiate gas migration along the fracture network within a chimney.

Moreover, sea level rise, elevated sedimentation rates in the whole study area and the isostatic rebound following the retreat of the glaciers (Landvik et al., 1998; Forman et al., 2004; Bungum et al., 2005) may foster other processes, such as lithospheric stress changes resulting
in increased seismicity. Modeling studies (Wallmann et al., 1988; Nakada et al., 1992) have demonstrated that sea-level changes are capable of triggering or modulating tectonic activity. More specifically, Lutrell and Sandwell (2010) have shown that lithospheric flexure due to ocean loading caused by post-glacial sea-level rise was sufficient to promote failure through the reduction of normal stress thereby reactivating faults.

Increased seismicity might have had a two-fold effect on the fluid flow system in the Vestnesa Ridge. It might have led to an increased supply of gas along deep-seated faults leading to an increase in pore pressures beneath the hydrate-bearing sediments. Furthermore, it might have led to an excitation and dilation of fractures within the chimney structures leading to the leakage of gas, similar to what has been observed on the Bear Island Fan (Franek et al., 2014).

We cannot conclusively distinguish which factors triggered gas venting in the two periods at the end of and shortly after the last glaciation, but suggest that a combined effect of sea-level rise, sedimentation and seismicity might have led to increased pore pressures in an already over-pressured system, thereby promoting and initiating gas venting from the seabed at the western part of the Vestnesa Ridge.

6 Conclusions

We have presented new data from a sediment core collected at the Vestnesa Ridge in the eastern part of the Fram Strait, the deep-water gateway to the Arctic Ocean. Here, a sediment core was retrieved from an apparently inactive pockmark in the western, deeper part of the Vestnesa Ridge. The results show a surprisingly undisturbed sedimentary record that allows establishing a detailed methane release event chronology for the last 14 ka. The benthonic δ\(^{13}\)C record shows negative carbon isotope excursions (CIEs) as low as -4.37‰ during the Bølling-Allerød interstadials (CIE I) and as low as -3.41‰ in the early Holocene (CIE II). The persistence of negative values (as low as -2.75‰) after a thorough cleaning procedure, which was designed to remove all authigenic carbonate coatings on benthonic foraminiferal tests from CIE I samples, indicate that \(^{13}\)C-depleted values of diagenetic overgrowth of shells of C. neoteretis are cumulatively added to the already negative values of the primary test.

The planktonic foraminifera \(\delta^{13}\)C record shows a similar negative trend during the CIE I (with values as low as -2.61‰), but during CIE II the values are within the normal marine range (-0.5‰ to 1‰). SEM investigations confirm the presence of a thin AOM-derived coating on the N. pachyderma tests exclusively from the CIE I interval.
During CIE I in the Bølling-Allerød the negative $^{13}$C values in both benthonic and planktonic foraminifera have been interpreted as due to methane derived authigenic carbonates. During CIE II in the early Holocene, only benthonic foraminifera exhibit negative $^{13}$C values, which in this case have been interpreted as the result of the incorporation of $^{13}$C-depleted carbon from methane emissions during the primary biomineralization of the tests and probably ingestion of $^{13}$C-depleted methanotrophic microbes on which foraminifera feed. It is also possible that early authigenic carbonates, as AOM products in surface sediments, were precipitated on the benthonic foraminiferal tests when they were still alive.

Methane release events with similar timing have been reported in several locations in the North Atlantic and together with our new observation point to a more regional event, showing an apparent correlation to northern climatic events.

We suggest that a combined effect of sea-level rise, high sediment loading and increased seismicity during the deglaciation could have led to increased pore pressures, and therefore promoting and initiating gas venting from the seafloor in the Vestnesa Ridge, eastern Fram Strait. The methane contribution from the ocean floor to the water column and to the atmosphere remains to be quantified.
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Figure 1. Bathymetric map of the Nordic seas showing the major surface currents and the location of studied core JM10-330GC (yellow circle). Other cores are indicated with a white circle: JM96 are the cores described by Smith et al. (2001); JM03 represents core JM03-373PC2 used as reference core for the western Svalbard slope (Jessen et al., 2010); NPF are the cores described by Hill et al. (2012) in the Nyegga pockmark field next to the Storegga Slide (dark grey area) on the mid-Norwegian margin. Abbreviations: NAC: North Atlantic Current; WSC: West Spitsbergen Current; ESC: East Spitsbergen Current; EGC: East Greenland Current.
Figure 2. A) Bathymetric map of the W-Svalbard margin and eastern Fram Strait.
Abbreviations: MFZ: Molloy Fracture Zone; MD: Molloy Deep; MR: Molloy Ridge; SFZ: Spitsbergen Fracture Zone; YP: Yermak Plateau. B) Overview swath bathymetry map of the Vestnesa Ridge. Locations of studied core JM10-330GC and location of active sites with gas flares observed in June 2010 (Bünz et al., 2012) and in 2012 (Smith et al., 2014) are indicated. Figures are modified after Hustoft et al. (2009a).
Figure 3. Left: lithology and colour scan of core JM10-330GC, together with magnetic susceptibility and concentration of ice-rafted debris (IRD) per gram dry weight sediment. Arrows indicate positions of original radiocarbon dates. Right: age model and calculated sediment accumulation rates. Red triangles indicate radiocarbon dates.
Figure 4. Planktonic foraminifera and geochemical data of core JM10-330GC plotted versus calibrated (cal) ka before present (BP) AD 1950; (a) relative abundance of *Neogloboquadrina pachyderma* (s) (black line) and *Turborotalita quinqueloba* (purple line); (b) ice volume corrected (IVC) $\delta^{18}O_{IVC}$ record of *N. pachyderma* (s); (c) $\delta^{13}C$ record of *N. pachyderma* (s); (d) $\delta^{18}O_{IVC}$ record of *Cassidulina neoteretis* before (red line) and after (green line) the cleaning protocol of Pena et al. (2005); (e) $\delta^{13}C$ record of *C. neoteretis* before (red line) and after (green line) the cleaning protocol of Pena et al. (2005); (f) stratigraphy obtained for JM10-330GC with chronological subdivisions (dashed lines). Dotted vertical lines indicate average core values. White diamonds on the y axis indicate AMS $^{14}C$ dating points for JM10-330GC. Shaded horizontal bars indicate carbon isotope excursions CIE I and CIE II.

Abbreviations: B-A: Bølling-Allerød; YD: Younger Dryas; sl: sandy layer.
Figure 5. Detail of the $\delta^{18}$O and $\delta^{13}$C record of *C. neoteretis* from CIE I interval, before (red line) and after (green line) the cleaning protocol of Pena et al. (2005).
Figure 6. Representative SEM images of *N. pachyderma* (s) from different intervals: A, B and C from 390 cm bsf; D, E and F, from 295 cm bsf; G, H, and I from 80 cm bsf. A) *N. pachyderma* (s) test from the most depleted interval within CIE I (δ¹³C: -2.61‰, 13.5 ka, 390 cm bsf), showing a test with surface alteration. B) Detail of picture A with clearly altered external surface. C) Broken test of a different specimen of *N. pachyderma* (s) from the same interval, where a thin deposited layer (methane-derived coating) unevenly cover the external text, whereas the foraminiferal wall shows a pristine structure with pristine crystal palisades.
The numbers in the picture indicate EDS analyses in Fig. 7. D) *N. pachyderma* (s) from CIE II interval ($\delta^{13}$C: -0.02‰, 10.3 ka, 295 cm bsf) showing an unaltered test. E) Detail of picture D with pristine shell structure. F) Detail of the wall structure with pristine crystal palisades from a different specimen in the same interval. G) *N. pachyderma* (s) from interval with normal $^{13}$C values ($\delta^{13}$C: 0.68‰, 4.1 ka, 80 cm bsf) showing a well-preserved test with pristine shell structure. H) Detail of picture G with pristine crystals shape. I) Detail of the wall structure with pristine crystal palisades from a different specimen in the same interval. Picture magnifications and length of the white bar are indicated in each picture.
Fig. 7. 1–2) Energy Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy EDS spectra of outer layer on the test of N. pachyderma (s) from the most depleted interval within CIE I (Fig. 6C) showing enrichments in Si and Mg and traces of Fe and S. 3) EDS spectra of the internal part of the wall of the same specimens (fig. 6C) showing a pristine composition consisting of CaCO₃.
Figure 8. Representative SEM images of not cleaned *C. neoteretis* specimens from the depleted intervals (A–C from 390 cm bsf; D–F from 295 cm bsf;) and from an interval with normal $\delta^{13}$C values (G–I from 80 cm bsf). A) *C. neoteretis* test from the most depleted interval within CIE I ($\delta^{13}$C: -4.37‰, 13.5 ka, 390 cm bsf), showing a test with surface alteration by dissolution features. B) Detail of picture A where the external test shows enlarged pores (5–7µm) with irregular shapes. C) Detail of picture A with a different magnification showing the irregular profile of the test wall. D) *C. neoteretis* from CIE II interval ($\delta^{13}$C: -3.41‰, 10.3 ka, 295 cm bsf) showing a test with surface alteration characterized by dissolution features. Note
the smaller scale of the picture. E) Detail of picture D, where the enlarged and irregular shaped pores are widespread. F) Detail of the test of a different specimen from the same interval, where the surface alteration is less evident, but the profile of the test walls is quite irregular. G) *C. neoteretis* from an interval with normal $^{13}$C values ($\delta^{13}$C: -0.44‰, 4.1 ka, 80 cm bsf) showing a well-preserved test with pristine shell structure. H) Detail of picture G showing small (<1µm) and round shape pores with no alteration features. I) Detail of picture G with a different magnification showing a well preserved test and regular profile of the test wall.

Picture magnifications and length of the white bar are indicated in each picture.
Fig. 9. A) Cartoon representing CIE II, where only benthonic foraminifera exhibit negative δ^{13}C values. In this scenario, methane activity is sufficiently high as to surpass the SMTZ and oxidized less efficiently with the consequence of lower AOM rates and higher methane fluxes into the bottom waters. The methane escaped is then partially oxidized by methanotrophic aerobic microbes in the water column. In this scenario the negative δ^{13}C values are likely to be the result of calcification in presence of ^{13}C-depleted DIC and probably ingestion of ^{13}C-depleted methanotrophic microbes on which foraminifera feed. B) Schematic diagram showing how methane flux controls the SMTZ, if the flux of sulfate (SO_{4}^{2-}) from the seawater is constant and the characteristics of the sediments are constant. Arrow size is proportional to upward methane flux. Modified after Borowski et al. (1996). C) Cartoon representing CIE I, where both benthonic and planktonic foraminifera exhibit negative δ^{13}C values. In this case the methane flux is low and upward fluxes of methane and downward fluxes of sulfate (coming from the sea water) meet within the SMTZ and the anaerobic oxidation of methane (AOM) can take place (e.g. Boetius et al., 2000; Knittel and Boetius, 2009). Here, sulfate and methane may be consumed simultaneously according to the Equation (1). The production of bicarbonate from AOM can induce the precipitation of calcium carbonate, so-called methane-derived authigenic carbonates, on the benthonic and planktonic foraminifera tests after burial through the Equation (2).
Fig. 10. Isotopic data of core JM10-330GC and other records plotted versus calibrated (cal) ka before present (BP) AD 1950; (a) δ¹³C record of *N. pachyderma* (s) (blue line) and of *C. neoteretis* (red line) from JM10-330GC; (b) δ¹³C negative excursions from east Greenland continental shelf (Smith et al., 2001): event 1 from core JM96-1215, event 2 from core JM96-1214, and event 3 from core JM96-1207; (c) NorthGRIP ice core δ¹⁸O record (North Greenland Ice Core Project Members, 2004); (d) Greenland Ice Sheet Project 2 (GISP2) atmospheric CH₄ record (Brook et al., 2000); (e) melt water pulse mwp-1A and mwp-1B (Peltier and Fairbanks, 2006; Stanford et al., 2011); (f) stratigraphy obtained for JM10-330GC with chronological subdivisions (dashed lines). White diamonds on y axis indicate dating points for JM10-330GC (next to plot a) and black diamonds next to plot (b) for cores of Smith et al. (2001). Shaded horizontal bars indicate carbon isotope excursions CIE I and CIE II.

Abbreviations: B-A: Bolling-Allerød; YD: Younger Dryas; sl: sandy layer.
Table 1. Radiocarbon data and sedimentation rate\(^a\)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (cm bsf)</th>
<th>Dated material</th>
<th>Laboratory reference</th>
<th>AMS (^{14})C dates (years BP)</th>
<th>Calibrated age (cal years BP, (\pm 2\sigma) median)</th>
<th>(\delta^{13})C (%)</th>
<th>Sed. rate (cm/ky)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-7</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-19355</td>
<td>848(\pm)29</td>
<td>470(\pm)50</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>18.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-61</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-18143</td>
<td>3477(\pm)36</td>
<td>3340(\pm)100</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>18.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>170-171</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-19356</td>
<td>7001(\pm)33</td>
<td>7490(\pm)70</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>26.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250-251</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-18144</td>
<td>8707(\pm)39</td>
<td>9370(\pm)100</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>42.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>335-337</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-19357</td>
<td>10130(\pm)47</td>
<td>11110(\pm)130</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>49.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>360-361</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-18145</td>
<td>11203(\pm)45</td>
<td>12690(\pm)110</td>
<td>-1.3</td>
<td>17.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>363-367</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-19358</td>
<td>11250(\pm)57</td>
<td>12750(\pm)130</td>
<td>-1.5</td>
<td>17.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413-418</td>
<td><em>N. pachyderma</em> (s)</td>
<td>UBA-18146</td>
<td>12575(\pm)51</td>
<td>14040(\pm)150</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>38.32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Radiocarbon data and calibrated ages in core JM10-330GC together with the calculated linear sedimentation rate.